

## Improved understanding of physics processes in pedestal structure, leading to improved predictive capability for ITER

R.J. Groebner<sup>1</sup>, C.S. Chang<sup>2</sup>, J.W. Hughes<sup>3</sup>, R. Maingi<sup>2</sup>, P.B. Snyder<sup>1</sup>, X.Q. Xu<sup>4</sup>, J.A. Boedo<sup>5</sup>, D.P. Boyle<sup>2</sup>, J.D. Callen<sup>6</sup>, J.M. Canik<sup>7</sup>, I. Cziegler<sup>5</sup>, E.M. Davis<sup>3</sup>, A. Diallo<sup>2</sup>, P.H. Diamond<sup>5</sup>, J.D. Elder<sup>8</sup>, D.P. Eldon<sup>5</sup>, D.R. Ernst<sup>3</sup>, D.P. Fulton<sup>9</sup>, M. Landreman<sup>3</sup>, A.W. Leonard<sup>1</sup>, J.D. Lore<sup>7</sup>, T.H. Osborne<sup>1</sup>, A.Y. Pankin<sup>10</sup>, S.E. Parker<sup>11</sup>, T.L. Rhodes<sup>12</sup>, S.P. Smith<sup>1</sup>, A.C. Sontag<sup>7</sup>, W.M. Stacey<sup>13</sup>, J. Walk<sup>3</sup>, W. Wan<sup>11</sup>, E.H-J. Wang<sup>4</sup>, J.G. Watkins<sup>14</sup>, A.E. White<sup>3</sup>, D.G. Whyte<sup>3</sup>, Z. Yan<sup>6</sup>, E.A. Belli<sup>1</sup>, B.D. Bray<sup>1</sup>, J. Candy<sup>1</sup>, R.M. Churchill<sup>3</sup>, T.M. Deterly<sup>1</sup>, E.J. Doyle<sup>12</sup>, M.E. Fenstermacher<sup>4</sup>, N.M. Ferraro<sup>1</sup>, A.E. Hubbard<sup>3</sup>, I. Joseph<sup>4</sup>, J.E. Kinsey<sup>1</sup>, B. LaBombard<sup>3</sup>, C.J. Lasnier<sup>4</sup>, Z. Lin<sup>9</sup>, B.L. Lipschultz<sup>3</sup>, C. Liu<sup>1</sup>, Y. Ma<sup>3</sup>, G.R. McKee<sup>6</sup>, D.M. Ponce<sup>1</sup>, J.C. Rost<sup>3</sup>, L. Schmitz<sup>12</sup>, G.M. Staebler<sup>1</sup>, L.E. Sugiyama<sup>3</sup>, J.L. Terry<sup>3</sup>, M.V. Umansky<sup>4</sup>, R.E. Waltz<sup>1</sup>, S.M. Wolfe<sup>3</sup>, L. Zeng<sup>12</sup>, S.J. Zweben<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>General Atomics, PO Box 85608, San Diego, CA 92186-5608, USA

<sup>2</sup>Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, PO Box 451, Princeton, NJ 08543-0451, USA

<sup>3</sup>Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139-4307 USA

<sup>4</sup>Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, PO Box 808, Livermore, CA 94551, USA

<sup>5</sup>University of California San Diego, 9500 Gilman Dr., La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

<sup>6</sup>University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1150 University Ave., Madison, WI 53706-1390, USA

<sup>7</sup>Oak Ridge National Laboratory, PO Box 2008, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

<sup>8</sup>University of Toronto Institute for Aerospace Studies, Toronto, Ontario, M3H 576, Canada

<sup>9</sup>University of California Irvine, PO Box 6050 Irvine, CA 92616-6050, USA

<sup>10</sup>Tech-X, Boulder, CO 80303, USA

<sup>11</sup>University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, CO 80309, USA

<sup>12</sup>University of California Los Angeles, 405 Hilgard Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

<sup>13</sup>Georgia Institute of Technology, North Ave., Atlanta, GA 30332, USA

<sup>14</sup>Sandia National Laboratory, PO Box 5800, Albuquerque, NM 87185, USA

**Abstract.** Joint experiment/theory/modeling research has led to increased confidence in predictions of the pedestal height in ITER. This work was performed as part of a US Department of Energy Joint Research Target in FY11 to identify physics processes that control the H-mode pedestal structure. The study included experiments on C-Mod, DIII-D and NSTX as well as interpretation of experimental data with theory-based modeling codes. This work provides increased confidence in the ability of models for peeling-ballooning stability, bootstrap current, pedestal width and pedestal height scaling to make correct predictions, with some areas needing further work also being identified. A model for pedestal pressure height has made good predictions in existing machines for a range in pressure of a factor of 20. This provides a solid basis for predicting the maximum pedestal pressure height in ITER, which is found to be an extrapolation of a factor of 3 beyond the existing data set. Models were studied for a number of processes that are proposed to play a role in the pedestal  $n_e$  and  $T_e$  profiles. These processes include neoclassical transport, paleoclassical transport, electron temperature gradient turbulence and neutral fueling. All of these processes may be important, with the importance being dependent on the plasma regime. Studies with several electromagnetic gyrokinetic codes show that the gradients in and on top of the pedestal can drive a number of instabilities.