RESULTS OF ITER TEST BLANKET MODULE
MOCK-UP EXPERIMENTS ON DIII-D

by

J.A. SNIPES, M.J. SCHAFFER, P. GOHIL, P. de VRIES, M.E. FENSTERMACHER, T.E. EVANS,
X. GAO, A.M. GAROFALO, D.A. GATES, C.M. GREENFIELD, W.W. HEIDBRINK, G.J. KRAMER,
S. LIU, A. LOARTE, M.F.F. NAVE, N. OYAMA, J-K. PARK, N. RAMASBRAMANIAN, H. REIMERDES,
G. SAIBENE, A. SALMI, K. SHINOHARA, D.A. SPONG, W.M. SOLOMON, T. TALA, J.A. BOEDO,
R. BUDNY, V. CHUYANOV, E.J. DOYLE, M. JAKUBOWSKI, H. JHANG, R.M. NAZIKIAN,
V.D. PUSTOVITOV, O. SCHMITZ, T.H. OSBORNE, R. SRINIVASAN, T.S. TAYLOR, M.R. WADE,
K-I. YOU, L. ZENG, and the DIII-D TEAM

JUNE 2010
DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.
RESULTS OF ITER TEST BLANKET MODULE MOCK-UP EXPERIMENTS ON DIII-D

by


This is a preprint of a paper to be presented at the 37th European Physical Society Conference on Plasma Physics, June 21-25, 2010, Dublin, Ireland and to be published in the Proceedings.

1ITER Organization, France
2Culham Centre for Fusion Energy, Culham, UK.
3Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA.
4ASIPP, Hefei, China.
5Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ.
6University of California at Irvine, Irvine, CA.
7Association EURATOM, Lisbon, Portugal.
8Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Naka, Ibaraki ken 31 0193, Japan.
9IPR, Gandhinagar, India.
10Columbia University, New York, NY.
11Fusion for Energy, Barcelona, Spain.
12Helsinki U. of Technology, Helsinki, Finland.
13Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN.
14VTT, Association Euratom-Teckes, Finland.
15University of California-San Diego, La Jolla, CA.
16University of California-Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA.
17Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, EURATOM Association, Garching, Germany.
18NFRI, Daejon, Korea.
19Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russian Federation.
20FZ Juelsich, IEF-4, Juelsich, Germany.

Work supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under DE-FC02-04ER54698, DE-FC02-04ER54698, DE-AC52-07NA27344, DE-AC02-09CH11466, SC-G903402, DE-FG02-04ER54761, DE-AC05-00OR22725, DE-FG02-07ER54917, and DE-FG03-08ER54984

GENERAL ATOMICS PROJECT 30200
JUNE 2010
Results of ITER Test Blanket Module Mock-Up Experiments on DIII-D


¹ITER Organization, Cadarache, France, ²General Atomics, San Diego, USA, ³Culham Centre for Fusion Energy, Culham, UK, ⁴Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA, ⁵ASIPP, Hefei, China, ⁶Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, USA, ⁷University of California, Irvine, USA, ⁸Associação EURATOM/IST, Lisbon, Portugal, ⁹JAEA, Naka, Japan, ¹⁰IPR, Gandhinagar, India, ¹¹Columbia University, New York, USA, ¹²Fusion for Energy, Barcelona, Spain, ¹³Helsinki University of Technology, Helsinki, Finland, ¹⁴Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, USA, ¹⁵VTT, Association EURATOM-Tekes, Finland, ¹⁶University of California, San Diego, USA, ¹⁷University of California, Los Angeles, USA, ¹⁸Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics, Greifswald, Germany, ¹⁹NFRI, Daejon, Korea, ²⁰‘Kurchatov Institute’ Moscow, Russian Federation, ²¹FZ Juelich, IEF-4, Juelich, Germany

Introduction. A series of experiments was performed on DIII-D to mock-up the field that will be induced in a pair of ferromagnetic Test Blanket Modules (TBMs) in ITER to determine the effects of such error fields on plasma operation and performance. A set of coils producing both poloidal and toroidal fields was placed inside a re-entrant horizontal port close to the plasma. The coil currents were varied to produce up to 700 G toroidal field and 200 G poloidal field on the last closed flux surface at the outboard midplane, resulting in a localized ripple due to the toroidal field (TF) + TBM defined by \((B_{\text{max}} - B_{\text{min}})/(B_{\text{max}} + B_{\text{min}})\) up to 5.3%. This is more than four times that expected from a pair of representative 1.3 ton TBMs in ITER. The coils are also moveable along a major radius from shot-to-shot to assess the change in the error field effects with distance from the plasma. These experiments investigated the effects of the resulting localized error field on plasma startup, plasma equilibrium, H-mode access, H- and L-mode particle and energy confinement, plasma rotation, energetic particle loss, and interaction with rotating and locked MHD modes.

Effects at Low Performance. Very little effect of the TBM mock-up error field was observed in low performance plasmas up to TF+TBM local ripple of 3%. Plasma startup with and without the TBM mock-up fields was identical so the effect on startup appears to be negligible. Figure 1 shows the measured changes in H-mode threshold power for electron cyclotron heated, balanced neutral beam injection (NBI), and all co-NBI discharges as a function of input torque on the plasma with and without the TBM mock-up field at full current. The changes in threshold are within the error bars of the measurements indicating...
that there was little effect of the TBM mock-up field on H-mode access. There was also little or no effect of the mock-up TBM on particle and energy confinement in low performance L-mode plasmas.

**Effects on Plasma Rotation.** The toroidal plasma rotation was noticeably reduced even at the rather small local field perturbation of ~1% TF+TBM ripple. Figure 2 shows the change in core plasma toroidal rotation as measured with charge exchange recombination spectroscopy with and without the TBM mock-up on. Above 3% total local ripple, the drop in core toroidal rotation due to the mock-up TBM may exceed 60% and lead to locked modes and disruptions. The rotation drops by approximately the same proportion across the entire radial profile. The drop in rotation was the largest and most prevalent effect of the TBM mock-up error field across a broad range of conditions. The torque due to the TBM mock-up increases with increasing plasma rotation suggesting that the rotation reduction is due to non-resonant magnetic braking. The interaction of the TBM mock-up error field with n=2 and n=3 tearing modes in the plasma may also play a role in reducing the plasma angular momentum.

**Effects on Mode Locking.** Error field tolerance to locked modes was reduced in L and H-mode by the additional torque from the TBM error field. Ohmic experiments showed that it was necessary only to re-optimize the standard n=1 error field correction with the internal I-coils in the presence of the additional TBM mock-up error field to recover the same minimum threshold density for mode locking as without the TBM (Fig. 3). Calculations with the IPEC code [1] suggest that correction of the n=1 component of the TBM mock-up in DIII-D may remove the dominant torque that slows the plasma rotation. These results suggest that it may be possible to correct for the dominant n=1 torque due to the TBMs with error field correction.
coils on ITER. However, future experiments are required to determine if \( n=1 \) error field correction alone can also be effective in H-mode plasmas.

**Effects on H-mode Confinement.** Although the effects of the TBM mock-up on confinement were small in L-mode, the effects increased in H-mode. Figure 4 shows that the reduction of line averaged electron density, plasma stored energy, and normalized \( \beta \) due to the mock-up TBM error field increase with the total local ripple in front of the TBM. Confinement is reduced by up to 15 – 18% for local ripple > 3% but is hardly affected at 1.5% local ripple. Figure 5 shows that the confinement reduction (a) increases with \( \beta_N \) and is (b) rather independent of electron collisionality (\( \nu_e^* \)) at the top of the H-mode edge pedestal. This latter result is in contrast to the reduced confinement with decreasing \( \nu_e^* \) observed on JET with increasing TF ripple \([2]\). Note that for \( \beta_N < 1.5 \), there was very little change in confinement.

Detailed measurements indicate that fast ion losses due to the TBM mock-up error field appear to be small under all conditions. At high NB power \( \sim 6 \text{ MW} \), however, a substantial increase in the temperature of the plasma facing carbon tiles just in front of the TBM mock-up was observed \( (> 200 ^\circ C) \) with the TBM at full current for \( \sim 2 \text{ s} \) compared to \( < 10 ^\circ C \) rise in a similar plasma without the TBM, each with a 4 cm outer gap between the plasma and first wall. Calculations with the SPIRAL code \([3]\) suggest that this enhanced heat load on the tiles in front of the TBM mock-up could be at least partially due to locally increased NB fast ion...
losses. First wall heat loads due to fast ion losses in ITER are calculated to be well within the first wall tile heat load limits including the effects of the TBMs [4].

**Implications for the ITER TBM Program.** The results of these experiments suggest that in ITER: (1) it is not essential to reduce the ferromagnetic mass for initial plasma operation since their effects are likely to be small in low performance plasmas; (2) to minimize the risk of interference at high plasma performance, the TBM designs should be optimized to reduce the TBM-induced ripple; (3) recessing the TBMs further from the plasma than necessary for heat flux considerations does not substantially reduce the TBM ripple effects; and (4) the largest effect of the TBMs is likely to be a reduction in plasma rotation. Since the 1 MeV N-NBI on ITER will impart little momentum to the plasma, the expected plasma rotation in ITER will be dominated by transport driven intrinsic plasma rotation, which is not well understood. Future TBM mock-up experiments on DIII-D are needed to determine if n=1 error correction is sufficient to maintain plasma toroidal rotation also in H-mode.
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