CONTROL OF PLASMA-STORED ENERGY FOR BURN CONTROL USING DIII-D IN-VESSEL COILS

by R.J. HAWRYLIK, N.W. EIDIETIS, B.A. GRIERSON, A.W. HYATT, E. KOLEMEN, N. LOGAN, R. NAZIKIAN, C. PAZ-SOLDAN, W.M. SOLOMON, and S. WOLFE

SEPTEMBER 2014



DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

CONTROL OF PLASMA-STORED ENERGY FOR BURN CONTROL USING DIII-D IN-VESSEL COILS

by

R.J. HAWRYLIK,* N.W. EIDIETIS, B.A. GRIERSON,* A.W. HYATT, E. KOLEMEN,* N. LOGAN,* R. NAZIKIAN,* C. PAZ-SOLDAN, W.M. SOLOMON,* and S. WOLFE[†]

This is a preprint of a paper to be presented at the Twenty-Fifth IAEA Fusion Energy Conf., October 13-18, 2014 in Saint Petersburg, Russia, and published in the *Proceedings*.

*Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey. [†]Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under DE-AC02-09CH11466 and DE-FC02-99ER54512

GENERAL ATOMICS PROJECT 30200 SEPTEMBER 2014



Control of Plasma-Stored Energy for Burn Control Using DIII-D In-Vessel Coils

PPC/P2-33

R.J. Hawryluk¹, N.W. Eidietis², B.A. Grierson¹, A.W. Hyatt², E. Kolemen¹, N. Logan¹, R. Nazikian¹, C. Paz-Soldan², W.M. Solomon¹, and S. Wolfe³

¹Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, PO Box 451, Princeton, NJ 08543-0451, USA
²General Atomics, PO Box 85608, San Diego, CA 92186-5608, USA
³Oak Ridge Institute for Science Education, Oak Ridge, TN 37830-8050, USA
⁴Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Ave, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
e-mail contact of main author: rhawryluk@pppl.gov

Abstract. A new approach has been experimentally demonstrated to control the stored energy by applying a non-axisymmetric magnetic field using the DIII-D in-vessel coils to modify the energy confinement time. In future burning plasma experiments as well as magnetic fusion energy power plants, various concepts have been proposed to control the fusion power. The fusion power in a power plant operating at high gain can be related to the plasma-stored energy and hence, is a strong function of the energy confinement time. Thus, an actuator, that modifies the confinement time, can be used to adjust the fusion power. In relatively low collisionality DIII-D discharges, the application of non-axisymmetric magnetic fields results in a decrease in confinement time and density pumpout. Gas puffing was used to compensate the density pumpout in the pedestal while control of the stored energy was demonstrated by the application of non-axisymmetric fields.

1. Introduction

In a magnetic fusion power plant, the heating from alpha particles due to deuterium-tritium reactions dominates the energy balance in the plasma, which, depending on the temperature of the plasma and scaling of the energy confinement time, can result in a thermal runaway condition or in thermal stability. Previous studies for the burning plasma experiment, ITER, have shown that for the high temperature operating conditions of ITER and estimates of the global energy confinement time, the plasma should be thermally stable [1–9]. Many of these studies used zero dimensional analysis of the plasma performance and the resulting operational regime was characterized by POPCON plots. Though the plasmas are predicted to be thermally stable, small variations in the energy confinement time will still result in large variations in the fusion power in a power plant, which will need to be controlled. A new approach has been experimentally demonstrated to control the stored energy by applying a non-axisymmetric magnetic field using the DIII-D in-vessel coils to modify the energy confinement time, which can be used in a power plant to control the fusion power.

Several techniques have been proposed to control the fusion power. The fusion power from deuterium-tritium reactions is proportional to $\int n_d \cdot n_t \langle \sigma v \rangle dV_{p_{\pm}}$ In the ion temperature, T_i , range between 10 and 20 keV, $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ is approximately proportional to T_i^2 . Hence the fusion power scales as $(n_d \cdot n_t) T_i^2 V_p = p_i^2 V_p/4$ for $n_d = n_t$ and $p_i = (n_d + n_t) T_i$. These simple considerations have motivated approaches to control the fusion power by controlling the ion temperature or the fuel density. Auxiliary heating can be used to control the ion temperature [e.g. Refs. 3,10]. This is a standard technique in current tokamak discharges in which the stored energy is feedback controlled by varying the neutral beam or radiofrequency power. In a power plant, it is desirable to minimize the circulating power and lower the fusion power gain, Q, of a burning plasma [9]. Control of the fuel density is also a standard technique in present tokamak discharges using gas puffing. In future power plants, as well as in ITER, pellet fueling may replace gas puffing. One potential issue with this technique is the dynamic range. The upper end of the density range is set by degradations in confinement and stability

as the density approaches the Greenwald limit [11]. The lower end is set by the requirements for either divertor detachment or maintaining a highly radiative divertor. Another issue is that depending on the fraction of particles that enter into the divertor from the core and are removed by the pumping system, the timescale for reducing the density may be appreciably longer than the energy confinement time. Nonetheless, density control is an approach extensively discussed in the literature (e.g. in Ref. [4]) and would have to be considered even if on a slower timescale. A related approach is control of the deuterium to tritium ratio. This has the advantage of being decoupled from density control but has the related issue of the long timescale needed for modifying the isotope ratio. Another approach is the use of impurity injection to increase the power radiated and reduce the confinement as discussed [9]. In addition, the use of toroidal field ripple induced transport was proposed by Petrie and Rawls [12] to avoid thermal runaway due to the strong ion temperature dependence.

While the issues with the application of auxiliary heating and density control are not fundamental, they have motivated the examination of other complementary approaches that can be used in conjunction with them to control the fusion power. The energy confinement time has a strong impact on the fusion power. Therefore, one complementary approach is the use of a method that directly changes the energy confinement time. This can be illustrated by considering the following. In a burning plasma, the energy stored in the electron channel is comparable to that in the ion channel. Thus the fusion power scales approximately as W^2/V_p , where W is the total plasma stored energy. The plasma energy in steady-state can be approximately given by: $W/\tau_E = P_{aux} + P_{alpha}$, where P_{aux} is the auxiliary heating power and P_{alpha} is the alpha heating power, which for deuterium-tritium reactions is 0.2 P_{fusion} , not taking into account the fusion power generated in the blanket. The energy confinement time in high-confinement (H-mode) discharges is given by:

$$\tau_{E,th}^{IPB98(y,2)} = 0.0562 H_{IPB98(y,s)} I_p^{0.93} B_T^{0.25} \overline{n}_e^{0.91} P^{-0.69} R^{1.97} M^{0.19} \kappa_x^{0.78} \varepsilon^{0.58}$$

where I_p is the plasma current, B_T is the toroidal field (TF), ne is the volume-averaged density, M is the averaged mass number, R is the major radius and ε is the aspect ratio (a/R, a is the horizontal minor radius). The units are (s, MA, T, MW, 10¹⁹ m⁻³, AMU, m) and the elongation κ_x is defined as $\kappa_x = S_0/(\pi a^2)$ with S_0 the plasma cross-sectional area [11]. The H-factor, $H_{IPB98(y,2)}=1$, correspond to a best fit to experimental data in the international database but can also be considered a variable that can corresponds to different experimental conditions not reflected in the scaling variables. For a discharge in which alpha heating is negligible compared with auxiliary heating, the fusion power, which scales as W^2 , scales as $P_{aux}^{0.62}$ $H_{IPB98(y,2)}^2$. In many current experiments to keep the stored energy constant the auxiliary heating power is feedback controlled to compensate for variations in the H-factor. In a power plant operating near ignition, the auxiliary power is very small compared with the alpha heating power. Thus for constant machine parameters including density, the fusion power would scale approximately as $H_{IPB98(v,2)}^{2/0.38}$ or $H_{IPB98(v,2)}^{5.3}$ if the auxiliary heating power were negligible. Since the empirical scaling does not capture all of the physics issues associated with both transport and macrostability, it suggests that techniques that can reliably affect the energy confinement time with respect to the nominal operating point defined by the empirical scaling have the potential to substantial change the fusion power.

The application of non-axisymmetric fields in TEXT [13] and Tore Supra [14] was accompanied by reductions in electron density. More recent experiments in low collisionality discharges on DIII-D have shown that the application of non-axisymmetric fields is accompanied by changes to the pedestal of the discharge, typically resulting in decreases in energy confinement and edge density as well as changes in the toroidal rotation velocity in the edge [15]. Hence, non-axisymmetric fields may serve as an actuator for controlling the fusion power. These fields can also modify edge localized mode (ELM) stability, which is a very important topic of substantial relevance to ITER. The focus here will be on regimes with

modest changes in ELM stability in which the frequency of ELMs increases with the application of non-axisymmetric fields and is accompanied by changes in energy and particle confinement. An empirical approach is taken in noting the change in transport for these conditions even though a comprehensive understanding of these changes is not available at this time. It is worth noting that in higher collisionality and density discharges studied on DIII-D and ASDEX-Upgrade, the application of non-axisymmetric fields does not result in degradation in confinement or reduction in density [16,17]. The operating conditions under which the degradation in energy confinement takes place are still under study.

Feedback systems to control the stored energy by the application of auxiliary heating are widely used in current experiments to improve the discharge reproducibility by compensating for changes in confinement due to transport effects and MHD activity, which if uncompensated can either increase or decrease the stored energy relative to the nominal operating point. In a power plant, the choice of an operating point near but avoiding operating boundaries such as disruption limits would have to take into account the effect of the nonaxisymmetric fields. Increasing the magnitude of the non-axisymmetric fields to decrease confinement could be used to stay within operating boundaries such as disruptions, compensate for the formation of internal transport barriers or other effects including high heat load to the divertor. If the operating point were to include a modest component of nonaxisymmetric fields then decreasing the field could be used to increase the stored energy. In a power plant, operation away from limits that could lead to a disruption or overheat in-vessel components will have even greater importance than in current experiments. Thus, an actuator than can reduce (or increase) the stored energy and hence the fusion power is beneficial. This paper will illustrate that the application of non-axisymmetric fields to control the stored energy can be a potential actuator for a power plant.

2. Experimental Conditions

A lower single-null neutral-beam-heated DIII-D discharge with the strikepoint located such as to enable effective cryo-pumping was used throughout these experiments. The basic machine parameters were $B_T = 1.91$ T, $I_p = 1.36$ MA, a = 0.59 m, R = 1.77 m and $\kappa = 1.82$.

Due to a hardware failure, only 11 of 12 DIII-D in-vessel coils ("I-coils") were available to create an even parity n=3 field. To compensate for the toroidal sidebands introduced by the missing I-coil, the ex-vessel coils ("C-coils") were used in n=1 configuration to correct the most detrimental poloidal harmonics of the undesired sideband [18]. Feedback commands to the I-coils were mapped to the C-coils, thus all non-axisymmetric coils were under simultaneous feedback control to deliver the purest possible n=3 field. Furthermore, baseline n=1 correction of the DIII-D intrinsic error field was also provided by the C-coil. In these experiments, the conditions were chosen to avoid fully suppressing ELMs by operating outside of known resonances in the edge safety factor. Nonetheless, the application of the non-axisymmetric fields increased the ELM frequency.

3. Feedback Approach

A baseline configuration was established and the current in the I-coil was controlled based on the difference between the stored energy in the plasma and a pre-set level. An even parity n=3 I-coil configuration was used in an ITER Similar Shape (ISS) discharge with $q_{95}\approx4.1$. In these experiments the stored energy in the plasma based on magnetic measurements and EFIT reconstruction is used as a surrogate for the fusion power. In a power plant, direct measurement of the D-T neutron flux could be used. While neutron measurements are available in DIII-D, they are dominated by beam-target reactions. Hence, they would not simulate the fusion power from thermal reactions that would dominate ITER or a power plant. In these experiments, the maximum current in the I-coils was limited within the safe operating range for the coils as well as the ramp-rate to avoid mechanical resonances in the I-coil structure. A simple proportional gain feedback loop was used when the stored energy exceeded a pre-set level.

In addition to controlling the stored energy, gas puffing was used in some experiments to simultaneously control the pedestal density. Controlling the pedestal density was motivated by several considerations. In both ITER and a power plant, operation at relatively high density is desirable because it is possible to operate at lower temperature and higher reactivity for fixed beta and to increase the radiated power in the scrape-off and divertor. Furthermore, due to the density dependence in the energy confinement time scaling, it is desirable to operate at high density though as noted earlier the experimental data deviates from the scaling law projections in the vicinity of the Greenwald limit. In a tokamak power plant operating in steady state, current drive efficiency and fraction of bootstrap current need to also be considered in defining the density operating point. In ITER and most likely in a power plant, the density would be controlled by pellet injection. For the DIII-D experiments, Thomson scattering data is acquired and real-time analysis of this data is performed to obtain the density at the top of the pedestal. Then, deuterium gas injection is adjusted by changing

the gas valve voltage using a proportional-integralderivative (PID) controller to keep the pedestal density constant. This is in contrast with the normal core density feedback system on DIII-D, in which interferometer data is used to measure and control the line-averaged density.

4. Experimental Results

4.1. Assessment of Plasma Response

A baseline was established as shown in Fig. 1 in which the non-axisymmetic field was applied at the level of 4 kA and 2 kA. This illustrates a ~30% and ~24% decrease in the confinement at 4 kA and 2 kA respectively and ~33% and ~14% decrease in the pedestal density at 4 kA and 2 kA respectively. The H-factor was reduced by ~31% and ~21% in this case. The electron pressure at the top of the pedestal decreased by ~36% and ~17%. Figure 1 illustrates that even with modest applications of the non-axisymmetric fields there is sufficient reduction in the energy confinement time to affect the fusion power. This also points out that the change in the pedestal density must be considered in controlling the plasma response.

4.2. Assessment of Feedback Control



Fig. 1. Four and two kA pulses of the in-vessel coils (I-coils) were applied to observe the decrease in the global energy confinement time and edge pedestal density.

To examine the control of the plasma, three discharges were compared. The stored energy without the application of the non-axisymmetric field was 1.1 MJ in shot 155408. In the subsequent experiments, the pre-programmed value of the stored energy was set to 1.0 MJ to evaluate whether the application of the non-axisymmetric fields can control the stored energy (shot 155410) and compare it with conventional stored energy feedback using the neutral beam system (shot 155409). As shown in Fig. 2, the application of the non-axisymmetric fields enables control of the stored energy to the pre-programmed level. The regulation of the stored energy by means of I-coil feedback yields comparable to or more stationary conditions than by the conventional approach of varying the neutral beam power. The standard deviation as measured using the stored energy waveforms sensitive to fluctuations such as ELMs is

nearly the same with both control approaches, whereas the standard deviation using the filtered waveforms on the timescale of the control loop indicate that it is reduced when the I-coils are used. This is likely due to the neutral beam control using a much coarser actuator, which involves turning on or off a full beam source (~ 2 MW) compared with the continuous variation achievable with the coil current. Furthermore, the temperature and density profiles are found to have less variability as a function of time.

While these results are encouraging, they are not a demanding test of whether the feedback system can compensate larger excursions in the plasma parameters. To simulate a larger transient for instance due to an intrinsic improvement in the alpha heating or in plasma transport rates resulting in improved confinement, the neutral beam power was increased from 5.67 MW to 6.85 MW and then further to 7.73 MW (Fig. 3). The control loop was set to keep the stored energy constant. For comparison, the H-mode scaling relationship would indicate that the stored energy should increase by 10% whereas to keep the stored energy constant the confinement decreased about 30% in response to the application of the non-axisymmetric fields and power degradation with H-mode scaling. The ability to change the confinement this much illustrates that this is a potentially powerful tool in controlling the fusion power. With the choice of gain in the feedback loop and the restrictions of coil current, the stored energy was kept constant to within 3%.

4.3. Incorporating Pedestal Density Feedback

In the experiments reported above, the pedestal density changed with the application of the nonaxisymmetric fields. In Fig. 4, a "power surge in neutral beam power" as performed in Fig. 3 was used to evaluate the control of both the stored energy and the pedestal density. The results shown in Fig. 4 indicate that fueling can compensate the loss in density associated with the application of a non-axisymmetric field.

4.4. Initial Assessment of Impact of Reduced Torque

Initial studies were performed replacing one cosource with a counter-source. The maximum stored energy in those discharges with and without the





Fig. 2. The stored energy was controlled by applying a non-axisymmetric field (n=3) using the I-coils in a closed feedback loop (green-155410) and compared with a shot without feedback control (black-155408) and with a shot with neutral beam power feedback (red-155409).



Fig. 3. The stored energy was controlled by varying the current in the I-coils in response to variations in the neutral beam heating power. Note the small variation in the stored energy.

application of non-axisymmetric fields was observed to be lower and had large MHD oscillations. Observation of reduced energy confinement with reduced torque is common for

discharges that have initially high *ExB* shearing rates from co-current neutral beam injection (NBI) [19,20]. There is often an increase in MHD amplitude as well. The observation that both the stored energy and the rotation velocity decrease with the application of the non-axisymmetric fields raises the question whether this technique can be used in discharges which are rotating less and in the presence of increased MHD activity.

For comparison, two discharges in which a counter-beam replaced a co-beam at different times are shown in Figs 5 and 6. The stored energy was controlled by the application of non-axisymmetric fields. In these discharges there is a complex interplay between transport and MHD activities and the application of non-axisymmetric fields and applied torque from the neutral beams. For example, in shot 155440, the stored energy and the energy confinement time is the same at \sim 3.7 s and \sim 4.7 s despite the torque being different. Though the applied torque in the two shots at \sim 4.7 s is different; the energy confinement time is the same.

The toroidal rotation velocity responds in part to the applied torque from neutral beam injection; however, it is also affected by changes in the n=1 and n=2 MHD activity and the application of the non-axisymmetric fields. During the co-only phase (prior to 3 s) of shots 155428 and 155440 (Fig. 6), the rotation velocity decreased with the application of I-coil feedback starting at the edge but also due to changes in MHD activity. The estimated integrated torque from neoclassical toroidal viscosity due to the non-axisymmetric fields can be significant compared with the injected torque. A detailed comparison of experiment with theory was not done, in part, due to the complexity associated with MHD activity in these discharges and the sensitivity of the results to the plasma equilibrium. The addition of a counter source further reduced the rotation in the core and in the edge, as would be expected. Though the torque is larger in shot 155440 at 4.5 s than 2.8 s, the rotation velocity is less than in the earlier phase of the discharge (2.8 s); however, the non-axisymmetric field is larger at 4.5 s. It is also observed that the MHD activity is higher and the momentum transport is worse, both resulting in larger effective momentum diffusivity, χ_* that inhibits rotation re-spinning up despite the increased NBI torque. The reason for this seemingly hysteresis effect in the pedestal



Fig. 4. Comparison of a discharge without pedestal density feedback (155414) with shots with pedestal density feedback (155420) in the presence of a "power surge".



Fig. 5. Comparison of discharges with a ctr-source replacing a co-source. In shot 155428, the ctr-source was applied at 3 s for 2 s and in shot 155440 at 3 s for 1 s. In shots 155428 and 155440 the stored energy was controlled by I-coil feedback.

rotation velocity is not understood even though the global parameters of stored energy and pedestal density are controlled. Solomon *et al.* [20] reported a related observation in

advanced inductive (AI) discharges, without the application of non-axisymmetric fields, that when starting from a low torque AI discharge, if the torque is ramped up to levels typical for co-only NBI discharges, then the usual high confinement and rotation of rapidly rotating AI plasmas is not recovered.

What is perhaps striking is that though multiple effects are going on in these discharges including changes in plasma rotation, and MHD activity, it was possible to use this relatively simple feedback technique to control simultaneously the stored energy and the pedestal density, though for a brief period of time in shot 155428 the in-vessel coil current was limited to a preset maximum of 4 kA.

5. Analysis and Implications

The use of non-axisymmetric fields to control the stored energy by modification of the energy transport has been demonstrated in a tokamak. The application of this approach to control the plasma reactivity in a burning plasma experiment was studied by examining fast ion and thermal profile effects using TRANSP. Using the measured temperature and density profiles, the calculated stored energy is in good agreement with magnetic measurements. As shown in Fig. 7, the effect of the in-vessel coils in a discharge with a "power surge", corresponding to increased neutral beam injection power, is to keep the total stored energy constant but decreases the fraction of thermal stored energy due to the increased energy in the beam ions.

The predicted neutron flux is in good agreement with the measured flux [Fig. 8(b)] using the standard beam model in TRANSP, which does not take into account the effect of the non-axisymmetric fields. The uncertainty in the neutron flux is $\pm 15\%$. Most of the neutron flux is due to beam-target reactions as shown in Fig. 8(a). The time dependence of the ratio of the measured neutron flux to the calculated neutron flux does not change significantly (within $\pm 5\%$.) with the application of the non-axisymmetric fields. This is a tighter constraint than the comparison with the stored energy in Fig. 7 since it is a direct assessment of the fast ion contribution, which is only $\sim 20\%$ of the total stored energy. Thus for these conditions, the achievement of control of the stored energy despite the increased heating power was not due to a decrease of the fast ion component but of the thermal component, which is what is desirable in a burning plasma experiment. If the effect of the non-axisymmetric fields were to predominately expel the fast ion component,



Fig. 6. Measurements of the injected torque, MHD activity and toroidal velocity at $\rho = 0.3$ and $\rho = 0.75$ for the discharges shown in Fig. 5.



Fig. 7. (a) TRANSP analysis of the stored energy as a function of time for a discharge (155414) with a "power surge". (b) Ratio of stored energy from TRANSP to the energy from magnetics measurements. The ratios of the calculated thermal and beam ion stored energy to the measured total are shown for comparison.

burn control might be feasible but the use of the stored energy as a surrogate for the plasma reactivity would have been incorrect.

TRANSP has also been used to evaluate profile effects and address whether the application

of the non-axisymmetric fields merely changes the stored energy in the plasma periphery or the value of Z_{eff} . As expected the use of the total stored energy is a reasonable surrogate for this study and the effect of the non-axisymmetric fields was not to merely modify the energy stored in the plasma periphery. In a burning plasma, direct measurements of the fusion power from thermal reactions will be possible and the use of the stored energy as a surrogate will not be needed.

These experiments were conducted over a limited parameter range. Further work is required to understand the relationship between the application of non-axisymmetric fields and confinement degradation and density pump-out. Nonetheless, in regimes in which this occurs this appears to be a powerful technique to alter



Fig. 8. (a) TRANSP calculations of the neutron flux due to beam target, beam-beam and thermonuclear reactions for shot 155412 in which the I-coils were turned on and off are compared with the measured neutron flux. (b) The ratio of the predicted flux to measured flux is shown for comparison.

the confinement. As noted earlier in a burning plasma operating near ignition, variations of $\sim 10\%$ in the confinement time may be sufficient to control the plasma in conjunction with other actuators to define the operating point. These experiments indicate that this is achievable.

This material is based upon work supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Fusion Energy Sciences, using the DIII-D National Fusion Facility, a DOE Office of Science user facility, under Awards DE-AC02-09CH11466 and DE-FC02-04ER54698.

References

- [1] CHANIOTAKIS E.A. *et al.* 1989 "CIT Burn Control Using Auxiliary Power Modulation," MIT document PFC/RR-89-16, DOE/ET-51-13-276
- [2] HO S K. and FENSTERMACHER M.E. 1989 Fusion Technol. 16 185
- [3] MANDREKAS J. and STACEY Jr W.M. 1989 Fusion Technol. 19 57
- [4] ITER Physics Basis Editors et al. 1999 Nucl. Fusion 39 2577
- [5] ITER Physics Basis Editors et al. 1999 Nucl. Fusion 39 2627
- [6] MITARAI O. et al. 1997 Nucl. Fusion 371523
- [7] REBHAN E. and VIETH U. 1997 Nucl. Fusion 37 251
- [8] BURBAUMER Heimo and KAMELANDER Gerald 2000 Fusion Technol. 37 131
- [9] GRIBOV Y. et al. 2007 Fusion Technol. 47 S385
- [10] DE ESCH H.P.L. STORK D., CHALLIS C. and TUBBING B. 1995 Fusion Eng. Design 26 (1995) 589
- [11] DOYLE E.J. et al. 2007 Nucl. Fusion **47** S18
- [12] PETRIE T.W. et al. 1980 Nucl. Fusion 20 419
- [13] McCOOL S.C. et al. 1990 Nucl. Fusion 30 167
- [14] GROSMAN A. et al. 1990 J. Nucl. Mater. 176-177 493
- [15] EVANS T.E. et al. 2008 Nucl. Fusion **48** 024002
- [16] EVANS T.E. et al. 2005 Nucl. Fusion 45 595
- [17] SUTTROP W. et al. 2011 Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 225004
- [18] PAZ-SOLDAN C. et al. 2014 Nucl. Fusion 54 073013
- [19] POLITZER P.A. et al. 2008 Nucl. Fusion 48 075001
- [20] SOLOMON W.M. et al. 2013 Nucl. Fusion 53 093033.