GA-A23435

# EDGE Er STRUCTURE AND THE $\nabla B$ DRIFT EFFECT ON L-H TRANSITION

by

T.N. CARLSTROM, J.A. BOEDO, K.H. BURRELL, R.J. COLCHIN, C. FENZI, R.J. GROEBNER, A.W. LEONARD, G.R. McKEE, R.A. MOYER, T.H. OSBORNE, T.L. RHODES, D.M. THOMAS, and M.J. SCHAFFER

**JULY 2000** 

### DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

# EDGE Er STRUCTURE AND THE $\nabla$ B DRIFT EFFECT ON L-H TRANSITION

by

## T.N. CARLSTROM, J.A. BOEDO,\* K.H. BURRELL, R.J. COLCHIN,<sup>†</sup> C. FENZI,<sup>‡</sup> R.J. GROEBNER, A.W. LEONARD, G.R. McKEE,<sup>‡</sup> R.A. MOYER,\* T.H. OSBORNE, T.L. RHODES,<sup>△</sup> D.M. THOMAS, and M.J. SCHAFFER

This is a preprint of a paper to be presented at the 27th European Physical Society Conference on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics, June 12–17, 2000, in Budapest, Hungary, and to be published in the *Proceedings.* 

\*University of California, San Diego, California.
 <sup>†</sup>Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
 <sup>‡</sup>University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin.
 <sup>△</sup>University of California, Los Angeles, California.

Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Nos. DE-AC03-99ER54463 and DE-AC05-00OR22725, and Grant Nos. DE-FG03-95ER54294, DE-FG02-92ER54139, and DE-FG03-86ER53225

> GA PROJECT 30033 JULY 2000

### Edge $E_r$ Structure and the $\nabla B$ Drift Effect on L–H Transition

T.N. Carlstrom, J.A. Boedo,<sup>1</sup> K.H. Burrell, R.J. Colchin,<sup>2</sup> C. Fenzi,<sup>3</sup>
R.J. Groebner, A.W. Leonard, G.R. McKee,<sup>3</sup> R.A. Moyer,<sup>1</sup>
T.H. Osborne, T.L. Rhodes,<sup>4</sup> D.M. Thomas, M.J. Schaffer

General Atomics, P.O. Box 85608, San Diego, California
 <sup>1</sup>University of California, San Diego, California.
 <sup>2</sup>Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
 <sup>3</sup>University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin.
 <sup>4</sup>University of California, Los Angeles, California.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

For many years, experimentalists have searched for a critical edge parameter at the L-H transition by slowly raising the input power until a transition occurred [1]. Since many plasma parameters are correlated with the input power, it is difficult to identify parameters that are changing due to power balance from those that may be related to the L-H transition.

We have adopted a different approach by changing the proximity to the L-H threshold while keeping the input power constant. In DIII–D, the H–mode power threshold changes from approximately 1 MW when the ion  $\nabla B$  drift is toward the X-point, to over 5 MW when the ion  $\nabla B$  drift is away from the X-point. By comparing plasma conditions at fixed power for both directions of the ion  $\nabla B$  drift direction, we hope to more clearly identify conditions related to the transition and not just those associated with power balance.

This paper reports on the differences and similarities of the edge and divertor plasmas when the only operational difference is the direction of the toroidal field. The midplane edge profiles of temperature and density were found to be nearly identical, independent of the ion  $\nabla B$  drift direction. The amplitudes of the edge density and plasma potential fluctuations were also very similar. Differences were observed in the edge radial electric field and the plasma near the X-point region. The radial position of the X-point was also found to be important in determining the power threshold. Therefore, the sign and structure of  $E_r$  as well as plasma conditions near the X-point may be important in determining the power threshold.

#### **EDGE REGION**

Measurements of the edge  $E_r$  from charge exchange recombination spectroscopy (CER) and Langmuir probe measurements indicate that for low power L-mode plasmas,  $E_r$  changes sign when the toroidal field direction is changed [Fig. 1(f)]. The L-mode edge  $E_r$  is positive at 5–10 keV/m when the ion  $\nabla B$  drift is toward the X-point, and it is negative at about the same value when the ion  $\nabla B$  drift is away from the X-point. At fixed power just below threshold when the ion  $\nabla B$  drift is toward the X-point, all the other measured edge parameters, including the edge temperature, the temperature gradient, and the density, remain approximately the same [Fig. 1(a–e)]. The edge  $T_i$  was slightly higher when the  $\nabla B$  drift was away from the X-point but the difference was small and close to the measurement error. Edge density and plasma potential fluctuation measurements using reflectometry and Langmuir probes showed little difference in the fluctuation amplitudes, suggesting that the turbulent transport is similar in both cases. The reflectometry measurements did, however, show a difference in the average frequency of the fluctuations. One interpretation of this result is that the difference frequencies correspond to different flow velocities of the background plasmas. These results

1



Fig. 1. Edge profiles of (a)  $n_e$  (b)  $T_e$  (c)  $P_e$  measured by Thomson scattering and (d)  $C^{6+}$  density (e)  $T_i$  (f)  $E_r$  measured by CER for  $\nabla B$  drift towards (blue) and away (red) from the X-point. Basic plasma parameters were  $I_p = 1$  MA, B = 2.1T,  $n_e l = 2.5 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ , lower single null, total input power (NBI + Ohmic) 1 MW. The error bars for the Thomson measurements are small as a result of averaging 42 profiles.

indicate that additional physics, other than those involving the local edge profiles of  $n_e$ ,  $T_e$  and  $T_i$  near the plasma midplane, are needed to describe the L–H transition.

#### **DIVERTOR REGION**

Changes in the power threshold are correlated with changes in the plasma conditions near the X-point and divertor region. When the ion  $\nabla B$  drift is toward the X-point, there is a higher electron density just below the X-point, a higher electron temperature along the outer divertor leg, and a higher electron pressure above the X-point than when the  $\nabla B$  drift is away from the X-point. A map of electron pressure made from a composite of Thomson scattering measurements along a vertical chord in the divertor region during a radial scan of the X-point is shown in Fig. 2. Under otherwise steady conditions, an L–H transition occurred when the X-point was moved to the largest radial position of this scan (Fig. 3). These results suggest



*Fig. 2. Electron pressure near the X-point region for (a) B drift towards the X-point, (b) B drift away from the X-point.* 

T.N. Carlstrom, et al.

that physics associated with the X-point region may play a key role in determining the edge  $E_r$  and the H-mode power threshold.

#### **HIGH THRESHOLD CASE**

As the power is increased to 5 MW (just below threshold) when the ion  $\nabla B$  drift is away from the X-point, the edge temperature and temperature gradient roughly doubles (Fig. 4). The divertor conditions also change dramatically, with the local high-pressure region above the X-point disappearing as the entire core plasma pressure increases. These results support the hypothesis that it is not the local edge profiles of  $n_e$ ,  $T_e$  and  $T_i$  near the plasma midplane that determines the conditions for the L-H transition. It also suggests that although the divertor conditions influence the L-H transition, the divertor does not contain the only essential parameters for the transition. At this power level, the toroidal angular momentum input from the neutral beams becomes important and the radial electric field changes from being negative in the scrape-off-layer to +20 kV/m just inside the separatrix. This shear in  $E_r$  may be a component in the suppression of the turbulence at the L-H transition [2].

The L-H threshold condition can not be



Fig 3. Time traces of (a) the X-point radial position, (b) NBI power (c) line-averaged density (d)  $D_{\alpha}$  radiation from the divertor region (e) total radiated power, for two discharges showing an L-H transition at 3050 ms when the VB drift is toward the X-point (blue), that does not occur when the VB drift is away from the X-point (red).

characterized by a simple value of the edge  $E_r$  shear. As shown in Fig. 4(f), the shear in  $E_r$  at high power when the  $\nabla B$  drift is away from the X-point is much greater than the  $E_r$  shear at low power when the  $\nabla B$  drift is towards the X-point. Since the amplitude of the edge turbulence also increases with heating power, the value of  $E_r$  shear required to stabilize the turbulence should also increase.

#### POLOIDAL VELOCITY SHEAR

Recent measurements of spatially resolved edge density fluctuations using beam emission spectroscopy (BES) show a large change in the poloidal group velocity of the fluctuations when the X-point location was changed while B was held fixed (Fig. 5). At 5 cm inside the separatrix, the poloidal group velocity is positive in both cases. When the ion  $\nabla B$  drift is toward the X-point, the velocity reverses direction near the separatrix, creating a high shear region. When the ion  $\nabla B$  drift is away from the X-point, the velocity remains nearly constant. These results are also confirmed by correlation reflectometry measurements. A leading theory of improved edge confinement in H-mode is flow shear stabilization of turbulence [3]. When the flow shear reaches a critical value, the turbulence is suppressed and radial transport is reduced. These results suggest that it may be the shear in the edge poloidal group velocity of the turbulence that is important in determining the L-H transition and the power threshold.

#### **DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY**

Changing the direction of B causes up to a five-fold increase in the L–H power threshold but does not significantly alter the edge profiles of density and temperature near the plasma midplane. These results indicate that additional physics, other than those involving the local



Fig. 4. Edge profiles of (a)  $n_e$  (b)  $T_e$  (c)  $P_e$  (d)  $C^{6+}$  (e)  $T_i$  (f)  $E_r$  for two discharges at power levels just below threshold. Basic plasma parameters were  $I_p = 1$  MA, B = 2.1 T,  $n_e = 2.5 \times 10^{19}$  m<sup>-3</sup>, lower single null. For the VB drift towards the X-point (blue),  $P_{tot} = 1$  MW, the VB drift away from the X-point (red),  $P_{tot} = 5$  MW.

edge profiles of  $n_e$ ,  $T_e$  and  $T_i$  near the plasma midplane, are needed to describe the L–H transition. The plasma conditions near the X-point show substantial changes when the direction of B is changed, suggesting that physics associated with the X-point region may play a key role in determining the H-mode power threshold. However, L-H transitions occur for very different X-point and divertor plasma conditions, indicating that although the divertor conditions influence the L-H transition, the divertor does not contain the only essential parameters for the transition. It appears that the conditions leading to an L–H transition involve a complex process that can be influenced by many different parameters. Characterizing this complex



Fig. 5. Poloidal group velocity of edge density fluctuations measured by beam emission spectroscopy.  $\nabla B$  drift towards the X-point (blue) and away from the X-point (red).

interplay between the generation of flow shear at the plasma edge, the heating power, and the plasma configuration is the subject of future work.

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Nos. DE-AC03-99ER54463, DE-AC05-96OR22464, and Grant Nos. DE-FG03-95ER54294, DE-FG02-92ER54139, and DE-FG03-86ER53225.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Fukuda, Plasma Phys. and Contr. Fusion **40**, 543 (1998).
- [2] K.H. Burrell, Phys. Plasma 4, 1499 (1997).
- [3] P.W. Terry, Reviews of Modern Physics **72**, 109 (2000).