Enhancement of Ideal MHD Wall Stabilized β Limits from Profile and Cross-Section Optimization

A.D. Turnbull, T.S. Taylor, L.L. Lao, R.L. Miller, M.S. Chance,[†] and B.J. Lee[‡]

> General Atomics San Diego, California

Stability calculations for strongly shaped equilibria predict large improvements in the ideal MHD β limit from Negative Central Shear (NCS) with elevated q_{min} if stabilization from a nearby conducting wall is taken into account [1,2]. Experiments in TFTR and DIII–D, however, are apparently limited by ideal instabilities at significantly lower β values and have so far failed to realize the anticipated gains from wall stabilization. Systematic stability calculations will be reported which shed some light on this apparent discrepancy. With a moderately placed wall, the gain in the ideal β limit from stabilization by the wall is shown to be strongly dependent on the cross section and the details of the current density and pressure profiles. There is a synergistic relationship between the gains due to optimization of the cross-section and the gains from profile optimization; the gain resulting from optimization of one without the other is relatively moderate but simultaneous optimization of both the profiles and cross section shaping yields much larger increases in the β limit. The reasons for this lie in the details of the coupling of the plasma with the wall. However, the essence of the synergism is that increased β improves the coupling to the wall so that higher β limits achievable from optimization of the cross section yield even further improvements. This synergism is demonstrated in detail for a variety of cross sections and pressure profiles with L-mode type edge conditions in which p' vanishes at the edge. Preliminary results on the effect of optimization of the edge current density and finite edge pressure gradient are also discussed.

This is a report of research sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG03-95ER54309 and Contract .DE-AC02-76CH03073.

[†]Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey.

[‡]Korea Basic Science Institute, Taejeon, Korea.

^[1] A.D. Turnbull et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 718.

^[2] C. Kessel et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994) 1212.