# Investigation of main-chamber and divertor recycling in DIII-D using tangentially viewing CID cameras

#### M. Groth,<sup>a</sup> T.W. Petrie,<sup>b</sup> G.D. Porter,<sup>a</sup> M.E. Fenstermacher,<sup>a</sup> N.H. Brooks<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550, USA <sup>b</sup> General Atomics, San Diego, CA 92186, USA

Presented at the 30th EPS Conference on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics, July 7-11, 2003, St Petersburg, Russia







#### Objective: How significant is main-chamber recycling to core plasma fueling and impurity content?

- Undesired impurity source "main chamber"
- Alcator C-Mod [1] and experiments in DIII-D reported significant contribution of main-chamber recycling (MCR) to core plasma fueling and impurity content
  - » Under which plasma conditions is main-chamber recycling significant?
  - » Toroidal and poloidal distribution of wall fluxes?
- Spatially limited diagnostic coverage of mainchamber wall in DIIID, view outer wall SOL at / around tokamak midplane
- Introduction of tangentially viewing midplane camera to better benchmark numerical models
- $\Rightarrow$  Use codes to evaluate significance of MCR



### Assess recycling using tangentially viewing CID cameras (upper and lower divertor + inner SOL)



#### Viewing geometry I: Tangent at inner wall ~ 45° toroidally, 0.75m above and below midplane



#### **Viewing geometry II: Outer wall coverage** ~ 60°, ~ R-1 $\rightarrow$ R+1 ports at plane of tangency

# R-1 0° ICRF 30° Antenna **NBI** duct

#### Standard camera

#### CID camera through image guide

#### Image data from CID cameras, poloidal emission profiles using tomographic reconstruction [2,3]

- Imager = radiation hardened charge-injected device (CID) camera, 8bit dynamic range
- DEP GEN II intensifier
  - » Adjustable gain, max. ~ 10000, gating down to microseconds
- Measurement of line emission profiles using interference filters



- Midplane camera latest addition to TTV system (2002):
  - » Intensified CID camera
  - » SCHOTT glass fiber image guide (400x400 pixel elements) connecting port optics with filter/ camera assembly
  - » Field-of-view: 1m x 1.5m of the inner SOL, outer SOL 1m x 0.8m optional
  - » Reconstruction of 2D profiles using Abel inversion and tomographic reconstruction technique

#### Main-chamber recycling (MCR) in low-density L-mode plasmas (SAPP)



- Simple-As-Possible-Plasmas (SAPP) [4] = quiescent L-mode plasmas, i.e no ELMs
- Lower single null configuration; optimized for diagnosis of outer divertor leg
  - » Inner strike point on the center post
  - » Sweep outer strike point for profile data
  - » Inner gap 13cm
- Repeat of identical discharges for diagnostic purposes
- Low core density: n/n<sub>GW</sub> ~0.2, low beam heating power ~0.5MW, quiescent - no core MHD mode
- Well-attached outer leg divertor plasma,
  T<sub>e, plate</sub> ~ 25-30eV

#### Slow sweep of outer strike point for divertor density and temperature measurement



- Slow sweep of outer strike point to scan divertor plasma over Divertor Thompson scattering view cords  $\rightarrow$  2D n<sub>e,div</sub> and T<sub>e,div</sub>,
- LPs: density and temperature profiles at target plates
- Inner strike point fixed, but inner gap slightly increasing
- As plasma swept over PMT array line-of-sights, outer  $D_{\alpha}$  (and CIII) increase; inner fixed
- → Combination of data over sweep to obtain emission profile with better radial resolution

# Asymmetric $D_{\alpha}$ and CII divertor emission profiles, partially detached inner divertor plasma

- Extended  $D_{\alpha}$  emission profile along inner divertor leg, emission twice as high at inner strike zone as compared to outer strike zone
- CII emission highly localized at inner and outer strike zones, ~three times higher at the outer than at the inner strike zone



#### Max. $D_{\alpha}$ emission inside core plasma near x-point $\rightarrow$ divertor is powerful fueling source



- Tomographic reconstruction performed for entire image, negligible emission from outer wall
- $D_{\alpha}$  emission dominant in region adjacent to lower x-point, decays poloidally toward midplane: tenfold within 0.5m poloidally

# Poloidally asymmetric CII and CIII midplane profiles, strongly weighted toward lower divertor

- CII and CIII emission found in SOL only (T<sub>e</sub><10eV), CIII emission more poloidally extended, closer to separatrix
- Ratio of peak divertor to midplane emission: 10<sup>3</sup> 10<sup>4</sup>
- Various routes for carbon to enter camera view conceivable, higher degree of poloidal symmetry expected if main walls were the primary source



#### UEDGE modeling: SOL, diffusive radial transport, carbon from divertor plates and walls



- UEDGE [5]: Classical parallel transport, w/drifts
- Diffusive radial transport, spatially constant diffusivities, obtained by matching exp. SOL  $n_e$  and  $T_e$  profiles:
  - »  $D_{\perp} = 0.2m^2/s, \chi_e = \chi_i = 0.8m^2/s$
- Carbon origin:
  - » Physical and chemical sputtering at plates using published data [6,7]
  - » Chemical sputtering at outer boundary
  - » No recycling of carbon
- Carbon transport:
  - » Force balance model for carbon impurities in parallel B-field direction [8]:

$$F_Z = -\frac{1}{n_Z}\frac{dp_Z}{ds} + m_Z\frac{(v_i - v_Z)}{\tau_S} + ZeE + \alpha_e\frac{d(kT_e)}{ds} + \beta_i\frac{d(kT_i)}{ds}$$

### UEDGE captures most of emission profile features $\rightarrow$ significant D<sub>a</sub> emiss. around x-point

- CII / CIII emission outside separatrix only, CIII emission well off inner plate → partially detached inner divertor plasma
- CIII more poloidally symmetric than CII  $\rightarrow$  consistent with experimental data
- Reduced emission in view of midplane camera by 10<sup>3</sup> to 10<sup>4</sup> (consistent w/exp.)



### Dominant fueling source from x-point region calculated by UEDGE



- Integrate D<sup>0</sup> fluxes into core around x-point (shaded area) and mainchamber region
- Ratio of D<sup>0</sup> influxes from x-point region to main chamber ~200
- ⇒ Low-density L-mode
  plasma very likely to be
  dominated by x-point
  fueling

# Transfer of chemically sputtered carbon from divertor walls to target plates

- P-C sputtered material from target plates mostly redeposited onto plates
- Neutral carbon away from plates arises from chemical sputtering at divertor walls (where  $n_0$  is high)
- Divertor wall source localized within 50 cm away from plate



# Main SOL (and core) carbon combination of sputtering and complex ion transport



- Carbon from PF swept to inner plate by E×B associated with large E<sub>r</sub> near separatrix
- Circulation of carbon around strike zones: sputtering and redeposition
- Chemical sputtering at divertor walls up to 0.5m above the plate
- ⇒ (Net)transfer of carbon from divertor walls to target plates
- Above Z>-0.8m, ion temp. gradient force exceeds friction with background ions
- ⇒ Carbon ions transported upstream along inner main SOL

# MCR in low and medium density ELMy H-mode in balanced and unbalanced double-null config.



- ELMy H-mode:
  - »  $H_{89} \sim [1.8, 2.2]$
  - »  $I_P=1.3MA$ ,  $B_T=2.0T$
  - » P<sub>NBI</sub> =5.5MW
- Vary magnetic balance for effect of divertor geometry (dRsep=0,±4cm)
- Vary B<sub>T</sub> direction for effect of Bx∇B and ExB drifts
- $n/n_{GW} \sim [0.4, 0.6]$ , wellmatched  $n_{e,ped}$
- ⇒ T<sub>e,ped</sub> varies significantly with configuration (up to 40%)

# Similar $n_{e,ped}$ , but variations in $T_{e,ped}$ for otherwise similar plasmas in USN, DN and LSN



- H<sub>89</sub> ~ 1.8-2.2
- Reduced <n<sub>e</sub>> due to core MHD
- n/n<sub>GW</sub> ~ 0.42
- T<sub>e,ped</sub> [USN] > T<sub>e,ped</sub> [DN] > T<sub>e,ped</sub> [LSN]
- ELM amplitude larger in the upper divertor in USN than in lower divertor in LSN

# Poloidally asymmetric $D_{\alpha}$ emission profile, weighted toward primary divertor(s)

- In USN: D<sub>α</sub> peaks at inner strike zone in upper div. (consistent with ExB drift), in lower divertor maps "extended" div. legs
- Difference in emission between the two divertors: ~ two orders of magnitude
- In LSN and DN,  $D_{\alpha}$  dominant at outer strike points in upper and lower divertor



# CII and CIII profiles also weighted toward divertor(s), CIII more extended

- CII emission peaks at outer strike zones in USN, DN, LSN, in lower divertor CII maps "extended" div. legs
- CIII emission in LSN indicated partially detached lower divertor, while in USN plasma remained attached to upper target plates



# Assessment of outer wall reflection using numerical and experimental tools in progress



- With increasing P<sub>NBI</sub> and density, reflection and/or local recycling outer wall complicate analysis
- Areas affecting all three wavelengths
  - » ADP baffle (A) adjacent to lower x-point region
  - » ICRF antenna guard limiter (B) above midplane
  - Outer wall region, where view becomes more tangent with outer SOL (C)
  - Intensity of reflection varies with power, density and magnetic configuration
- Area also affecting CII/CIII profiles,
  - » Neutral beam duct guard limiter (D)

#### **Summary and Conclusions**

- New spectroscopic analysis of SOL assessed main-chamber recycling in L-mode at n/n<sub>GW</sub>~0.2, and in ELMy H-mode at n/n<sub>GW</sub>~0.4 and 0.6
- Experimental results:
  - » Poloidally asymmetric  $D_{\alpha}$ , CII, and CIII emission profiles in the inner SOL, weighted toward primary divertor(s)
  - »  $D_{\alpha}$  emission inside LCFS at x-point region indicates strong x-point source
- Modeling results using UEDGE consistent with experimental data
- ⇒ X-point fueling contributes two orders of magnitudes more to core plasma fueling than main walls!
- Carbon modeling with purely diffusive radial transport in UEDGE
  - » Chemically sputtered carbon from the divertor walls and private flux region is transferred to the target plates
  - » A fraction of carbon from divertor region reaches upstream SOL (and hence core) at high field side due to dominant  $\nabla T_i$  force in region above x-point; consistent with experiment

#### References

- [1] LaBombard, B., *et al.*, Nucl. Fusion **40**, 2041 (2000)
- [2] Fenstermacher, M.E., et.al., Phys. Plasma 4, 1761 (1997)
- [3] Groth, M., et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 74, 2064 (2003)
- [4] Stangeby, P.C., et al., J. Nucl. Mater. **313-316**, 883 (2003)
- [5] Rognlien, T.D., et al., J. Nucl. Mater. **196-198**, 347 (1992)
- [6] Davis, J.W., and Haasz, A.A., J. Nucl. Mater. **241-243**, 347 (1997)
- [7] Eckstein, W., et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 248, 1 (1997)
- [8] Neuhauser, J., et al., Nucl. Fusion 24, 39 (1984)