GA-A27779

ACHIEVING STEADY-STATE CONDITIONS IN HIGH BETA HYBRID SCENARIO IN DIII-D

by C.C. PETTY, F. TURCO, C.T. HOLCOMB, J.E. KINSEY, T.C. LUCE, J.R. FERRON, E.J. DOYLE, A.M. GAROFALO, A.W. HYATT, and G.L. JACKSON

APRIL 2014



DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

ACHIEVING STEADY-STATE CONDITIONS IN HIGH BETA HYBRID SCENARIO IN DIII-D

by

C.C. PETTY, F. TURCO,* C.T. HOLCOMB,[†] J.E. KINSEY, T.C. LUCE, J.R. FERRON, E.J. DOYLE,[‡] A.M. GAROFALO, A.W. HYATT, and G.L. JACKSON

> This is a preprint of the synopsis for a paper to be presented at the Twenty-Fifth IAEA Fusion Energy Conf., October 13-18, 2014 in Saint Petersburg, Russia.

*Columbia University, New York, New York. [†]Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California. [‡]University of California Los Angeles, California.

Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under DE-FC02-04ER54698, DE-FG02-04ER54761, DE-AC52-07NA27344, and DE-FG02-08ER54984

GENERAL ATOMICS PROJECT 30200 APRIL 2014



Achieving Steady-State Conditions in High-Beta Hybrid Scenario in DIII-D

C.C. Petty¹, F. Turco², C.T. Holcomb³, J.E. Kinsey¹, T.C. Luce¹, J.R. Ferron¹, E.J. Doyle⁴, A.M. Garofalo¹, A.W. Hyatt¹ and G.L. Jackson¹

¹General Atomics, PO Box 85608, San Diego, CA 92186-5608, USA

²Columbia University, 2960 Broadway, New York, NY 10027-6900, US

³Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, 7000 East Ave, Livermore, CA 94550, USA

⁴University of California Los Angeles, PO Box 957099, Los Angeles, CA 90095-7099, USA

40

30

∑ ≝ 20

^{2 snrt}

0

e-mail: petty@fusion.gat.com

Steady-state conditions with simultaneous high beta, high confinement and zero loop voltage have been achieved in 1 MA discharges in DIII-D using efficient central current drive in the hybrid scenario. While the usual "advanced tokamak" (AT) approach leverages off-axis current drive to maintain a high safety factor minimum $(q_{\min}>2)$ for high bootstrap current fraction [1], these experiments in DIII-D demonstrate the potential for a different steady-state AT regime based on the hybrid scenario [2,3]. This approach is characterized by an anomalously broad current profile that suppresses sawteeth by maintaining q_{\min} slightly above one, as well as excellent confinement (H_{98v2}=1.6) and stability m/n=2/1tearing modes. $(\beta_{\rm N}=3.6)$ to These experiments show that the beneficial characteristics of hybrids are maintained when strong central current drive from electron cyclotron (EC) and neutral beams (NBs) is applied to increase the non-inductive current fraction to $\approx 100\%$. Interestingly, good alignment between the current drive and plasma current profiles is not necessary as the poloidal magnetic flux pumping self-organizes the current density profile in hybrids with an m/n=3/2 tearing mode [4].

In hybrid discharges with central current drive, Fig. 1 shows that the surface loop voltage is driven down to zero for >1 τ_R when the poloidal beta is increased above 1.9 by raising the EC power to 3.05 MW and reducing I_P from 1.1 MA to 1.0 MA. Zero surface loop voltage is consistent with the calculated noninductive current. As seen in Fig. 2(a), hybrids can have slightly more than 50% bootstrap current fraction despite $q_{\min}\approx1$; the other half of the

-10 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 2.2 2.4 β_p Fig. 1. Measured surface loop voltage as a function of poloidal β in

hvbrids with central current drive.



Fig. 2. (a) Total current density from EFIT, and modeled current density from EC, NB and bootstrap. (b) Difference between total EFIT current density and modeled noninductive current densities.

1

C.C. Petty, et al.

1.1 MA, 4 gyrotrons

1.0 MA, 4 gyrotrons

1.0 MA, 6 gyrotrons

plasma current is driven efficiently using central EC and NB current drive. The high on-axis current drive efficiency compensates for the moderate bootstrap current fraction. The current profile anomaly is displayed in Fig. 2(b), where the modeled noninductive current density is subtracted from the total current density determined by a well-constrained EFIT equilibrium reconstruction. Inside of the q=3/2 surface the current profile is predicted to be strongly overdriven, and time dependent TRANSP modeling shows that q_{\min} should drop to ~0.8 by the end of the discharge. The fact that q_{\min} remains above unity and sawteeth are suppressed shows that the hybrid scenario maintains an anomalously broad current profile even in the presence of strong central current drive.

Steady-state hybrid plasmas can achieve $\beta_N=3.6$ ($\beta_T=3.4\%$) for the full duration of the NB pulse (>1 τ_R) without exciting the deleterious m/n=2/1 tearing mode. The theoretical stability limits are calculated by the DCON code using EFIT reconstructions constrained by the experimental pressure profile, motional Stark effect (MSE) polarimetry and a neoclassical calculation of the pedestal bootstrap current density. Figure 3 shows that the experimental β_N exceeds the no-wall n=1 stability limit ($\langle \beta_N \rangle = 2.9$) while remaining well below the ideal withwall n=1 limit ($\langle \beta_N \rangle = 4.3$). It is interesting to note that the stabilizing effect of the wall in these plasmas, (β - $\beta_{no-wall}$)/($\beta_{with-wall}$ - $\beta_{no-wall}$) ≈ 0.33 , is $6 - \frac{134498}{2}$

comparable to the AT scenario with $q_{\min}>2$.

The thermal energy confinement time in these steady-state hybrids is excellent. Even with strong electron heating from EC, confinement factors of up to H_{98y2} =1.6 are achieved. The experimental electron density and electron/ion temperature profiles are well reproduced by the TGLF transport model. Measured changes in electron thermal transport, due to shape-induced pedestal changes or electron heating, parallel the predicted changes in the level of high-k turbulence. In particular, TGLF predicts that density profile peaking is stabilizing for the ETG mode and should result in lower electron thermal transport. Including finite beta effects in the TGLF modeling has a mild



Fig. 3. Measured β_N for noninductive hybrid discharge compared to DCON calculations of the no-wall and ideal with-wall n=1 limits.

stabilizing effect, while the temperature profiles (at fixed density) are predicted to decrease by 12% on average if the effect of E×B shear is turned off in TGLF.

The high- β hybrid extrapolates favorably to steady-state scenarios in ITER and FNSF. Using the central EC current drive efficiency specified in the ITER Physics Basis, a zerodimensional physics model demonstrates that attractive scenarios with $Q_{\text{fus}}=3.5-3.8$ exist for steady-state operation in these devices; higher gains can be obtained if higher efficiency NB current drive is utilized. Therefore, high- β hybrid plasmas with central current drive should be considered as an alternative method for achieving the fusion performance goals in steadystate scenarios in ITER and FNSF.

This work was supported in part by the US Department of Energy under DE-FC02-04ER54698, DE-FG02-04ER54761, DE-AC52-07N27344, and DE-FG02-08ER54984.

- [2] Sips A.C.C. et al 2002 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 44 B69.
- [3] Luce T.C. et al 2001 Nucl. Fusion 41 1585.
- [4] Petty C.C. et al 2009 Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 045005.

^[1] Taylor T.S. et al 1994 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 36 B229.