Dependence of Confinement and Stability on Variations in the External Torque in the DIII–D Tokamak

by
T.C. Luce[£]

for
K.H. Burrell,[£] R.J. Buttery,[‡] J.C. DeBoo,[£] J.R. Ferron,[£]
A.M. Garofalo,[*] P. Gohil,[£] D.A. Humphreys,[£] G.L. Jackson,[£]
R.J. Jayakumar,[§] J.E. Kinsey,[¶] R.J. La Haye,[£] G.R. McKee,[#]
M. Okabayashi,[†] C.C. Petty,[£] P.A. Politzer,[£] H. Reimerdes,[*] D.J. Schlossberg,[#]
J.T. Scoville,[£] M.W. Shafer,[#] W.M. Solomon,[†] E.J. Strait,[£] F. Volpe[Δ]

[£]General Atomics, San Diego, California.
[*]Columbia University, New York, New York.
[†]Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey.
[‡]EURATOM/UKAEA Association, Culham, United Kingdom.
[¶]Lehigh University, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania.
[§]Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California.
[#]University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin.
[Δ]Max Planck Gesellschaft, Germany.

Presented at the
21st IAEA Fusion Energy Conference
Chengdu, China

October 16–21, 2006

Reorientation of One Neutral Beam Line Allows Experiments to Test the Effects of Rotation on Confinement and Stability

- Effects on energy confinement (L-mode, H-mode, Hybrid)
- Measurements of momentum confinement
- Simultaneous control of rotation and stored energy
- Effects on the L-H transition power threshold
- Changes in tearing mode onset and saturated amplitude
- New insight into rotational stabilization of resistive wall modes

Plan View of DIII–D Tokamak



Present capability:
Co-NBI 12.5 MW
Ctr-NBI 5 MW

Caveat: Fueling and NBCD profiles also change. These effects must be factored into the conclusions

Hybrid Scenario Demonstrated at Low Rotation With Modest Reduction in Confinement



- Low rotation obtained with 1.5 ctr-injection sources + co-injection in feedback control (β_N = 2.6)
- Sawteeth do not appear (q_{95} = 4.0)
- Performance not yet optimized (pressure limit, lowest q_{95} without sawteeth)

Effect of Changes in Torque Seen Across the Entire Radial Profile

- Toroidal rotation changes at all radii





- Fractional increase in electron heat transport is highest in the center

- Momentum transport ascribed exclusively to diffusive momentum flux





- Fractional change in ion heat transport is highest around $\rho = 0.7$

Changes in E×B Shear Can Explain the Effect of Torque on Energy Confinement

- With high toroidal rotation, E×B shear required to reproduce measured profiles
- At low rotation, E×B shear is much less important





- Uses measured density, toroidal rotation, and current profiles

Advanced Inductive Discharges Achieve Conditions Consistent with Q > 10 in ITER With Low Rotation



- β_N = 2.7 (feedback controlled), q_{95} = 3.3
- Transition to low rotation occurs at the initiation of the high β_N phase
- High performance at low rotation maintained for t >4 τ_R
- Extrapolates to Q > 10 in ITER at 15 MA for several common scalings:

ITER89-P:	Q = 10.3
IPB98y2:	Q = 10.2
DS03:	Q = ∞ (even with 7% lower H_{DS03})

Discharges With H–Mode Edge Show Significant Increase of Confinement With Increasing Torque



- Points connected by lines are at constant field, current, density and pressure. Some parameters change between scans
- Scans in H–mode edge discharges show increases in confinement with increasing torque
  - 50% improvement is much larger than expected prompt losses from ctr-injection
- Adding torque in the counter current direction does not show similar improvement
- No variation of confinement with torque is seen in L–mode

Momentum Transport Presents Puzzling Questions



- Same dataset as previous graph on τ_{th}
- The different confinement regimes have variations in τ_{th} up to 4x at equal torque, while ω_{tor} varies by typically 2x
- There is intrinsic rotation without torque input that is not described by a simple momentum conservation equation
- The hybrid data show a non-linear response of ω_{tor} to torque, possibly due to interaction of tearing modes with the wall or due to skin depth reduction of non-axisymmetric magnetic fields

New NBI Configuration and Real-Time Analysis of Toroidal Rotation Allows Simultaneous Control of Stored Energy and Rotation





- Uses proportional-integral controller with gains determined prior to the experiment through modeling

L–H Power Threshold Varies Strongly with the Torque Injected by the Neutral Beams



- Neutral beam power is varied in <1 MW increments by modulation
- Torque is varied by the mixture of co-injection and counter-injection of the neutral beams
- More than a factor of 3 difference in L–H power threshold is seen from full co-injection to full counter-injection

Strong Variation with Injected Torque May Lead to Better Understanding and Prediction of the L–H Power Threshold



- All data from single operational day to minimize systematic effects from changing wall conditions
- Little difference seen between upper and lower single null with low or counter rotation
- Detailed analysis of prompt orbit losses, radial electric field and fluctuation data in progress

Plasma Rotation Affects the Pressure Limit to m=2/n=1 Tearing Modes



- Conventional H-mode discharges at q_{95} = 4.5 with sawteeth
- Plasma with counter-injection is unstable at much lower value of β_N than the plasma with co-injection
- Caveat: effect of current profile change on Δ' is not yet quantified

Pressure Limit to m=2/n=1 Tearing Modes Varies Significantly with Injected Torque



- Onset determined by NB power ramps with different ratios of co-injection and ctr-injection
- Effect of additional n=1 error fields small compared to the variation with injected torque

Saturated m=3/n=2 Tearing Mode Amplitude Decreases With Increasing Torque



- Both classes of discharges demonstrate high performance in the presence of m=3/n=2 tearing modes
- Magnitude of change in current profile with ctr-NBI and the effect on Δ' has not been evaluated

Threshold for Rotational Stabilization of RWMs Found With Balanced NBI is Low



- Correction of n = 1 error fields optimized by direct feedback
- Simultaneous feedback control of β_N and torque applied
- Plasma remains stable until toroidal velocity is < 0.3 % of the Alfvén velocity at the q = 2 surface

Observed Threshold for Rotational Stabilization is Lower Than Previous Results and Theoretical Predictions



- Lower thresholds have been observed for torque input cases, even at pressures closer to the ideal wall limits
- Present experimental results agree with theoretical predictions
- Low rotation thresholds for stabilization in cases with axisymmetric magnetic fields is encouraging for access to high β_N in ITER

Summary

Changes in rotation lead to significant variations in many plasma phenomena that impact ITER performance:

- **Advanced inductive scenario performance still projects to Q > 10 in ITER with no rotation, but the margin is reduced compared to cases with rotation**

- **Going from no rotation to large co-rotation leads to:**
  - increased energy confinement (over 50% in some cases)
  - increased L-H power threshold (> 2x)
  - increased pressure limits to m=2/n=1 tearing modes (~1.5x)

- **Rotational stabilization of RWMs appears to have a much lower rotation requirement than previous data using magnetic breaking indicated**

- **Accurate prediction of momentum transport, especially accounting for MHD modes and non-axisymmetric magnetic fields, will be challenging**