

# COMPARISON OF SWIRL TUBE AND HYPERVAPOTRON FOR COOLING OF ITER HIGH HEAT FLUX COMPONENTS\*

C.B. Baxi  
General Atomics, San Diego, California 92186-9784

## ABSTRACT SUBMISSION FORM 16th IEEE/NPSS Symposium on Fusion Engineering

September 30 — October 5, 1995  
Champaign, Illinois, USA

The ITER divertor will have a peak steady-state heat flux of 5 MW/m<sup>2</sup> and a heat flux of 15 MW/m<sup>2</sup> for up to 10 s duration. Hypervapotron (HV) and swirl tube (ST) enhancement techniques are under consideration for cooling, in order to achieve a sufficient margin on critical heat flux at a reasonable flow velocity. Comparison of HV and ST is difficult and can be misleading because of many fundamental differences between these two devices, such as: (a) ratio of surface heat flux to coolant channel heat flux: In case of swirl tube this ratio is about 1.5. On the other hand, this ratio is close to 1.0 for HV. (b) Flow area: Flow area per unit heat flux area is different for HV and ST. The area for HV is considerably smaller. (c) Critical Heat Flux (CHF): Not only are the correlations for critical heat flux values for the two concepts different but also the ratio of incident heat flux to wall heat flux different. For the ST the tube thickness is small and we will use the CHF values predicted by the GA-Tong correlation. For HV a new CHF correlation based on experimental data is presented. To compare the two concepts we will compare the incident critical heat fluxes (ICHF). (d) The Pressure Drop: The friction factor correlations for these two devices are different. The friction factor correlation for ST is well known. A new friction factor correlation for HV based on existing data is presented in this paper.

A comparison of the two concepts was performed based on equal heat flux area. The comparison shows that the pumping power required for HV is slightly higher (about 1%) and the ICHF is slightly lower (8%) for HV compared to ST. These differences are small enough and uncertainties in all correlations large enough so that the choice between the two concepts should be based on other considerations such as (1) cost and ease of fabrication, (2) ease of brazing, and (3) volume and reliability of available experimental data.

\*Work supported by Subcontract Y3E034R to McDonald Douglas.

Paper Title: **Comparison Of Swirl Tube  
And Hypervapotron For Cooling Of  
Iter High Heat Flux Components**

Technical Topic Number: **2**

Keywords:

- (1)
- (2)
- (3)

☒ If an oral presentation is requested (rather than the standard poster presentation) indicate here

☐ Enter my paper in the "Distinguished Paper" competition. (Requires August 30 submission of full paper)

Submitted by:

Signature

Typed Name: C.B. BAXI

Institution/Company General Atomics

Address P.O. Box 85608

City, Province, State/Postal Code  
San Diego, California 92186-9784

Country USA

Phone: (619) 455-3150

Fax: 619 455-2266

E-mail: baxi@gav.gat.com