POLARIZATION CURRENT AND THE NEOCLASSICAL TEARING MODE THRESHOLD IN TOKAMAKS; COMPARISON OF EXPERIMENT WITH THEORY*

R.J. La Haye General Atomics, P.O. Box 85608, San Diego, California 92186-5608 USA

R.J. Buttery and H.R. Wilson Euratom/UKAEA Fusion Association, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon OX14 3DB, United Kingdom

S. Günter and M. Maraschek Max Planck Institute für Plasmaphysik, Garching, Federal Republic of Germany

> G.T.A. Huysmans JET Joint Undertaking, Abingdon, United Kingdom (now at CEA, Cadarache, France)

F. Waelbroeck Institute for Fusion Studies, Austin, Texas, USA

Presented at the 18th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference Sorrento, Italy October 4–10, 2000

*Work supported by U.S. DOE under Contract No. DE-AC03-99ER54463 & by the U.K. Department of Trade & Industry and EURATOM

NEOCLASSICAL TEARING MODE ISLANDS CAN REDUCE PERFORMANCE AT HIGHER BETA

- m/n = 3/2 island excited at higher beta
 - Confinement lower with mode
 - ... similar effect observed on ASDEX Upgrade, DIII-D, etc





NUMEROUS EXPERIMENTS HAVE INVOKED THE THRESHOLD FROM POLARIZATION CURRENT (WILSON et al., PHYSICS OF PLASMA 1996, PLASMA. PHYS. CONTROL FUSION 1996) TO EXPLAIN OBSERVED CRITICAL BETA FOR NTMS

- Acceptance of polarization threshold as superior in scaling and magnitude of critical beta in experiments as compared to incomplete pressure flattening threshold (COMPASS–D/Gates, Nuc. Fusion 1997, DIII–D/La Haye, Nuc. Fusion 1998, JET/Huysmans, IAEA 1998, ASDEX Upgrade/Gude, Nuc. Fusion 1998, JT–60U/Isayama, Plasma Phy. Cont. Fusion 1999, TEXTOR/Koslowski, Nuc. Fusion 2000)
- Polarization/inertial threshold questioned theoretically (Waelbroeck and Fitzpatrick, PRL 1997, Mikhailovski et al, Physics of Plasmas 2000)
 - ★ Convergence on theory of polarization/inertial threshold (Wilson, Connor and Waelbroeck, Sherwood 2000)
 - Different physics assumptions flip sign of effect
 - Stabilizing threshold for $|\omega_{i*}| > \omega > 0$ in ion direction

WHY A <u>NEOCLASSICAL</u> TEARING MODE?

- Helically perturbed bootstrap current (Qu and Callen 1985)
 - ★ "Seed" island from another MHD instability
 - ★ O-point and X-point J_{//bs} differ
 - ★ Helically perturbed bootstrap current makes B_{rmn}
 - Reinforces "seed" (q monotonic inc., p monotonic dec.)
 - A destabilizing effect
 - **★** Neoclassical tearing mode can result even if $\Delta_0' < 0$
 - Plasma is "metastable"
 - ... must be perturbed beyond a "threshold"
- Signatures of an NTM include . . .
 - **★** Higher β, larger bootstrap current $J_{//bs} \approx \epsilon^{1/2} \beta_{\theta} / L_p$
 - Destabilizing
 - ★ Other MHD mode provides "seed" island
 - Metastable plasma (wo island) must be disturbed above a threshold



WHY A THRESHOLD?



POLARIZATION THRESHOLD THEORY (Also see Wilson, TH3/5)

- Polarization current results from ion inertia
 - ★ Island streaming through the plasma
 - polarization drift from ion inertia
 - quasi neutrality in E_r = 0 frame gives rise to a return current



$$\begin{array}{l} \bigstar \quad w_{pol} \approx 1.64^{1/2} \, (L_q/L_p)^{1/2} \epsilon^{1/2} \, \rho_{\theta i} \times \left[\frac{\omega \omega_{j\star} - \omega^2}{\omega_{e\star}^2} \right]^{1/2} \\ \quad \text{for } (\nu_i/\epsilon)/\omega <<1 \end{array}$$

- ~ ion banana width \times function of island rotation f(ω)
- only a threshold for $\omega_{i*} > \omega > 0$



 ω/ω_{i*}

ISLAND PROPAGATION IN E_r = 0 QUASI-NEUTRALITY FRAME IS HIDDEN VARIABLE FOR POLARIZATION THRESHOLD

• ω is key for polarization threshold ... must be $\omega_{i*} > \omega > 0$, ion direction



ω_{Mirnov} island frequency in <u>laboratory</u> frame

•
$$\frac{\omega_{E_r=0}}{2\pi} = \frac{nE_r}{2\pi RB_{\theta}} = \frac{-nv_{\phi}}{2\pi R} + \frac{nv_{\theta}(B_{\phi}/B_{\theta})}{2\pi R} + \frac{n\nabla p_i}{2\pi Z_i n_i RB_{\theta}}$$

•
$$\frac{\omega_{i*}}{2\pi} = \frac{m}{2\pi r} \frac{(T_i/L_{pi})}{B_{\phi}} + \frac{n}{2\pi R} \frac{(T_i/L_{pi})}{B_{\theta}}, \quad \frac{\omega_{e*}}{2\pi} = \frac{-m}{2\pi r} \frac{(T_e/L_{pe})}{B_{\phi}} - \frac{n}{2\pi R} \frac{(T_e/L_{pe})}{B_{\theta}}$$

• $\omega \equiv \omega_{Mirnov} - \omega_{E_r} = 0$ island frequency in $E_r = 0$ frame



DIMENSIONLESS SCALING OF NTM CRITICAL BETAN = β (%)/(I/aB_T) (CORRECTED FOR COLLISIONALITY VARIATION)

- LSND, ELMing H–mode, q95 ≥ 3
- $\beta_N \propto \rho_{i*}$ f(v) is consistent with polarization threshold
- Sawtooth induced 3/2 NTM database









Normalized Ion Larmor Radius $\rho_{i\star}$ (10⁻²)



COMPARISON OF UNSTABLE SEED ISLAND TO POLARIZATION THRESHOLD ISLAND

Islands estimated from m/n = 3/2 Mirnov level upon excitation

★ w_{seed} ≈ $\left(\frac{16rR |\tilde{B}_r|}{3s B_T}\right)^{1/2}$ with $|\tilde{B}_r| \approx \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^4 |\tilde{B}_{\theta}| \times \frac{2}{3}$ calib to ECE radiometer



• Mode grows if $w_{seed}/w_{pol} > 1$, $\beta_{\theta} > \beta_{\theta}$, min $\propto w_{pol}/r$

★ w_{pol} ≈ 1.64^{1/2} $(L_q/L_p)^{1/2} \epsilon^{1/2} \rho_{\theta i}$ for $(v_i/\epsilon)/\omega_{e*} < 0.3$





MIRNOV ACTIVITY ACROSS A SAWTOOTH INSTABILITY THAT TRIGGERS NTMS

- m/n = 3/2 and 4/3 tearing modes produced, 5/4 pre-existing
 - ★ Sawtooth on 97835 with 50% beam step up at 2500 ms also produces m/n = 2/1 mode





ALL ISLANDS OBSERVED PROPAGATE IN ION DRIFT DIRECTION IN LOCAL $E_r = 0$ FRAME

• q = m/n location from EFIT with 35 channel MSE profile

Notes:

- q good to \pm 3%
- E_r at q = m/n from CER includes v_{φ} , v_{θ} and ∇p_i terms
 - Resolution to 0.5 ms

- Consistent with a polarization threshold
 ★ |ω_{j∗}| > ω > 0
- Relative propagation decreases at larger minor radius
 - **★** Higher collisionality, smaller $\eta_i = L_{ne}/L_{Ti}$





 5/4 exists both pre and post sawtooth crash
 2/1 is from #97835 with 50% more beam power after 2500 ms and is 9±5 ms from sawtooth crash

CONCLUSIONS ON COMPARISON OF EXPERIMENTAL NTM THRESHOLD TO POLARIZATION THEORY

- Consistency with polarization threshold?
 - **★** Linear critical beta ρ_{i*} , i.e. $\rho_{\theta i}$ /r (worrisome for future devices)
 - ★ Seed island of order of ion banana width
 - **★** Island propagation $|\omega_{i*}| > \omega > 0$ in ion drift direction in $E_r = 0$ frame
 - ••• Co-injected beams and upon island initiation
 - Stabilizing polarization current predicted