Energetic Ion Transport and Neutral Beam Current Drive Reduction due to Microturbulence in Tokamaks

by

D.C. Pace¹, M.E. Austin², E.M. Bass³, R. Budny⁴, W.W. Heidbrink⁵, J.C. Hillesheim⁶, C.T. Holcomb⁷, M. García-Muñoz⁸, B. Geiger⁸, M. Gorelenkova⁴, B.A. Grierson⁴, S. Jardin⁴, D.C. McCune⁴, G.R. McKee⁹, C.M. Muscatello⁵, J.M. Park¹⁰, C.C. Petty¹, T.L. Rhodes⁶, G.M. Staebler¹, T. Suzuki¹¹, M.A. Van Zeeland¹, R.E. Waltz¹, G. Wang⁶, A.E. White¹², Z. Yan⁹, X. Yuan⁴, and Y.B. Zhu⁵

¹General Atomics, ²University of Texas-Austin,
 ³University of California-San Diego, ⁴Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory,
 ⁵University of California-Irvine, ⁶University of California-Los Angeles,
 ⁷Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory,
 ⁸Max-Planck Institut für Plasma Physik, ⁹University of Wisconsin-Madison,
 ¹⁰Oak Ridge National Laboratory, ¹¹Japan Atomic Energy Agency,
 ¹²Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Presented at the 54th Annual APS Meeting Division of Plasma Physics Providence, Rhode Island

October 29 — November 2, 2012





Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik D.C. Pacel/APS-DPP/Oct. 2012



ASDEX Upgrade

DIII-D



Energetic Ion Transport by Microturbulence







- TFTR: beam ion and fusion product transport is classical through slowing-down, except for MHD and ripple effects (Zweben, NF 1991; Ruskov, NF 1995; McKee, NF 1997)
- DIII-D: record β_{*} = 11% shot demonstrated fusion product confinement most sensitive to MHD (Duong, NF 1993)



ITER



Theory: fusion α-particles and beam ions experience enhanced diffusion due to microturbulence in present day and ITER regimes (Estrada-Mila, POP 2006; Hauff, PRL 2009; Albergante, NF 2010)

Energetic ion diffusivity due to microturbulence

 $D_B \sim C\left(\frac{T}{E}\right)'$



Energetic Ion Transport by Microturbulence



ASDEX Upgrade & DIII-D: current drive from off-axis NBI is lower than predicted, evidence for beam ion diffusion due to microturbulence (Günter, NF 2007; Heidbrink, PRL 2009)



ITER





- Modeling: updated projections find no impact on ITER performance, identify possible issues for DEMO (Albergante, Ph.D. Thesis, 2011)
- Experiment: DIII-D experiments and modeling indicate that energetic ion transport due to microturbulence is negligible



Extensive Experimental and Computational Study Finds that Microturbulence is an Insignificant Contributor to Energetic Ion Transport

- Measured radial profiles of NBCD are well described by theory, neglecting turbulent transport, in highperformance plasmas
- Energetic ion transport is classical in well-documented, turbulent plasmas
- New modeling tools allow for predicting energetic ion diffusion due to microturbulence
- TGLF/DEP: calculates energetic ion turbulent diffusivity, $D_B = D_B(E, v_{||}/v, R, t)$
- NUBEAM: applies D_B to beam ions



J.M. Park, IAEA 2012



Extensive Experimental and Computational Study Finds that Microturbulence is an Insignificant Contributor to Energetic Ion Transport

- Measured radial profiles of NBCD are well described by theory, neglecting turbulent transport, in highperformance plasmas
- Energetic ion transport is classical in well-documented, turbulent plasmas
- New modeling tools allow for predicting energetic ion diffusion due to microturbulence
- TGLF/DEP: calculates energetic ion turbulent diffusivity, $D_B = D_B(E, v_{||}/v, R, t)$
- NUBEAM: applies D_B to beam ions





Fast Ion Spectroscopy

Extensive Experimental and Computational Study Finds that Microturbulence is an Insignificant Contributor to Energetic Ion Transport

- Measured radial profiles of NBCD are well described by theory, neglecting turbulent transport, in highperformance plasmas
- Energetic ion transport is classical in well-documented, turbulent plasmas
- New modeling tools allow for predicting energetic ion diffusion due to microturbulence
- TGLF/DEP: calculates energetic ion turbulent diffusivity,
 D_B = D_B(E, v_{||}/v, R, t)
- NUBEAM: applies D_B to beam ions





168-12/DCP/rs

Classical Energetic Ion Transport is Documented in Turbulent Plasmas During On-axis or Off-axis Beam Injection

- Off-axis beam injection and fast ion diagnostics in DIII-D
- Experimental results and comparisons with modeling
 - Off-axis NBCD in **DIII-D**: turbulent, L-mode plasmas
 - On-axis neutral beam injection in **DIII-D**
 - ASDEX Upgrade: comparisons with on- and off-axis neutral beam injection



Vertically Steerable Neutral Beam Provides 5 MW of Off-axis Injection into DIII-D Plasmas





SAN DIEGO

Experimentally Determined Profiles of NBCD Require High-Quality Motional Stark Effect Measurements and Equilibria

• Experimental beam driven current profiles, $J_{NB}(\rho)$, given by,



D.C. Pacel/APS-DPP/Oct. 2012

168-12/DCP/rs

Fast Ion D_{α} (FIDA) Systems Measure the Energetic Ion Distribution through Charge Exchange Spectroscopy

- Injected beam neutrals charge exchange with existing fast ions
- Resulting fast neutrals emit Doppler shifted light based on ion velocity along a sightline

Heidbrink, et al., *PPCF* **46**, 1855 (2004) Heidbrink, et al., *RSI* **81**, 10D727 (2010)





FIDA System is Well Suited to Probing the Energetic Ion Distribution in NBCD Scenarios

- Phase space weighting, W_{FIDA}, convolves instrument and atomic effects with the modeled F_{beam}
- W_{FIDA}(R) is dominated by current-carrying ions





Classical Energetic Ion Transport is Documented in Turbulent Plasmas During On-axis or Off-axis Beam Injection

- Off-axis beam injection and fast ion diagnostics in DIII-D
- Experimental results and comparisons with modeling
 - Off-axis NBCD in **DIII-D**: turbulent, L-mode plasmas
 - On-axis neutral beam injection in DIII-D
 - ASDEX Upgrade: comparisons with on- and off-axis neutral beam injection



MHD Quiescent Plasmas are Designed to Isolate Energetic Ion Transport due to Microturbulence

MHD Quiescent

- L-mode Plasmas: provide excellent diagnostic access
- Current Ramp: Alfvénic activity broadens the fast ion profile
- Sawtooth Crashes: redistribute fast ions and perturb equilibrium





Off-axis Beam Injection Places the Energetic Ion Population in a Region of Large Turbulence Fluctuation Amplitude

 Beam deposition is centered near ρ = 0.5



 Measured ñ_e and T_e are consistent with ion temperature gradient (ITG) type turbulence



Turbulent Beam Ion Diffusivity is Calculated Using Two Independent Methods and Passed to NUBEAM

- **DEP**¹: quasilinear model
 - TGLF² calculates mode frequencies, growth rates, and spectral weights
 - integrated into TRANSP/NUBEAM for self-consistent calculation of anomalous beam ion diffusivity, D_B
- Pueschel³: analytic expressions, local value of D_B

$$\frac{D_{\text{pass}}^{\text{es}}}{\chi_{\text{eff}}} \approx \frac{0.292}{(v_{\parallel}/v)^2} \left(\frac{T_e}{E}\right)$$







¹Waltz, et al., *in preparation* ²Staebler, et al., *POP* **14**, 005909 (2007) ³Pueschel, et al., *NF* **52**, 103018 (2012)



17

168-12/DCP/rs

Qualitative Effects of Microturbulence are Demonstrated in NUBEAM Modeling

- Example energetic ion distribution averaged over outer midplane, 0.44 ≤ ρ ≤ 0.64
- Transport increased at lower energies, as intended





Neutral Beam Current Profile is Matched by Classical Fast Ion Transport Modeling ($D_B = 0$)





Measured Energetic Ion Profiles Feature Classical Shapes

- FIDA density represents the energetic ion population within observed phase space
 - Integrated over Doppler shifted energies of 20-40 keV
 - Shape is in excellent agreement with classical profile
- FIDASIM* is a synthetic diagnostic incorporating sightline geometry, plasma profiles, and the energetic ion distribution



*Heidbrink, et al., CICP **10**, 716 (2011)



Modeled Effect of Energetic Ion Diffusion due to Microturbulence is too Small to Measure

- DEP and Pueschel profiles of D_B serve to change the simulated FIDA profile within the uncertainty range of NUBEAM
- Counter-intuitive result that the exaggerated case of
 D_B = 6 x Pueschel produces little effect





21

Turbulent Transport of Energetic Ions Occurs Outside of the FIDA and Current Drive Phase Spaces

- Calculate the difference between the 4 x Pueschel and classical F_{beam}
- For this exaggerated effect, the transport is insignificant within the current drive energy range





Diffusion in Off-axis Scenario Tends to Increase Core Beam Ion Density and Current Drive

 Confinement improves as beam ions diffuse inward

No effect on neutron rate



12

8

145183. t = 1585 ms

DEP

Classical

Pueschel

4x Pueschel

NUBEAM Anomalous Diffusivity Allows for Detailed Manipulation of the Energetic Ion Distribution

- Case Study: increase diffusion only for trapped ions
- Beam-driven current increases with D_{B,trap}
 - Appears that inwarddiffusing trapped ions become passing
 - Total current drive increase is small, ~3%





Classical Energetic Ion Transport is Documented in Turbulent Plasmas During On-axis or Off-axis Beam Injection

- Off-axis beam injection and fast ion diagnostics in DIII-D
- Experimental results and comparisons with modeling
 - Off-axis NBCD in **DIII-D**: turbulent, L-mode plasmas
 - On-axis neutral beam injection in **DIII-D**
 - ASDEX Upgrade: comparisons with on- and off-axis neutral beam injection



On-axis NBI Produces a Spatial Beam/Turbulence Overlap Similar to that Expected in ITER

 Limited spatial overlap between fusion α-particles and turbulent fluctuations in ITER Estrada-Mila, et al.,

POP **13**, 112303 (2006)

DIII-D on-axis beam injection

- Beam ion profile is peaked
- Growth rate of the dominant turbulent mode (e.g., ITG) calculated by TGLF using measured plasma profiles





Energetic Ion Profiles are Classical in MHD-quiescent Plasmas Featuring High Levels of Thermal Plasma Turbulent Transport

- Measured energetic ion profiles are consistent with classical transport expectations during microturbulence period
- Microturbulence characterized
 - ITG-type dominate
 - ñ/n, Te≁Te ~ 1%
 - $-\lambda_c > 2 \text{ cm}$
- FIDA profiles deviate significantly from classical expectation during Alfvénic activity





Classical Energetic Ion Transport is Documented in Turbulent Plasmas During On-axis or Off-axis Beam Injection

- Off-axis beam injection and fast ion diagnostics in DIII-D
- Experimental results and comparisons with modeling
 - Off-axis NBCD in **DIII-D**: turbulent, L-mode plasmas
 - On-axis neutral beam injection in **DIII-D**
 - ASDEX Upgrade: comparisons with on- and off-axis neutral beam injection



The ASDEX Upgrade Tokamak Features a FIDA System Well-suited to Off-axis Beam Current Drive Studies

- Recently commissioned FIDA* system with 15 radially spaced channels
- Diagnostic weight function is sensitive to NBCD ions



ASDEX Upgrade Beam Paths



*Geiger, et al., PPCF **53**, 065010 (2011)

ASDEX Upgrade Observes Classical Energetic Ion Profiles in Shots with 5 MW Beam Power Injected On-axis or Off-axis

- Experimental analysis is similar to DIII-D case
 - Synthetic diagnostic:
 F90FIDASIM
 - FIDA data integrated over 25 - 42 keV (659.5 - 661.0 nm)
- Anomalous diffusion value is large: D_B(R) = 1.0 m²/s





Experiments and Modeling Show that NBCD is Well Described in DIII-D, with Similar Expectations for ITER

- Energetic ion transport is classical in well-documented, turbulent plasmas
- MHD-induced transport is more important for ITER
- New modeling tools allow for predicting energetic ion diffusion due to microturbulence
- Anomalous diffusivity term of NUBEAM continues to evolve, allowing for advanced modeling of energetic ion transport
 - D_B : constant $\rightarrow D_B(R, t) \rightarrow D_B(E, v_{||}/v, R, t)$
 - Alfvén eigenmodes: energy and pitch dependence
 - Edge magnetic perturbations: strong spatial dependence



