# Rapporteured Orals: EXC/2-4Ra and EXC/2-4Rb

L-H Transition Studies on DIII-D to Determine H-mode Access for Operational Scenarios in ITER (EXC/2-4Ra)

by P. Gohil, et al.

#### JET Helium-4 ELMy H-mode Studies (EXC/2-4Rb)

by D.C. McDonald, et al.

Presented at Twenty-Third IAEA Fusion Energy Conference Daejeon, Republic of Korea

October 11-16, 2010













#### EXC/2-4Ra: P. Gohil, et al.

P. Gohil, T.E. Evans, M.E. Fenstermacher, J.R. Ferron, D.C. McDonald, T.H. Osborne, J.M. Park, O. Schmitz, J.T. Scoville and E.A. Unterberg

#### EXC/2-4Rb: D.C. McDonald, et al.

D.C. McDonald, G. Calabro, M. Beurskens, I. Day, E. de la Luna, S. Devaux, T. Eich, N. Fedorczak, O. Ford, W. Fundamenski, C. Giroud, P. Gohil, M. Lennholm, J. Lonnroth, P.J. Lomas, G.P. Maddison, C.F. Maggi, I. Nunes, G. Saibene, R. Sartori, W. Studholme, E. Surrey, I. Voitsekhovitch, K-D. Zastrow, and JET-EFDA contributors



## **Background/Motivation**

 Can H-mode be achieved in the first (non-nuclear) phase of ITER operations with He (and/or H) plasmas ?

- Need H-mode to test ELM mitigation techniques and hardware in ITER environment

- DIII-D experiments performed with balanced NBI (i.e. ~zero torque) and ECH in H, D and He plasmas (all reference to He imply <sup>4</sup>He)
- JET experiments performed with NBI and ICRH in D and He plasmas
- Examine physical trends not included in H-mode power threshold (P<sub>TH</sub>) scaling
- Determine methods to reduce the H-mode power threshold and extrapolate to ITER
- Quality of H-mode performance dependent on input power above threshold power
  - Affects pedestal behavior, ELM characteristics, etc



## The X-point Height has a Strong Effect on the H-mode Power Threshold for H, D and He

- Effect previously observed on DIII-D and other devices
- First systematic study of effect for H, D and He



- Edge E<sub>r</sub> shear and edge magnetic shear profiles show no significant change for low and high X-point locations
- Preliminary analysis indicates edge neutrals may be affecting the power threshold



## The X-point Height has a Strong Effect on the H-mode Power Threshold for H, D and He

- Effect previously observed on DIII-D and other devices
- First systematic study of effect for H, D and He



#### H-mode power threshold scaling for D plasmas

- $P_{TH}$ , SCAL08 (D) = 0.049  $n_e^{0.72} B_T^{0.80} S^{0.94}$ (units: 10<sup>20</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>, T, m<sup>2</sup>)
- X-point dependence is not included in the power threshold scalings
  - Results in factor of 2 difference between P<sub>TH</sub> at low X-point and the scaling prediction
- Edge E<sub>r</sub> shear and edge magnetic shear profiles show no significant change for low and high X-point locations
- Preliminary analysis indicates edge neutrals may be affecting the power threshold



### Difference in the H-mode Power Threshold Between He and D Plasmas Decreases at Higher Densities

#### • He and D plasmas ( $I_p = 1.0 \text{ MA}, B_T = 1.65 \text{ T}$ )

- Balanced NBI (i.e. zero torque) at same ion species as plasma species
  (D NBI → D; He NBI → He)
- ECH
- High X-point location
- At low densities (<3x10<sup>19</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>)
  P<sub>TH</sub> (He) ~1.5-2 P<sub>TH</sub> (D)
- At high densities (>3x10<sup>19</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>)
  P<sub>TH</sub> (He) ~1-1.5 P<sub>TH</sub> (D)





### Difference in the H-mode Power Threshold Between He and D Plasmas Decreases at Higher Densities

- He and D plasmas ( $I_p = 1.0 \text{ MA}, B_T = 1.65 \text{ T}$ )
  - Balanced NBI (i.e. zero torque) at same ion species as plasma species
    (D NBI → D; He NBI → He)
  - ECH
  - High X-point location
- At low densities (<3x10<sup>19</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>)
  P<sub>TH</sub> (He) ~1.5-2 P<sub>TH</sub> (D)
- At high densities (>3x10<sup>19</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>)
  P<sub>TH</sub> (He) ~1-1.5 P<sub>TH</sub> (D)
- Lowering the X-point will move all curves significantly downwards with respect to the scaling





#### Application of Strong Resonant n=3 RMP Fields Increase PTH in Helium Plasmas

• n=3 resonant magnetic perturbations (RMPs) applied by in vessel coils (I-coils)





#### Application of Strong Resonant n=3 RMP Fields Increase PTH in Helium Plasmas

- n=3 resonant magnetic perturbations (RMPs) applied by in vessel coils (I-coils)
- Stronger resonant components lead to higher PTH
- Similar effect observed with ECH





### For D Plasmas, there is a Minimum Required RMP Field Before P<sub>TH</sub> Increases

• Effect on P<sub>TH</sub> observed for  $\delta B/B_T > \sim 3x10^{-4}$ 





## For D Plasmas, there is a Minimum Required RMP Field Before P<sub>TH</sub> Increases

- Effect on P<sub>TH</sub> observed for  $\delta B/B_T > \sim 3x10^{-4}$
- Determined for both ECH and balanced D-NBI (plasma shape different to He plasma study)







2009 JET <sup>4</sup>He campaign



 In JET, L-H transitions followed by high f<sub>ELM</sub> phase identified as Type III, which is then followed by a transition to Type I ELMs



# L-H threshold



- L-H power threshold is not observed to change with <sup>4</sup>He concentration:  $P_{L-H}/P_{TH,SCAL(08)} \approx 1.4$
- <sup>4</sup>He L-H power threshold is significantly higher than in D at lower densities

**D C McDonald** 





P<sub>Type I</sub>/P<sub>TH,SCAL(08)</sub>(<sup>4</sup>He) = 1.4-1.6

P<sub>Type I</sub>/P<sub>TH,SCAL(08)</sub>(D) = 1.2-1.8

Low  $\tau_{\rm F}$  in <sup>4</sup>He associated with low p<sub>pe</sub>



- In matched <sup>4</sup>He/D pair
  - W<sub>th</sub>(<sup>4</sup>He)/W<sub>th</sub>(D)=0.6-0.8

 $p_{e,ped}(^{4}He)/p_{e,ped}(D)=0.6-0.8$ 

• Not purely an isotope effect as <sup>4</sup>He discharges had high  $n_{neut}$  and some <sup>4</sup>He discharges had high  $P_{rad}/P_{loss}$ <30%.

 $\Rightarrow$  improved  $\tau_{E}(^{4}He)/\tau_{E}(D)$  possible



# **Type I ELM divertor heat loads**



• ELM heat loads: <sup>4</sup>He and D have similar widths, but with <sup>4</sup>He ELMs having much longer arrival times – see W Fundamenski, EXD/P3-11,Wed am

RMPs did not mitigate <sup>4</sup>He ELMs. Believed to be related to the high n<sub>neut</sub> in <sup>4</sup>He rather than an isotope effect – see E de la Luna oral, EXC/8-4, Fri



# **ITER predictions**

P <sub>L-H</sub> /P <sub>TH,SCAL(08)</sub> ( <sup>4</sup> He) = 1-1.4
P <sub>I-III</sub> /P <sub>TH,SCAL(08)</sub> ( <sup>4</sup> He) = 1.4-1.6
P <sub>L-H</sub> /P <sub>TH,SCAL(08)</sub> (H) = 2
P <sub>I-III</sub> /P <sub>TH,SCAL(08)</sub> (H) = 3?
H <sub>98(y,2)</sub> ( <sup>4</sup> He)=0.6-0.8

#### ITER Half-field (7.5MA/2.65T) baseline

			Threshold power			
			<sup>₄</sup> He plasma		H plasma	
	<n<sub>e&gt; (10<sup>20</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>)</n<sub>	f <sub>Gr</sub>	(MW)	95% interval (MW)	(MW)	95% interval (MW)
L-H	0.25	0.42	18-25	12-40	37	20-66
L-H	0.5	0.85	30-42	20-65	60	33-108
Type I	0.5	0.85	42-48	23-86	90?	

• Existing physics base predicts hydrogen Type I ELMy H-mode operation is outside of maximum design power levels (73MW)

• <sup>4</sup>He Type I ELMy H-mode operation is within design power levels

#### Summary

- Strong dependence of  $P_{TH}$  on the X-point height at the divertor for H, D and He plasmas (not included in  $P_{TH}$  scaling)
- The difference between the H-mode threshold power (P<sub>TH</sub>) for He and D plasmas decreases at higher densities
- Resonant magnetic perturbations (n=3) increase  $P_{TH}$  in He and D plasmas
- Scan from D to He in JET showed no change in L-H power threshold, but density dependence of L-H power threshold in He was different from that in D
- Type I ELM threshold was found to be similar for D and He at approximately 1.5 times the ITPA 2008 L-H threshold scaling for D
- ITPA 2008 scaling predicts type I ELMy H-mode operation is <u>unlikely</u> in H, but <u>likely</u> in He
- Essential to include certain effects (e.g. X-point) and determine underlying physics of all known effects for reliable predictions by H-mode power threshold scalings





