

ELM Dynamics in the SOL of DIII-D

J. Boedo For the DIII-D Team

Contributions from:

 E. Hollmann, D. Rudakov, R. Moyer G. McKee R. Colchin
T. Evans, P. Snyder, K. Burrell, R. Groebner, A. Mahdavi, T. Leonard, T. Petrie
G. Porter, M. Fenstermacher, M. Groth, S. Allen, N. Wolf J. Watkins
H. Takahashi and L. Zeng



Numerical Calculations Predict ELMs have large m,n and Ballooning/Peeling Character





Calculations address only linear phase

Non-linear phase under study (Xu, Snyder P2-156

Need to test predictions and provide data to advance theory.

J. Boedo 2004 EPS

P. Snyder GA





•Non-linear phase characteristics

•ELM dynamics at the LCFS and SOL

•Composition (T and n in ELM plasma)

•Particle and Heat fluxes to wall

•Size

•Motion characteristics

•Microscopic scaling with discharge parameters



J. Boedo 2004 EPS

# Diagnostics Utilized



Fast diagnostics are needed

**UCSanDiego** 

Probes CER Floor Photodiodes BES Radial diode array Tile array Radiometer array etc

Need more toroidally-spread fast diagnostics







# ELM detail over a total of ~20 µs from BES frames





G. McKee, Univ. Wisconsin



Reflectometry and Probes agree Velocity reduces with R to ~120 m/s



When Observed in Detail ELMs Reveal Structure





Fast Diagnostics show more and more features

Probe is ~1 MS/s Diodes 100 KS/s Tile array 1 MS/s CER 500 KS/s

Seen in JET, MAST

Probe Samples ELMs at Various Radii DIII-D **UCSanDiego** 245 **Probe Position** 240 SOL Probe Radius (cm) 235 230 2.5 cm Core 225 0.5 Isat 0.4 Isat (A) 0.3 0.2 0.1 ELMs are reproducible 0.0 enough Floor Photodiode (AU) 2.5 2.0 1.5 So, different ELMs can 1.0 be combined into a 0.5 composite 2380 2400 2420 2440 2460 2480 2360

Radial variations can be inferred



Initial fast rise, decaying amplitude events

Large burst density ~1-6 E13 m-3. Background (~2-10 E 18 m-3)



Probe: Energy Content Decays Faster than Particle Content



Density Dependence of decay length

**UCSanDiego** 

High Ne: Ln=3.8 cm Lt= 1.2 cm Low Ne: Ln=13 cm Lt=1.3 cm

Particles strike wall unhindered at low Ne!

$< n_e > /n_{GW} = 0.8$	$\Gamma_r^{ELM} (\mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	$Q_r^{ELM}$ (J m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )
LCFS	1.0 10 <sup>22</sup>	1,800,000
Wall	$1.5 \ 10^{21}$	21,600

$< n_e > /n_{GW} = 0.45$	$\Gamma_r^{ELM} (\mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	$Q_r^{ELM}$ (J m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )
LCFS	5.6 10 <sup>21</sup>	1,323,000
Wall	1.8 10 <sup>21</sup>	27,000



D. Rudakov, UCSD



D. Rudakov, UCSD





D. Rudakov, UCSD



#### Probe: ELM Divertor Dynamics



#### ELM Motion and Radial Extent





What shape/volume do ELMs really have?

Bursts should be poloidally localized (even if several tubes burst). Toroidally?

Burst should extend along field lines. We *know* ELMs strike the floor/walls everywhere.

Why everywhere within +- 200  $\mu$ s ?? (ion transit time from midplane ~ 100-150  $\mu$ s) How does ~localized plasma source extends toroidally *and* poloidally?

Fast CER Indicates ELM Plasma Rotation







#### 0111-0 800 µ B $3.5\,10^{19}$ 110497 0.6 cm Perhaps an n=3 structure (or multiple 3.0 1019 filaments) rotating with T=800 µs 130as 2.5 10<sup>19</sup> 2.0 1019 n<sub>s</sub>(m<sup>3</sup>) 1.51019 At R=2.30, v~18 Km/s, compared to 1.0 1019 ~25 km/s CER at LCFS 5.010<sup>18</sup> 0.0 2,4775 2,4780 2,4785 2.4800 2.4805 2,4790 2.4795 Time(s) 600 845 3.5 10<sup>19</sup> 110495 1.8 cm 3.0 1018 Perhaps an n=2 structure (or multiple 233 µB 230 µ8 $2.5\,10^{18}$ filaments) rotating with T= $600 \,\mu s$ 2.0 10<sup>19</sup> ° 2.0 10<sup>19</sup> E = 1.5 10<sup>19</sup> At R=2.30, v~24 Km/s, compared to 1.0 10<sup>18</sup> ~25 km/s CER at LCFS 5.0 10<sup>18</sup> 0.0 2.4735 2.4740 2.47302.4745 2.47502.4755 2.4760

Time(s)

J. Boedo 2004 EPS

# Bursty Character Result of Rotation? Re-Interpretation



# Summary/Conclusions

UC SanDiego

ELMs are not single events but composed of multiple bursts

The bursts exist on top of a background plasma (created by the bursts)

ELM plasma decays with radius. Heat flux faster than particle flux I.e. heat ends in divertor plates, particles recycle off walls

ELM particle flux at wall comparable to L-mode flux

ELMs affect (reduce in most cases) plasma flows at divertor

ELM motion may be radial+ toroidal+ poloidal

0111-0









## ELM occurs near the LCFS

4.0x10<sup>14</sup>

Starts with a large burst

2.0x10<sup>14</sup> Multiple bursts of decaying amplitude follow

## ELM Volume is Estimated



Get ELM particle and energy content from TS (A. Leonard, G. Porter)

Use local n and T from probe  $E_{ELM} = 2 \times \frac{3}{2} nkT \times V_{ELM}$ 

Divide by number of bursts (approx. 6)

Push some more

$$V_{ELM} = L \times \partial r \times \partial \theta \approx \frac{2\pi Rq \kappa}{2} \partial r \partial \theta$$

Poloidal extent is  $\sim 1.3 - 0.4$  m for m=1

n <sub>e</sub>	N <sub>tot</sub>	N <sub>ELM</sub>	E <sub>tot</sub> (MJ)	E <sub>ELM</sub> (MJ)	$V_{ELM} (m^{-3})$	V <sub>ELM</sub> (m <sup>-3</sup> ) MP
5.0E13	9.1E20	1.25E19	0.9	0.04	21	3.5
8.5E13	1.6E21	2.1E19	1.0	0.03	18	3.0
1.0E14	1.8E21	2.5E19	1.0	0.03	7.2	1.2

G. Porterell 2064 Apleonard, GA

Edge CER Shows Momentum Loss During ELMs



R. Groebner, K. Burrell GA



Inferred rotation ~ 14 km/s CER LCFS rotation is 22 km/s J. Boedo 2004 EPS

## B-dot Coil Signals Also Delayed



Different shot family and larger ELMs Inferred rotation ~ 28 km/s





E. Hollmann, D. Gray, UCSD

#### Diagnostics Spread Toroidally and Poloidally



T. Evans, M. Schaffer GA H. Takahashi, PPPL

**UCSanDiego**