

#### Effect of B-field Dependent Particle Drifts on ELM Behavior in the DIII-D Boundary Plasma,\*

M.E. Fenstermacher (LLNL) A.W. Leonard, T.W. Petrie, General Atomics, M. Groth, C.J. Lasnier, G.D. Porter - LLNL J. Boedo, D.S. Gray, E. Hollmann, UCSD M.R. Wade, ORNL, L. Zeng, UCLA J. Watkins, SNLA,

Presented at the 16th PSI Meeting Portland, Maine May 24-28, 2004



#### Motivation - Type I ELMs could limit PFC lifetime in burning plasma tokamaks - "Minimum Energy" ELMs at high n<sub>e</sub> might be tolerable



### Summary I: SOL / divertor response to ELMs is a strong function of $n_e$ for LSN $\nabla B \checkmark$

- ELM expelled pedestal particles appear far out (~ 4 cm) in SOL;  $T_e^{SOL}$  not perturbed even with  $\Delta T_e^{ped}$  at low  $n_e$ .
- Pedestal refueled by multi-step charge exchange neutrals; fast response consistent with local neutral source during ELM (main chamber surfaces)
- At low n<sub>e</sub>: inner leg burns-through during ELM; large heat flux observed
- At high n<sub>e</sub>: outer leg during ELM:
  - Carbon radiation burns-through to near target
  - Large particle flux increase
  - Target electron density and  $D_{\alpha}$  drop
  - No heat flux observed
- Rapid rise in midplane and divertor  $\mathbf{D}_{\alpha}$ , and target  $\mathbf{j}_{\text{sat}}$  at thermal energy loss
- SOL parallel pulse propagation times consistent with ion sound speeds at moderate high density
  - Inner D<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> delayed ~ 250  $\mu$ sec after outer D<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>
  - Midplane to divertor radiation pulse propagation times ~ 100  $\mu \text{sec}$



### **Summary II:** SOL / divertor response to ELMs is a strong function of $B_T$ direction

- $D_{\alpha}$  and  $P_{rad}$  Timing during ELMs:
  - LSN  $\nabla B \Psi$ :
    - Low n<sub>e</sub>: Delay of inner vs. outer divertor  $D_{\alpha}$  reduced below ion convection times in SOL, P<sub>rad</sub> delay negative (inner occurs before outer)
    - High n<sub>e</sub>: Delays consistent with ion convection timescale
  - LSN ∨B ↑ :
    - High and low n<sub>e</sub>: Delay below ion convection timescale
- Heat Flux during ELMs:
  - LSN ∇B ↓ High δ : Peak inner / outer heat flux asymmetry ≤ 2x at low n<sub>e</sub>, even larger at high n<sub>e</sub>
    - Outer ELM peak heat flux reduced with ne
  - LSN  $\nabla B \checkmark Low \delta$ : Peak inner / outer heat flux asymmetry ~2x at low n<sub>e</sub>, drops to ~1.5 at high n<sub>e</sub>
  - LSN  $\nabla B \uparrow Low \delta$ : Peak inner / outer ratio ~ 2 independent of density

Surface layer effects may play a role in measurement



### **Summary III:** Initial UEDGE ELM modeling with drifts shows features of $B_T$ dependence

- Model assumptions guided by measurements
  - Midplane instability and particle loss appear for 200-500 μsec before pedestal thermal energy loss
- Model Verification and Fluid Simulations
  - ELM energy transport by parallel ion convection at ion sound speeds verified by measurements
  - Initial UEDGE simulations show characteristics of ELM propagation at ion sound speed
    - Delays of inner  $D_{\alpha}$  from outer  $D_{\alpha}$  timing
    - Slower  $D_{\alpha}$  rise time in inner vs outer divertor
- Some of B<sub>T</sub> dependence consistent with each of two models:
  - Changing ExB produces vastly different pre-ELM divertor conditions ==> ELM response is different even though ExB and other particle drifts not playing a role during ELM event
  - ExB particle drift play a strong role during ELM due to large Te gradients (E-field) created by ELM purturbation



### **Configuration and Diagnostics**



### DIII-D fast diagnostics used in this poster cover both the outer midplane and lower divertor

Parameter	Fast Diagnostic F	Rate / Integration time	114639 3100.00
SOL ne, Te profiles	Reciprocating probe	≤ 1000 kHz	M
Pedestal ne, Te	Thomson scattering	1 ns @ 6 ms	
Midplane ${\sf D}_{lpha}$	Filterscopes array	≤ 100 kHz	
Midplane inner SOL line radiation	Gated, intensified camera	20 us @ 17 ms	
n <sub>e</sub> <sup>ped</sup> gradient	Reflectometry	≤ 10 kHz	
Total radiated power	Bolometer array	≤ 500 kHz	
Divertor line radiation	Gated, intensified camera	20 us @ 17 ms	
Target heat flux	IRTV line scan	≤ 9 kHz	
Target ion flux	Target probes	≤ 100 kHz	
Toroidal target current	Tile current array	≤ 200 kHz	
Calibrated divertor line radiation	Filterscopes array	≤ 100 kHz	
Divertor line density	Interferometer	≤ 50 kHz	
Edge ion temperature	CER	≤ 2 kHz	

M E Fenstermacher PSI 2004 6/7/04 3

0 1 2 3 4 5 6



### Cross correlation analysis finds delay of ELM response in one signal compared with another.

- Cross correlation of signals applied in ~ 8 ms window centered on the ELM event at midplane
- Delays of peak response dominates over delay of initial response.







#### Average ELM behavior in $\nabla B \checkmark vs \nabla B \uparrow shows$ changes in inner vs outer asymmetry but similar timing



### Background: LSN $\nabla B \checkmark$ from 2002



#### **Conclusions:** Model of SOL ELM propagation by ion convection supported by some, but not all, of the ELM data

- Model says:
  - Deposition profile should be set by perpendicular vs. parallel transport in SOL
  - Deposition time set by  $L_{\parallel}/C_{s}$  for ELM expelled ions
  - ELM energy may be limited if ELM duration < ion transit time to targets</li>
- Model supported by data:
  - Density dependence of inner vs. outer target delays
  - $\Delta T_e^{ped}$  delay until  $\Delta t_{ELM} \sim L_{\parallel} / C_s$
  - Divertor density rise higher than n<sub>e</sub><sup>ped</sup> due to release of trapped neutrals
- Model not supported by data:
  - Some inner vs. outer SOL delays backwards (eg. P<sub>rad</sub>, J<sub>sat</sub>)
  - Outer target heat flux width not wide enough to be consistent with observed midplane density perturbation in the far SOL, even narrower on the inside
  - Fast T<sub>e</sub><sup>ped</sup> drop in low density case more like reconnection
- Comparison of ELM propagation with ion BxVB drift into vs. out of divertor should increase understanding of ELM propagation physics.







### DIII-D fast diagnostics used in this poster cover both the outer midplane and lower divertor

Parameter	Fast Diagnostic	Rate / Integration time (interfermeter) (Da)
SOL ne, Te profiles	Reciprocating probe	≤ 1000 kHz
Pedestal ne, Te	Thomson scattering	1 ns @ 6 ms
Midplane ${\sf D}_{lpha}$	Filterscopes array	≤ 100 kHz
Midplane inner SOL line radiation	Gated, intensified camer	ra 20 us @ 17 ms
n <sub>e</sub> <sup>ped</sup> gradient	Reflectometry	≤ 10 kHz
Total radiated power	Bolometer array	≤ 500 kHz
Divertor line radiation	Gated, intensified camer	ra 20 us @ 17 ms
Target heat flux	IRTV line scan	≤ 9 kHz
Target ion flux	Target probes	≤ 100 kHz
Toroidal target current	Tile current array	≤ 200 kHz
Calibrated divertor line radiation	Filterscopes array	≤ 100 kHz (soft X-ra
Divertor line density	Interferometer	≤ 50 kHz 01
Edge ion temperature	CER	≤ 2 kHz 110493 2500 (fixed probes)

(Mirnov loop)



### Simple model of ELM particle and energy transport in the SOL and divertor supported by calculation results\*

- Instability flattens density and temperature profiles (electrons and ions) at the outer midplane separatrix
- Fast electrons on field lines connected to targets go to targets in electron transit time (~ several µsec)
  - Sheath potential raised and electron conduction gets cut-off
  - T<sub>e</sub> in SOL equilibrated somewhat
- Local ions in sheath strike target at high energy take out some fraction of ELM electron energy (~ 10  $\mu$ sec)
- ELM expelled ions transit to elevated sheath at ion sound speed (  $\textbf{T}_i^{\text{ped}}$  ) ~ several 100  $\mu \textbf{sec}$ 
  - ELM ions falling through sheath remove ELM electron and ion energy
- Neutrals from increased recycling of ELM ions dissipate in recycling time scale (~ several ms).
  - \* A. Bergmann 2002 submitted to NF
    - D. Tskhakaya PSI02 submitted to JNM
  - T. Rognlien PSI02 submitted to JNM



#### Complicating effects may be important in SOL / divertor ELM transport

- More ELM electron energy may get to targets on short time scale if:
  - Secondary electron emission at targets reduces sheath build-up
  - High energy ions striking targets liberate trapped neutrals increasing local ion source
- Perpendicular transport in upper SOL may reduce ions available to carry ELM energy to targets
- Impurity release by fast ion physical sputtering on targets produces radiation
- Loss of pedestal thermal energy ( $\Delta T_e^{ped}$ ) may require instability duration > ion transit time to targets
  - $\Delta \, {\rm T_e}^{\rm ped}$  may not occur until instability has been growing for an ion transit time
  - If ion transit time is long,  $\Delta T_e^{ped}$  may not occur at all



### Low $n_e$ ELMs: Thomson profiles show particles lost from pedestal appear in the far SOL; pedestal $\Delta T_e$ not seen in SOL





### **High n<sub>e</sub> ELMs:** Particles seen far out in SOL at midplane; pedestal $\Delta T_e$ very small





### Low n<sub>e</sub> ELMs: fast bolometer chords show propagation of pulse around SOL to divertors

E. Hollmann



• Delays are consistent with ion transit time (outer midplane to inner strike point ~ 100  $\mu s$ ) not electron conduction time.





#### High n<sub>e</sub> ELMs: Fast bolometer chords show propagation of pulse around SOL to divertors



- Delays are consistent with ion transit time (outer midplane to inner strike point ~ 100  $\mu s$ ) not electron conduction time.





### Low n<sub>e</sub> ELMs: Multi-diag. timing shows evidence of ELM particle transport from pedestal before thermal energy loss



• Two phases to ELM build-up: particle loss followed by rapid thermal energy loss



### High n<sub>e</sub> ELMs: Multi-diag. timing shows completely different behavior of outer divertor n<sub>e</sub> and heat flux vs. low n<sub>e</sub> ELMs



• No measurable outer target heat flux - still unexplained



### Low n<sub>e</sub> ELMs: Gated divertor TV shows burn-through of inner divertor leg: CIII moves from X-point to inner strikepoint

**Groth PSI02** 



• Burn-through occurs between 10 and 130  $\mu$ sec after the ELM start



### High n<sub>e</sub> ELMs: Gated divertor TV shows burn-through of outer divertor leg: CIII moves from X-point to outer strikepoint

**Groth PSI02** 



- Radiation increase near X-point occurs between 80 and 110 µsec after ELM start
- Burn-through occurs between 110 and 230 µsec after the ELM start



### Comparison of $\nabla B \checkmark vs. \nabla B \uparrow Effects$



#### LSN $\nabla B \checkmark Low \delta$ ELMs: Particle perturbation seen much farther out in midplane SOL than $\Delta Te$ , especially at low ne

- **Reflectometer shows** reduction of pedestal density [curves 1,2,3,4]
- **Density lost from pedestal** appears in SOL at limiters [curves **3**,4]
- **Recovery of pre-ELM** density profile takes ~ 3 ms [curves 4, 5]
- During ELM n<sub>e</sub>~10<sup>19</sup>m<sup>-3</sup> at 3.5 cm ( 3  $\lambda_{ne}^{pre-ELM}$  ) from pre-ELM separatrix [curve 3]





#### LSN $\nabla B \checkmark$ High $\delta$ ELMs: Particle perturbation seen much farther out in midplane SOL than $\Delta Te$ for both low and High n<sub>e</sub>

- **Reflectometer** shows motion of density out to the limiter region (5cm from separatrix) in ~500 µsec [curves 1 -->2]
- **Recovery of pre-ELM density** profile takes ~ 1.5 ms [curves 2 --> 5]



SAN DIEGO

M E Fenstermacher PSI 2004 6/7/04 22

2.40

# Low $n_e High \delta$ ELMs: Radial velocity ~ 600 m/s from reflectometer agrees with ExB velocity from probes Zeng, Boedo

- Reflectometer data to 40 kHz shows radial velocity of 500 m/s for 5e19 m-3 surface at midplane
- Probe measurement of "density blobs" shows ExB radial velocity of 550 m/s





# **LSN** $\nabla B \checkmark$ **ELMs:** Delay of inner vs outer D<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> about 3x the difference in ion transit times from midplane to targets.

- Ion transport assumed at sound speed evaluated at pedestal Te
- Scatter increases and delay time drops to small value at very low density
  - Evidence of fast electron effects ?
  - Evidence of change in character of ELM from ballooning to peeling dominated ?





#### LSN $\nabla B \uparrow ELMs$ : Delay of inner vs outer $D_{\alpha}$ with $\nabla B \uparrow$ much smaller than in $\nabla B \checkmark$ case.

- With  $\nabla B$  out of divertor inner leg plasma conditions similar to outer leg
- **Dependence of delays** on  $\nabla B$  direction may be due to:
  - **Difference** in \_ pre-ELM divertor conditions?
  - **Role of ExB drifts** \_ during ELM event ?





PSI 2004 6/7/04 25

#### LSN $\nabla B \checkmark ELMs$ : Delay of inner vs outer P<sub>rad</sub> less than D<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> delay

- At high n<sub>e</sub> the delay is 2x smaller than in  ${\rm D}_{\alpha}$
- At low ne, ELM P<sub>rad</sub> (inner) before ELM P<sub>rad</sub> (outer)
  - This also seen in analysis of spatial zones by Hollman (2002)
  - Fast electron pulse burns through detached inner divertor ?





#### LSN $\nabla B \uparrow ELMs$ : Delay of inner vs outer P<sub>rad</sub> similar to delays of D<sub>c</sub>

- Data set limited to high q shots because outer P<sub>rad</sub> saturated at low q
- Small delay (albeit with large scatter)
  - No clear variation with density





# LSN $\nabla B \uparrow Low \delta$ ELMs: $\Delta n_e$ seen much farther out in midplane SOL than $\Delta T_e$ , especially at low $n_e$ Zeng

- Reflectometer shows reduction of pedestal density [curves 2 -->3]
- Density lost from pedestal appears far out in SOL;  $n_e \sim 10^{19} m^{-3}$  at 4.5 cm (  $4 \lambda_{ne}^{pre-ELM}$  ) from pre-ELM separatrix [curve 3]
- Recovery of pre-ELM density profile takes
   > 1.5 ms [curves 4, 5, 6]
  - Intermediate recovery stage with "pedestal" in the SOL (curve 5)
  - Full recovery after ~ 5 ms (curve 6)





### LSN $\nabla B \uparrow Low \delta$ ELMs: $\Delta n_e$ seen much farther out in midplane SOL than $\Delta T_e$ also at high $n_e$ Zeng,

- Reflectometer shows particles ejected into SOL [curves 1, 2, 3]
- Density profile modified before large Dα rise [curve 2]
- Far SOL density rise to  $n_e \sim 10^{19} m^{-3}$  at 6 cm (  $5 \lambda_{ne}^{pre-ELM}$  ) from pre-ELM separatrix [curve 3]
- Recovery of pre-ELM density profile takes
   > 1 ms [curves 3,4]





#### LSN ∨B ↓ ELMs: Inner / outer target energy density asymmetry during ELMs decreases slightly with n<sub>e</sub>

- Inner / outer peak energy density ratio ~ 2 at low  $n_e/n_G \sim 0.4$ , ratio decreases to 1.5 at higher density,  $n_e/n_G > 0.6$
- Profiles averaged over 10 20 ELMs.
- Surface layer effects may be playing an important role in these results.





# LSN $\nabla B \checkmark$ ELMs: Inner / outer target heat flux asymmetry during ELMs increases with n<sub>e</sub>; profile broadens $\leq$ factor of 2

- Outer target heat flux drops to near zero at high density
- Peak of inner heat flux profile moves away from SP
- Inner / outer energy ratio ~ 2 from previous experiments - still working on present calibrations
- ELMs broader than time averaged by 2x on outer leg but narrower by up to 1.5x on inner leg.



#### Lasnier, Leonard PSI02 Invited



#### LSN $\nabla B \uparrow ELMs$ : Inner / outer target energy density asymmetry during ELMs nearly constant with n

- Little change in profiles from low to moderate density,  $0.27 < n_e/n_{Gr} < 0.4$
- In / out asymmetry ~ 2.0 independent of density
- **Profiles averaged** over 10 - 20 ELMs.
- Surface layer effects may be playing an important role in these results.





PSI 2004 6/7/04 32

### **Comments:** SOL/divertor ELM behavior depends on both n<sub>e</sub>and B<sub>T</sub>-dependent particle drifts

- Delays of inner vs outer  $D_{\alpha}$  and  $P_{rad} = f(n_e, B_T)$ 
  - ELM poloidal character may change with ne
  - Fast electron effects may dominate at low ne; ion convection at high ne
  - Difference in pre-ELM divertor conditions with B<sub>T</sub> may play a role
- Pedestal particles ejected far into midplane SOL, 3 5  $\lambda_{ne}^{pre-ELM}$ , independent of  $n_e$ ,  $B_T$ 
  - Ejected T<sub>i</sub> (and heat flux) at main chamber wall not known
  - Ejected T<sub>e</sub> falls rapidly with radius in SOL

(see also Zeng O-29 Rudakov O-24, Boedo P2-5)

- Asymmetry of peak energy density weak f(n<sub>e</sub>, B<sub>T</sub>)
  - Asymmetry decreases slightly with  $n_e$  for  $\nabla B \checkmark$ ; nearly constant for  $\nabla B \uparrow$
  - May be contaminated by surface layer effects



### **UEDGE SS and ELM Modeling -** $\nabla B \checkmark$



### **UEDGE SS and ELM Modeling -** $\nabla B \uparrow$



# UEDGE simulations of pre-ELM $\nabla B \checkmark vs \nabla B \uparrow cases$ show similar midplane $T_e$ , $T_i$ but changes in density profiles



#### UEDGE simulations of pre-ELM $\nabla B \checkmark vs \nabla B \uparrow cases$ show completely different inner divertor conditons.



#### UEDGE simulations of pre-ELM $\nabla B \checkmark vs \nabla B \uparrow cases$ show very different outer divertor SOL plasma



### UEDGE ELM simulation shows pedestal behavior similar to low n<sub>e</sub> case



Ε

Μ



# UEDGE ELM simulation with VB $\uparrow$ shows weak perturbation of midplane profiles

- Exponential radial and Gaussian poloidal perturbation near midplane
- At 1.0 ms, increase D<sub>1</sub> by 10x for 500  $\mu$ s, then add increase of X by 10x for 50  $\mu$ s
- Relaxation phase with transport coefficients from between-ELM solution
- Almost no SOL T<sub>e</sub> perturbation similar to data
- SOL density bump flattens during ELM not seen in data 0.45 Midplane  $n_{e}$  (10<sup>20</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>) Midplane Te (ev) <sup>700</sup> Fxy10 SOL SOL 0.27 - 005 - 005 - 010 - 010 Core Core 0.4 - 020 - 020 <u>8</u> Fxy10 Time (s) Time (s) PSI 2004 6/7/04 40

Ε

Μ

### $\mathbf{D}_{\alpha}$ chord integrals vs. time from UEDGE solution simulate filterscope signals

- Inner Divertor: Initial slow  $\mathbf{D}_{\alpha}$  rise at  $\mathbf{D}_{\perp}$  increase
  - Fast  ${\rm D}_{\!\alpha}$  rise at  $\,\chi\,\,$  increase
  - Long slow (several ms) recovery on recycling timescale
- Outer Divertor: Similar response to D  $_{\perp}$  and  $\chi$  increases
  - More complicated recovery evolution



### P<sub>rad</sub> chord integrals vs. time from UEDGE solution simulate DISRAD-II signals

- Inner Divertor: Sharper rise at  ${\rm D}_{\!\!\perp}$  change than in  ${\rm D}_{\!\!\alpha}$ 
  - More rapid recovery than in  ${\rm D}_{\alpha}$
- Outer Divertor Relative response to  $\chi$  change much larger than for  $\mathsf{D}_{\alpha}$





### $D_{\alpha}$ chord integrals vs. time from UEDGE solution with $\nabla B \uparrow$ simulate filterscope signals

- Inner Divertor: Response to  $D_{\perp}$  change similar in  $\nabla B \checkmark$  and  $\nabla B \uparrow$ 
  - Response to  $\chi$  change is larger in  $\nabla B \uparrow han$  in  $\nabla B \checkmark$
- Outer Divertor Positive and negative response to both D\_ and  $\chi$  changes Unexplained



### $P_{rad}$ chord integrals vs. time from UEDGE solution with $\nabla B \uparrow f$ simulate DISRAD-II signals

• Inner Divertor: - Initial response to D<sub>1</sub> and X change similar in  $\nabla B \checkmark$  and  $\nabla B \uparrow$ 

- Recovery phase more complicated in  $\nabla B \uparrow han$  in  $\nabla B \downarrow$ 

• Outer Divertor - Response to  $\chi$  much less in  $\nabla B \uparrow$  than in  $\nabla B \checkmark$ 





#### Inner vs Outer correlation of UEDGE simulated Da and Prad signals show features similar to data correlations

- Correlation of inner vs. outer divertor synthetic DISRAD-II signals yields predictions of delays similar to observations
  - Normalized  $D_{\alpha}$  delay in the range [0.5 3.6] similar to data at  $n_e/n_{Gr} \sim 0.4$
  - Normalized P<sub>rad</sub> in the range [0 1.9] less delay than in D<sub>lpha</sub> as seen in the data



#### Inner vs outer correlation of Prad signals with $\nabla B \uparrow$ show features similar to data correlations

- Correlation of inner vs. outer divertor synthetic  $D_{\alpha}$  and  $P_{rad}$  signals yields
  - Normalized delay of D $_{\alpha}$  in the range [-4.0 +1.9]: similar timing inversion occurs in data at n $_{e}$ /n $_{G}$  ~ 0.5
  - Normalized delay in P<sub>rad</sub> in the range [-5.0 +1.8], However most radii have small
    delay similar to data



### Simulated inner and outer target heat fluxes broaden at most by a factor of 2 during ELM

- Heat flux broadens by factors of 1.5 x (inner) and 1.2 (outer ) during  $D_{\perp}$  increase
- Heat flux profile broadening increases to 2.0x (inner) and 1.8 (outer) by end of  $\chi$



SAN DIEGO

PSI 2004 6/7/04 47

### With $\nabla B \uparrow b$ broadening of heat flux during ELM less on inner and greater on outer target than with $\nabla B \checkmark$

- Heat flux broadens by factors of 1.1 x (inner) and 1.5 (outer ) during  $D_{\perp}$  increase
- Heat flux profile broadening increases to 1.5x (inner) and 2.2x (outer) by end of X increase
  90



### With $\nabla B \checkmark$ private flux region poloidal ExB velocity increases 2x at ELM crash



### With $\nabla B \uparrow h$ change to PF poloidal $v_{ExB}$ larger at inner target and smaller at outer target than with $\nabla B \checkmark$



### Simulation with multiple ELMs shows slow relaxation to new parameter regime between ELMs

- Divertor particle and energy fluxes between ELMs are still evolving after 3 ELMs
  - Effect stronger on inner divertor
  - Indicates long time-scale effects (carbon, neutrals) still responding to ELMs
- Future single ELM simulations should start from "ELMing equilibrium" not steady state between-ELM solution





# Summary: SOL/divertor ELM behavior depends on both density and B-field dependent particle drifts

• Normalized delays of inner vs outer  $D_{\alpha}$  and  $P_{rad}$ 

#### depend on n<sub>e</sub>

- Observations
  - Stronger n<sub>e</sub> dependence in normal drifts direction
  - Delay greater and recovery longer for  $D_{\alpha}$  than for  $P_{rad}$
- Possible Explanations
  - ELM poloidal character may change with ne
  - Fast electron effects may dominate at low n<sub>e</sub>; ion convection at high n<sub>e</sub>
- Normalized delays of inner vs outer  ${\rm D}_{\alpha}$  and  ${\rm P}_{rad}$  change with B-dependent drifts
  - Delays much less in reversed drifts case
  - Differences in pre-ELM divertor conditions with B<sub>T</sub> play a role
  - Different response of E<sub>r</sub> to ELM in normal and reversed drifts cases may affect E x B drifts during ELM evolution
- Pedestal particles ejected far into SOL independent of n<sub>e</sub> or drifts direction



# **Summary:** UEDGE ELM simulations including drifts show evolution and B-field dependence similar to data

- Model of ELM as  ${\rm D}_{\perp}$  and  $\chi$  increases supported by similarity of calculated and measured ELM evolution
  - Initial response of simulated  ${\rm D}_{\alpha}$  and  ${\rm P}_{rad}$  to  ${\rm D}_{\perp}$  increase and larger response to  $\chi$  increase similar to measured ELM signals
  - Pedestal density and temperature drops with SOL n<sub>e</sub> increase and unchanged SOL T<sub>e</sub> similar to data from low ne plasmas
  - As in the data, simulated delays larger for  $\rm D_{_{C}}$  than for  $\rm P_{rad}$  in normal drifts case; small  $\rm P_{rad}$  delays and positive/negative delay in  $\rm D_{_{C}}$  for reversed drifts case
  - Simulated Q<sub>div</sub> broadens ~ 2x at ELM crash in normal drifts case, broadening less in reversed drifts case.

### • UEDGE cases with normal and reversed drifts shows B-field dependent features seen in data

- Delays in  ${\rm D}_{\alpha}{\rm and}~{\rm P}_{rad}$  less in reversed drifts simulations consistent with measurements
- ELM perturbation of divertor Er and poloidal particle drifts may contribute to divertor ELM response

