

## Design Parameters for DIII-D Steady-State Scenario Discharges\*

J.R. Ferron<sup>1</sup>, C.T. Holcomb<sup>2</sup>, T.C. Luce<sup>1</sup>, P.A. Politzer<sup>1</sup>, F. Turco<sup>3</sup>, J.C. DeBoo<sup>1</sup>, E.J. Doyle<sup>4</sup>, A.W. Hyatt<sup>1</sup>, Y. In<sup>5</sup>, R.J. La Haye<sup>1</sup>, M. Murakami<sup>6</sup>, M. Okabayashi<sup>7</sup>, J.M. Park<sup>6</sup>, T.W. Petrie<sup>1</sup>, C.C. Petty<sup>1</sup>, H. Reimerdes<sup>8</sup>, T.L. Rhodes<sup>4</sup>, A.E. White<sup>9</sup>, and L. Zeng<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*General Atomics, PO Box 85608, San Diego, California 92186-5608, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California 94550, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*Oak Ridge Institute for Science Education, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830, USA*

<sup>4</sup>*University of California-Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA*

<sup>5</sup>*FAR-TECH, Inc., 3550 General Atomics Ct, San Diego, California 92121, USA*

<sup>6</sup>*Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA*

<sup>7</sup>*Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, PO Box 451, Princeton, New Jersey 08543 USA*

<sup>8</sup>*CRPP-EPFL, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland*

<sup>9</sup>*Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA*

In recent DIII-D experiments, we have systematically studied the physics that affects the choices of parameters for a discharge where the goal is 100% noninductively driven current ( $f_{NI} = 1$ ) at high plasma pressure ( $\beta_N \geq 4$ ). The self-consistent response of the temperature (T), density (n), and bootstrap current density ( $J_{BS}$ ) profiles was measured in a scan of the  $q$  profile, varying  $q_{min}$  and  $q_{95}$  independently at  $\beta_N \approx 3.5$ . The focus was on weak shear discharges without large, local pressure gradients that would reduce the stable  $\beta_N$ . Both the bootstrap current fraction ( $f_{BS}$ ) and  $f_{NI}$  increased with  $q_{95}$ , with  $q_{95} > 6$  required for  $f_{BS} > 0.5$ . With sufficiently high  $\beta_N$ , the  $J_{BS}$  profiles are relatively uniform in the region between the axis and the H-mode pedestal so that the current density  $J \gg J_{BS}$  over the inner half of the discharge. This leads to a requirement for external current drive that is centrally peaked. Adjustment of the toroidal field strength ( $B_T$ ) was found to be a tool to obtain a balance between the required current drive and heating powers when all external power sources provide both heating and current drive. At fixed  $\beta_N$  and  $q_{95}$ , the externally driven current fraction increases with  $B_T$  allowing  $f_{NI}$  to be adjusted to a target value which, ideally, is 1. Typically  $H_{98}=1.5$ , but as n decreases during the high  $\beta_N$  phase of the discharge as the wall particle source is depleted, a trend toward decreasing  $\tau_E$  is observed. This places constraints on the ability to reduce n in order to maximize the total externally driven current. To obtain  $f_{NI}=1$ ,  $f_{BS}>0.5$  with  $q_{95}$  reduced to 5 for increased fusion gain, the focus now is on  $q_{min}>2$  at increased  $\beta_N$ . High  $q_{min}$  minimizes the external current drive requirements near the axis by reducing  $J$  and, for a given pressure gradient, increasing  $J_{BS}$  in that region. An increase in  $\beta_N$  through pressure profile broadening is the route to higher  $f_{BS}$ . Off axis neutral beam injection is a key tool to broaden the fast ion pressure profile (and thus the total pressure), to avoid excess  $J_{NBCD}$  near the axis, and to drive current off axis where it is needed at reduced  $q_{95}$ . Off axis ECCD drives current and provides electron heating to increase both  $J_{BS}$  and  $J_{NBCD}$ .

---

\* Work supported in part by the US Department of Energy under DE-FC02-04ER554698, DE-AC52-07NA27344, DE-AC05-06OR23100, DE-FG02-08ER54984, DE-FG02-06ER84442, DE-AC05-00OR22725, DE-AC02-09CH11466 and DE-FC02-99ER54512.