

**P2-086****Measurements of gross erosion of Al in the DIII-D divertor**

**C. Chrobak**<sup>a\*</sup>, P.C. Stangeby<sup>b</sup>, A.W. Leonard<sup>a</sup>, D.L. Rudakov<sup>c</sup>, C.P.C. Wong<sup>a</sup>, A.G. McLean<sup>d</sup>,  
G.M. Wright<sup>e</sup>, D.A. Buchenauer<sup>f</sup>, J.G. Watkins<sup>f</sup>, W.R. Wampler<sup>f</sup>, J.D. Elder<sup>b</sup>, R.P. Doerner<sup>c</sup>,  
D. Nishijima<sup>c</sup>, and G.R. Tynan<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*General Atomics, P.O. Box 85608, San Diego, California 92186-5608, USA*

<sup>b</sup>*University of Toronto Institute for Aerospace Studies, Toronto, M3H 5T6, Canada*

<sup>c</sup>*University of California San Diego, 9500 Gilman Dr., La Jolla, California 92093-0417,  
USA*

<sup>d</sup>*Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, 700 East Ave, Livermore, California 94550, USA*

<sup>e</sup>*Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Ave, Cambridge, Massachusetts  
02139, USA*

<sup>f</sup>*Sandia National Laboratory, P.O. Box 5800, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87185, USA*

**Abstract**

Aluminum (Al) is a convenient proxy for beryllium (Be) plasma material interaction studies since they have a number of physical and chemical similarities. Al samples were exposed at the lower outer strike point of an L-mode divertor plasma in DIII-D (conditions  $7-11 \times 10^{18}$  D-ions  $\text{cm}^2 - \text{s}$ ,  $T_e = 12-47$  eV). The gross erosion rate was directly measured using post-mortem ion beam analysis of small 1 mm-sized samples where local re-deposition was determined to be negligible. The gross erosion rate was also calculated using spectroscopic methods, but these rates greatly underestimate the direct (i.e. non-spectroscopic) measurement. The direct measured erosion yields were within the range of published  $\text{D}^+ \rightarrow \text{Al}$  ion beam sputtering yields. The ionizations per photon (S/XB) coefficients used in the spectroscopic analysis were determined in separate experiments using He plasmas at the PISCES-B linear plasma facility at UCSD. The measured S/XB coefficients were on average  $\sim 6\text{X}$  higher than the theoretically calculated values.