## Resistive Wall Stabilization of High Beta Plasmas in DIII-D

by E.J. Strait

for J. Bialek,\* I.N. Bogatu,<sup>‡</sup> M.S. Chance,<sup>†</sup> M.S. Chu, D.H. Edgell,<sup>‡</sup> A.M. Garofalo,\* G.L. Jackson, T.H. Jensen, L.C. Johnson,<sup>†</sup> J.-S. Kim,<sup>‡</sup> R.J. La Haye, G.A. Navratil,\* M. Okabayashi,<sup>†</sup> H. Reimerdes,\* J.T. Scoville, A.D. Turnbull, M.L. Walker, and the DIII–D Team

> \*Columbia University. <sup>‡</sup>FARTECH, Inc. <sup>†</sup>Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory.

Presented at 19th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference Lyon, France









## STABLE OPERATION WELL ABOVE THE NO-WALL $\beta$ LIMIT HAS BEEN SUSTAINED FOR >300 WALL TIMES

• Resistive wall mode stabilized by plasma rotation



258-02/EJS/wj

- Many "advanced tokamak" scenarios rely on wall stabilization of ideal kink modes
  - Perfectly conducting wall extends stability limits
  - Finite conductivity wall allows a slowly growing resistive wall mode (RWM)
- Two approaches to providing stability with a resistive wall
  - Plasma rotation (requires  $\Omega \ge 0.02 \Omega_A$ )
  - Active feedback stabilization (possible because  $\gamma_{RWM} \sim \tau_{wall}^{-1}$ )
- Key discovery: resonant plasma response to non-axisymmetric field enhances rotational drag
  - Feedback can be applied to minimizing "error field amplification"
- DIII–D experiments show that ideal kink modes can be stabilized by a resistive wall and plasma rotation, as  $\beta \rightarrow$  ideal-wall stability limit
- Modeling also predicts high- $\beta$  stabilization with internal control coils
  - Needed if rotation frequency is not sufficient in a burning plasma

TH/P3-10: M.S. Chu, "Modeling of Feedback and Rotation Stabilization"

















SAN DIEGO



SAN DIEGO

### NON-AXISYMMETRIC "C-COIL" IS USED FOR ERROR FIELD CORRECTION AND RWM FEEDBACK CONTROL

- Six midplane coils (C-coil) connected in three pairs for n=1 control
- External and internal saddle loops measure  $\delta B_r$
- Poloidal field probes measure  $\delta B_p$  with reduced coupling to the control coils





# VALEN 3-D FEEDBACK CONTROL MODEL PREDICTS IMPROVED $\beta$ LIMIT WITH EXISTING 6 COIL SET

- External B<sub>r</sub> sensors in basic "smart shell" control algorithm allow 20% increase towards ideal wall β<sub>N</sub> limit
- Internal B<sub>p</sub> sensors allow 50% increase towards ideal wall beta
  - Faster time response
  - Decoupled from C-coil





### INTERNAL B<sub>p</sub> SENSORS IMPROVE ACTIVE CONTROL OF THE RWM

- Stable duration and  $\beta/\beta^{no-wall}$  increase with internal B<sub>p</sub> sensors
- Internal B<sub>p</sub> sensors stabilize RWM with larger open-loop growth rate  $\gamma_0$
- Measured open-loop growth rate is consistent with VALEN prediction



### PLASMA ROTATION STABILIZES THE RWM

 Below a critical rotation frequency, RWM becomes unstable

- Critical rotation frequency varies as  $\Omega_{\rm crit} \sim 0.02 \ \Omega_{\rm A}$ 
  - Magnitude consistent with MHD predictions (Bondeson & Ward, 1994)
  - Data could also fit a sound speed scaling



### INCREASING n=1 ERROR FIELD AMPLITUDE CAUSES DECAY OF PLASMA ROTATION



• Static n=1 error field is varied with the C-coil

258-02/EJS/wj

### ROTATION-STABILIZED PLASMA HAS A RESONANT RESPONSE TO EXTERNAL MAGNETIC PERTURBATIONS



SAN DIEGO

258-02/EJS/wj

## FEEDBACK CONTROL USING INTERNAL B\_p SENSORS MAINTAINS WALL STABILIZATION UP TO $\beta_{N}$ ~ TWICE THE NO-WALL LIMIT



106530

258-01/EJS/wj

## FEEDBACK CONTROL USING INTERNAL B\_p SENSORS MAINTAINS WALL STABILIZATION UP TO $\beta_{N}$ ~ TWICE THE NO-WALL LIMIT

• Improvement is due to feedback-driven "dynamic error correction" in this case



SAN DIEGO

106530 106532

## FEEDBACK CONTROL USING INTERNAL B\_p SENSORS MAINTAINS WALL STABILIZATION UP TO $\beta_{\text{N}}$ ~ TWICE THE NO-WALL LIMIT

- Improvement is due to feedback-driven "dynamic error correction" in this case
  - Pre-programming the error correction currents to match feedback-controlled currents gives a similar result



106530 106532 106534

#### REDUCED ERROR FIELDS $\Rightarrow$ SUSTAINED ROTATION $\Rightarrow$ RELIABLE OPERATION ABOVE THE NO-WALL LIMIT



- Feedback control of NBI power keeps β<sub>N</sub> below stability limit (107603)
- No other large scale instabilities encountered (NTM, n=2 RWM, ...)



## REDUCED ERROR FIELDS $\Rightarrow$ SUSTAINED ROTATION $\Rightarrow$ RELIABLE OPERATION ABOVE THE NO-WALL LIMIT



#### ERROR CORRECTION OPTIMIZATION ALLOWS SUSTAINED HIGH BETA IN ADVANCED TOKAMAK PLASMAS

- Dynamic error correction by feedback control sustains high plasma rotation and high beta
- Negative central shear plasma with 85% noninductive current (65% bootstrap current), and β<sub>T</sub> ≥ 4%
- Large (2,1) tearing mode limits duration of high performance phase



- DIII-D experiments show that ideal kink modes can be stabilized at high beta by a resistive wall, with sufficient plasma rotation
- Resonant response of a marginally stable RWM to non-axisymmetric fields can cause strong damping of rotation
- Feedback control can improve RWM stability in two ways
  - Minimization of the n=1 error field to sustain rotation
  - Direct stabilization at rotation below the critical frequency
- RWM stabilization has improved plasma performance
  - $\beta$  significantly above the no-wall MHD stability limit sustained for 1.5 s (>300  $\tau_w$ )
  - $-\beta$  up to twice the no-wall limit, approaching the ideal-wall stability limit
  - Stable operation at  $\beta_N$  up to 4.2, with 85% noninductive current and  $\beta \sim 4\%$



### INTERNAL CONTROL COILS WILL BE AN EFFECTIVE TOOL FOR PURSUING BOTH ACTIVE AND PASSIVE STABILIZATION OF THE RWM

- Off-midplane coils allow better matching to poloidal spectrum of error field or RWM
- Feedback stabilization is calculated to open high beta wall-stabilized regime to plasma without rotation (may be important for burning plasma)



12-coil internal set available for experiments 2003



