## **RESULTS FROM RADIATING DIVERTOR EXPERIMENTS WITH RMP ELM SUPPRESSION**

by

T.W. PETRIE, N.H. BROOKS, T.E. EVANS, M.E. FENSTERMACHER, J.R. FERRON, B. HUDSON, A.W. HYATT, T.C. LUCE, S. MORDIJCK, T.H. OSBORNE, P.A. POLITZER, M.J. SCHAFFER, P.B. SNYDER and J.G. WATKINS

**APRIL 2010** 



## DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

## **RESULTS FROM RADIATING DIVERTOR EXPERIMENTS WITH RMP ELM SUPPRESSION**

by

T.W. PETRIE, N.H. BROOKS, T.E. ÉVANS, M.E. FENSTERMACHER\*, J.R. FERRON, B. HUDSON<sup>†</sup>, A.W. HYATT, T.C. LUCE, S. MORDIJCK<sup>‡</sup>, T.H. OSBORNE, P.A. POLITZER, M.J. SCHAFFER, P.B. SNYDER and J.G. WATKINS<sup>£</sup>

> This is a preprint of a paper to be presented at the 23rd IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, October 11–16, 2010 in Daejon, Republic of Korea and to be published in Proceedings.

\*Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California †Oak Ridge Institute for Science Education, Oak Ridge, Tennessee ‡University of Californi-San Diego, La Jolla, California £Sandia National Laboratory, Albuquerque, New Mexico

Work supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under DE-FC02-04ER54698, DE-AC52-07NA27344, DE-AC05-06OR23100, DE-FG02-07ER54917 and DE-AC04-94AL85000

GENERAL ATOMICS ATOMICS PROJECT 30200 APRIL 2010



The successful integration of edge localized mode (ELM) suppression using resonant magnetic perturbations (RMPs) with puff-and-pump [1] radiating divertor operation is demonstrated. In addition, because higher gas injection rates are needed to maintain plasma density after the RMP coils have been activated, a radiating divertor with RMP is shown to produce considerably higher levels of radiated power from the divertor and scrape-off layer (SOL)/edge plasma regions than comparable non-RMP discharges at the same density. These new results build on the theoretical and experimental progress made previously in identifying and understanding the underlying physics involved in two distinct topics, i.e., puff-and-pump radiating divertor operation [2] and ELM suppression using RMPs [3].

Recent experiments at DIII-D have studied aspects of the integration between RMP-based ELM suppression and radiating divertor operation, particularly comparing the behavior of injected "seed" argon impurities in both RMP and non-RMP puff-and-pump environments, and identifying what could limit the use of RMP ELM suppression with the radiating divertor. In these puff-and-pump scenarios, argon particles were injected into the private flux region of a single-null configuration, while plasma flow to the divertor was enhanced by a combination of particle pumping near the outer divertor target and deuterium gas puffing upstream. The H-mode plasmas in this study are characterized by  $H_{98(y,2)} \approx 0.9-1.2$ ,  $\beta_N \approx 2$ ,  $\bar{n}_e/n_{eG} \approx 0.3-0.7$ , and  $P_{RAD}/P_{INJ} \approx 0.3-0.8$ . Two sets of non-axisymmetric coils ("I-coils") produce a variety of RMPs in DIII-D [3].

ELM suppression by RMP diminished and was ultimately lost as the deuterium  $\Gamma_{D2}$  and argon  $\Gamma_{Ar}$  gas injection rates were increased. This is shown in Fig. 1 for three values of  $\Gamma_{D2}$ . The activation of the I-coils at t = 2.0 s resulted in an immediate decrease in the pedestal density  $n_{PED}$ , followed by ELM suppression;  $\bar{n}_e/n_{eG} \approx 0.5$  and 0.3 at t = 1.9 s (pre-activation of the I-coil) and 2.7 s (post-activation of the I-coil), respectively. Deuterium and argon injection began at 2.8 s and 3.2 s, respectively. Raising  $\Gamma_{D2}$  resulted in an increase in  $n_{PED}$  [Fig. 1(Ib-IIIb)] and a drop in pedestal electron temperature  $T_{PED}$  [Fig. 1(Ic-IIIc)], but the energy confinement factor H98(y,2) remained constant throughout the rest of the discharge [Fig 1(Id-IIId)]. After the initial drop in the edge electron pressure gradient VPe [Fig. 1(Ie-IIIe)] following I-coil activation, VPerecovered gradually over the remainder of the discharge and ELMing activity resumed. Peelingballooning mode analysis with the ELITE code suggests that these ELMs were Type-1.



Fig. 1. The re-emergence of ELMing activity for three distinct values of  $\Gamma_{D2}$ : zero (Col. I), 5.0 Pa m<sup>3</sup>/s (Col. II), and 10 Pa m<sup>3</sup>/s (Col. III).  $\Gamma_{Ar} = 0.05$  Pa m<sup>3</sup>/s was used in each case: (a) Deuterium recycling  $D_{\alpha}$ , (b)  $n_{PED}$ , (c)  $T_{PED}$ , (d) H98(y,2), and (e)  $\nabla Pe$ . I-coil = 5.8 kA in each case. Note that the argon (blue) and the deuterium (yellow) boxes in (b) represent only their injection times and are not to scale.

Differences in argon accumulation in the core between RMP ELM-suppressed and similar non-RMP ELMing H-mode plasmas were less than 20%, as shown in Fig. 2. It is important to note that the core concentration of argon in the RMP cases decreased as the deuterium gas puff rate increased in a way similar to that of comparable non-RMP cases. This would suggest that the physical processes detailed in the modeling reported previously [2] for non-RMP radiating divertor plasmas may also apply to corresponding RMP cases, i.e., the importance of particle

drifts in the SOL and divertor in "fueling" the core plasma. This is a key point, because the extensive studies in optimizing performance of radiating divertor plasmas in *non-RMP* cases may provide direction as to how radiating divertor cases *with RMP* can be optimized. We note that the return of Type-1 ELMing activity at higher densities in RMP plasmas may have been responsible for the similarity in argon impurity accumulation in the core plasma.

RMP was also found to enhance plasma radiated power during puff-and-pump operation. For example, a comparison of similarly-prepared H-mode plasmas with and without RMP (but with the same pedestal density) showed that the radiated power was  $\approx 30\%$  higher in the RMP case. This was largely due to the additional deuterium (and argon "seed" impurity) gas puffing needed to maintain the pedestal density after the I-coil had been activated, and this, in turn, produced a thicker SOL plasma and lower plasma temperatures in both the plasma edge and SOL/divertor that were favorable to enhancing radiated power. While H98(y,2) was reduced  $\approx 20\%$  after the I-coil was activated.



Fig. 2. Less argon accumulates in the core plasma  $(n_{AR})$  as  $\Gamma_{D2}$  is increased, in both RMP and non-RMP radiating divertor discharges. The plasma shape used in this study is shown in the inset.

the energy confinement time of these plasmas during subsequent puff-and-pump operation remained representative of a good H-mode [i.e.,  $H98(y,2) \approx 1$ ] and was insensitive to changes in  $n_{\text{PED}}$  over a wide range.

From the preceding results we can draw important conclusions for the direction of this research. In terms of low impurity contamination and energy confinement degradation with flexible control of core density, we expect the most effective way to apply RMP ELM suppression to radiating divertor plasmas would be to maximize divertor pumping and operate with the ion  $Bx\nabla B$  drift directed away from the X-point. This is based on our previous work in non-RMP radiating divertors. A discussion of the technical issues that were encountered in executing these experiments (e.g., 2/1 locked modes at low density) will be presented.

This work was supported by the US Department of Energy under DE-FC02-04ER54698, DE-AC52-07NA27344, DE-AC05-06OR23100, DE-FG02-07ER54917, and DE-AC04-94AL85000.

- [1] M.R. Wade, et al., Nucl. Fusion 38 (1998) 1839.
- [2] T.W. Petrie, et al., Nucl. Fusion 49 (2009) 065013.
- [3] T.E. Evans, et al., Nucl. Fusion 48 (2008) 024002.