GA-A25453

MEASUREMENTS OF THE AVERAGE ENERGY OF CARBON ATOMS RELEASED FROM BREAKUP OF METHANE IN THE MAIN SOL OF DIII-D COMPARED WITH DIVIMP CODE MODELING

by

P.C. STANGEBY, A.G. McLEAN, J.D. ELDER, N.H. BROOKS, W.P. WEST, and D. REITER

MAY 2006



DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

GA-A25453

MEASUREMENTS OF THE AVERAGE ENERGY OF CARBON ATOMS RELEASED FROM BREAKUP OF METHANE IN THE MAIN SOL OF DIII-D COMPARED WITH DIVIMP CODE MODELING

by

P.C. STANGEBY, A.G. McLEAN, J.D. ELDER, N.H. BROOKS, W.P. WEST, and D. REITER[†]

This is a preprint of a paper to be presented at the 17th Plasma Surface Interactions in Controlled Fusion Devices, May 22–26, 2006, in Hefei, China, and to be published in the *J. Nucl. Mater.*

*University of Toronto Institute for Aerospace Studies, Toronto, Canada. *Institut fuer Plasmaphysik Foschungszentrum, Juelich, Juelich, Germany.

Work supported in part by the support of a Collaborative Research Opportunities Grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and the U.S. Department of Energy and the U.S. Department of Energy under DE-FC02-04ER54698

> GENERAL ATOMICS PROJECT 30200 MAY 2006



ABSTRACT

 13 CH₄, 12 CD₄, or 12 CH₄ gases were puffed into the crown of single-null divertor plasmas in DIII-D using toroidally symmetric injection, constituting a particularly simple experiment to interpret. The resulting CI (9094.83 Å) wavelength profiles were measured with a high resolution spectrometer. For a wide variety of plasma conditions, the shape of the profile was nearly constant, and could be approximately represented by a shifted gaussian distribution, corresponding to a temperature of the C-atoms of <1 eV. DIVIMP code analysis reported here, based on the recent Janev-Reiter database/model for methane breakup, has produced sufficiently close matches to these experimental CI profiles, to provide reasonable confidence that the controlling processes have been identified.

I. INTRODUCTION

The detailed shape of the CI emission line contains information that, in principle, can be used to identify the relative contributions of chemical and physical sputtering from graphite plasma facing components. This paper reports spectroscopic measurements from methane $- {}^{13}CH_4$, ${}^{12}CD_4$, or ${}^{12}CH_4$ — puffing into the main scrape-off layer (SOL) in DIII-D, simulating chemical sputtering from the wall, which indicate that low averageenergy, $\leq 1 \text{ eV}$, carbon atoms are released from the breakup of the injected gas in the edge plasma. Such low C-atom (CI) average energy was found over a range of discharge parameters studied in 30 plasma shots on 8 operating days. Since the C-atoms gain Frank-Condon energy from each stage of the molecular breakup process and also, while they are in charged fragments, experience heating due to collisions with deuterons, a more energetic C distribution might seem to be expected. As reported here, however, DIVIMP code modeling of the methane breakup using the Janev-Reiter database [1,2] is found to replicate the measured CI wavelength profiles fairly closely, indicating that the basic processes controlling methane breakup in a tokamak edge plasma have apparently been identified.

II. EXPERIMENT

In these shots, ^{12,13}CH₄ and ¹²CD₄ was injected toroidally symmetrically into the crown of lower single-null (LSN) plasmas, Fig. 1, at rates of \sim 3-20 torr ℓ /s in multiple, identical repeat shots in DIII-D. Such injection into the main SOL, rather than through a limiter or divertor target, avoids the complications of ongoing plasma interaction with deposits created by the methane injection, considerably simplifying the comparison with modeling and providing a better chance of achieving a definitive experimental test of breakup models. Injections were accomplished using a toroidally symmetric upper outer (cryopumping) plenum (with pump off) in L- and H-mode plasmas of various densities, with both forward and reverse B_{tor} . Toroidal injection enjoys the further benefit that many of the edge diagnostics can be employed, e.g. to confirm that the plasma has not been perturbed, something that is considerably more difficult to achieve with local injection. In order to avoid perturbing the local plasma conditions, low injection rates were employed — ones that increased the local emissivity by less than a factor of 2 over the natural levels. The CI (9094.83 A) line profile was measured using the high resolution multichord divertor spectrometer (MDS) viewing the crown region. Data were averaged over long (1-3 s) steady-state periods in each of a large number of repeat shots to compensate for low emission intensities. Higher puff rates would have produced stronger signals, but the low puff rates used, together with the toroidal nature of the injection, minimized the risk of perturbing the local plasma. The lack of perturbation was confirmed by the constancy of the radial profiles of n_e and T_e in the SOL, puff on/off, as measured by Thomson scattering near the injection location. The most intense CI signals were recorded for the MDS views closest to the injection point, Fig. 2. For a first, simple characterization of the CI profiles, they were fitted to a shifted Gaussian, with the fullwidth-half-maximum (FWHM), and shift, being adjusted for best fit. The profiles were obtained by subtracting the pre-puff profile from the puff-on profile, with both profiles being averaged over at least 0.5 s. The average CI intensity was 3-10X less in L-mode than H-mode. Although a wide variety of plasma conditions were employed, little variation was found in the profiles and accordingly the data has been grouped (Table I);

P.C. Stangeby

data for the most intense view-lines, 9, 11 and 12, were combined. Typically FWHM ~ 0.25 Å, corresponding to T ~ 0.5 eV for the emitting atoms.

Table I. Units (Å). Shifts are relative to the rest wavelength, 9094.83 Å. Number of shots in curly brackets. Standard deviations shown in round brackets. The experimental profiles include the effect of the MDS instrument function, for which FWHM ~ 0.20 Å.

	FWHM	FWHM	Shift	Shift
	L-mode	H-mode	L-mode	H-mode
¹³ CH	0.240	0.253	-0.043	-0.097
4	{4} (0.030)	{2} (0.027)	{4} (0.022)	{2} (0.016)
12 CD		0.269		-0.024
4		{4} (0.039)		{4} (0.015)
$^{12}CH_{4}$	0.253	0.233	-0.032	-0.059
4	{10} (0.022)	{4} (0.023)	{10} (0.013)	{4} (0.016)



Fig. 1. Five viewing-lines of the spectrometer (MDS). Methane was injected toroidally symmetrically at the top of the vessel.

Measurements of the average energy of carbon atoms released from breakup of methane in the main SOL of DIII-D compared with DIVIMP code modeling



Fig. 2. Gas flow and integrated CI emission is shown vs time for a sample L-mode, reversed-B shot with puffing from the toroidal pumping plenum (UOB). The zero for the emission scale is set by the average pre-puff (2–2.5 s) values. Emission intensity comes to steady state after ~0.5 s of gas flow due to the length of line between the gas supply and the vessel. Track 11, viewing the plenum exit, is found to have the greatest CI intensity and track 10, viewing across midplane, the least.

Even when grouped, the profiles differ by amounts less than the standard deviations. The different shifts for ${}^{13}CH_4$ and ${}^{12}CH_4$ appears to be statistically significant, at least for the H-mode data, which involved much more intense emission than the L-mode. This difference,

-0.097-(-0.059) = -0.038 ± 0.023 Å, is close to the shift expected for the effect of the difference in masses between ¹³C and ¹²C on the finite-mass Rydberg constant, which is -0.032 Å.

III DIVIMP CODE MODELING

Some of the experimental data were compared in detail with DIVIMP Monte Carlo code modeling of the multi-step fragmentation process for CH_4 molecules based on the model-database of Janev and Reiter [1,2]. As the C atom is followed by the code, an emission contribution for a specific spectroscopic diagnostic line of sight is calculated at each modeling time step — the velocity of the particle is used to calculate the Doppler shift in this emission contribution. The total emission from all simulation particles contributing to emission in the line of sight summed up for all time steps results in a wavelength profile for the modeled emission, which can be directly compared to the experimental measurements.

Franck-Condon energy is released by each breakup reaction. This energy is distributed inversely proportionally to the mass of the fragments and is applied in the center of mass frame for the molecular system. The energy release is typically 3D isotropic for the electron-induced breakup processes. Changes of molecular fragment state between neutrals and ions are also included. The 3D velocity of the neutrals is mapped to the local magnetic geometry with components parallel and perpendicular to the field line direction. While existing as an ion, the molecular fragment experiences heating collisions with the background plasma. At the end of this multi-stage process a C-atom is created with a 3D velocity dependent on its particular history. The simulation then follows many such particles (100,000 in the simulations presented) and is then used to produce a CI line profile and analysis of the particle speed and velocity distributions.

The modeling analysis was performed on a plasma background calculated for the ${}^{13}\text{CH}_4$ H-mode experiment [4]. On the setup day, a series of discharges were run to characterize the plasma into which ${}^{12}\text{CH}_4$ was puffed. The plasma profiles in the puff region were taken from nearby Thomson measurements of n_e and T_e in the SOL, neglecting parallel gradients in the crown SOL. These plasma profiles and the magnetic grid generated for shot 123417 were then used for these simulations.

Figure 3 shows the detailed comparison of the CI profile for the code and experiment. The code profiles incorporate the MDS instrument function, $f(\Delta\lambda) = 0.95e^{-(\Delta\lambda/0.1201)^2} +$ $0.05e^{-(\Delta\lambda/0.4204)^2}$, with $\Delta\lambda$ (Å), [3]. The experimental data used in Fig. 3 include all the H-mode data measured in the 2005 experiment [4], for the three most intense MDS Tracks, 9, 10 and 11; the ¹²C data were not shifted, while the ¹³C data were shifted by the finite-mass Rydberg difference of 0.032 Å. The match is good, indicating that the controlling processes have evidently been included in the Janev-Reiter (JR) model.



Fig. 3. Comparison of CI-9094.83A experimental and modeled line profiles. MDS Track 11 data for all H-mode data from the 2005 ${}^{13}CH_4$ experiment and the previous set-up day that used ${}^{12}CH_4$. The ${}^{13}C$ experimental results have been shifted by 0.032A to account for differences between the base wavelength for this transition in ${}^{12}C$ and ${}^{13}C$.

The code was run to compare the JR, database for hydrocarbon breakup, and the older Ehrhardt-Langer, EL, database [5]. The JR database is more comprehensive, including about twice the number of breakup reactions as the EL. This, plus differences in reaction cross sections between the two databases, results in much faster breakup occurring in the code for JR: using the JR (EL) databases, a C-atom on average experienced 4.15 (5.24) breakup reactions, gaining 1.17 (2.07) eV of Frank-Condon energy (i.e. from the potential energy of the methane molecule). The biggest difference was that the breakup occurred so much faster for JR that the collisional heating (due to elastic collisions between D⁺ and ionic HC-fragments) was only 0.61 eV, compared with 2.40 eV for EL. The final result was that the average kinetic energy of the C-atoms for JR was only 1.78 eV, compared with 4.48 eV for EL. Assuming the C-atom energy distributions were Maxwellian, then from $\langle E \rangle = 3/2$ kT, T = 1.19 (2.99) eV for JR (EL), which is a large part of the reason why the code analysis, for JR, closely matches the (cold) experimental result. The C-atom distribution was found to be non-Maxwellian, as already noted regarding the high energy tail in Fig. 3. Figure 4 shows the calculated speed

distribution, compared with a Maxwellian distribution for T = 0.4 eV. The distribution cannot be fit very well by any Maxwellian, however, the bulk of the atoms can be approximated by a Maxwellian of energy ~0.5 eV, and these atoms tend to set the FWHM of the CI line. These aspects, then, are the main reasons that the modeling result, based on the JR database, agrees rather well with the experiment.

Fig. 4. CI speed distributions resulting from the methane breakup processes for different reaction databases and hydrogen isotope mass. A Maxwellian velocity distribution for T = 0.4 eV is shown for reference.

Recently, the computer code HYDKIN (Reaction kinetics analysis online for hydrocarbon catabolism in hydrogen plasmas) has been made universally accessible, http://www.eirene.de/eigen/index.html. This code enables the user to easily examine the effects of launching any of a wide variety of hydrocarbon molecules into plasma of specified (spatially constant) density and temperature, specifying either the EL or JR database. No transport is included so HYDKIN cannot be directly compared with the DIVIMP analysis, however, it can be readily used to help understand the results described above. For example, specifying plasma conditions representative of the region in the SOL where the DIVIMP analysis found most of the methane breakup to occur, $n_e =$ 7×10^{18} m⁻³ and $T_e = 20$ eV, and launching CH₄, HYDKIN finds that the average lifetimes for $CH_4^+/CH_3^+C/CH_2^+/CH^+$ is 0.7/1.4/1.6/2.3 µs for JR and 1.9/2.5/3.3/5.4 µs for EL. The thermalization time for C^+ is 77 µs for this plasma condition, thus, a temperature gain can be calculated for the C-atoms of 1.5 eV for JR and 3.2 eV for EL, assuming that every C-atom passed through all four of these HC ion-fragment stages. Since some C-atoms do not pass through all these stages these values are upper limits, but correspond roughly with the values found by DIVIMP, 0.61 and 2.4 eV. HYDKIN makes

clear why the lifetimes are shorter for JR: for example, for CH_4^+ , the reaction crosssection for the important dissociative excitation channel $e + CH_4^+ \rightarrow CH_3^+ + H + e$ is ~5X larger in JR than EL; this increase is due to experimental measurements made over the past decade using storage-rings and crossed-beams, as discussed in [2]. EL do not include four additional dissociative excitation channels for CH_4^+ that JR do, the ones leading to CH_2^+ , CH_2 , CH^+ , and C^+ .

Since the Frank-Condon energy is distributed between the products of each breakup, in inverse proportion to the mass of the fragments, it had been conjectured [6] that the CI line should be about twice as broad for ¹²CD₄ as for ¹²CH₄. As indicated by Table I, there is no significant difference in the experimental CI profiles resulting from ¹²CH₄ and ¹²CD₄ puffing. The code, using JR, was used to compare the ¹²CD₄ and ¹²CH₄ cases. The average Frank-Condon energy gain per C-atom was found to be 1.17 (0.70) eV for D (H), and the total average kinetic energy of the C-atoms, 1.78 (1.43) eV. Taking the representative breakup reaction (i.e. average of CH_n, n=1 to 4) to be CH_{2.5} \rightarrow CH_{1.5} + H and CD_{2.5} \rightarrow CD_{1.5} + D, then based on mass ratios, the D to H Frank-Condon energy gain should be in the ratio 2/17 to 1/14.5, i.e. ~1.71, which is, in fact, rather close to the ratio found in the code, 1.17/0.70 = 1.67. As indicated in Fig. 5, the code-calculated CI profiles also do not differ significantly.

Fig. 5. Changes in the calculate line profiles resulting from different databases for the methane breakup processes and different hydrogen isotope masses. The ${}^{12}CH_4$ experimental data from Fig. 3 are included for reference. The code-calculated profiles are convolved with the MDS instrument function.

IV DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The injection of methane toroidally symmetrically into the crown of a single-null divertor plasma provides what is perhaps the *cleanest* i.e. most readily interpreted and modeled arrangement for assessing in a definitive way whether our present understanding of the breakup kinetics for methane includes the controlling processes. It is important to establish if this is the case in order to provide confidence in the interpretation of the CI line wavelength profile as a means of identifying the relative role of physical and chemical sputtering. In other studies [6-8], methane has been injected into tokamak plasmas through divertor targets or small openings in limiters. Although the latter studies also reported narrow CI profiles, indicative of rather cold C-atoms, the interpretation of such experiments is more difficult and subject to greater uncertainties. First, there is simultaneous sputtering by the plasma ions incident on the solid surfaces adjacent to the point of entry of the methane; this may result, effectively, in two sources of methane. Second, there is greater risk of perturbing the local plasma with such localized injections. Third, plasma interaction with the locally deposited HC layers due to the methane puff itself is a potential complication which has to be accounted for. The DIVIMP code analysis reported here, based on the Janey-Reiter model-database for methane breakup, has produced sufficiently close matches to experimental CI profiles, to provide reasonable confidence that the controlling processes have been identified.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.K. Janev and D. Reiter, Phys. Plasmas 9 (2002) 4071; also J. Nucl. Mater. 313–316 (2003) 1202.
- [2] R.K. Janev and D. Reiter, "Collision processes of hydrocarbon species in hydrogen plasmas: I. The methane family", Report FZ-Jülich Jül -3966, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich Germany (Feb. 2002).
- [3] R.C. Isler, N.H. Brooks, private communication.
- [4] W.R. Wampler, *et al.*, this conference.
- [5] A.B. Ehrhardt and W.D. Langer, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Report PPPL-477 (1987).
- [6] P. Bogen and D. Rusbuldt, Nucl Fusion **32** (1992) 1057.
- [7] A.G. McLean, *et al.*, this conference.
- [8] N.H. Brooks, *et al.*, this conference.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under DE-FC02-04ER54698. The authors would like to acknowledge the support of a Collaborative Research Opportunities Grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and the U.S. Department of Energy.