Intense Geodesic Acoustic Modes Driven by Counter Passing Beam Ions in DIII-D

by R. Nazikian

In collaboration with G.Y. Fu, N.N. Gorelenkov, G.J. Kramer, PPPL G.R. McKee, M. Shafer, U. Wisconsin M. Austin, H.L. Berk, UT Austin W.W. Heidbrink, UC Irvine M.A. Van Zeeland, GA

Presented at the 50th APS Annual Meeting of The Division of Plasma Physics Dallas, Texas

November 17-21, 2008





Large Radial Scale Geodesic Acoustic Modes (GAMs) Discovered on DIII-D

- Background
 - Geodesic Acoustic Modes (GAMs) are localized zonal flows:
 w_{GAM} ≈ 2C_s/R
 N. Winsor et al., Phys.Fluids (1968)
 - Weak damping allows high-n turbulent beat wave excitation as with zonal flows, with implications for turbulence regulation

C.D. Conway et al., PPCF

(2005)

- Radial localization (continuum of modes) means weak fast ion drive
- But, a surprising new result on DIII-D:
 - Large scale GAMs are excited by counter going beam ions at high $q_{\mbox{\scriptsize min}}$
 - Strong bursting and neutron drops; intense beam ion interaction

R. Nazikian et al., PRL (2008)



Recipe for n=0 GAM Excitation in DIII-D: Counter Tangential Beam Injection with High q_{min}



• 80 keV beam ions, $\beta_{fast} ~ \beta_{thernal} < 1\%$



R Nazikian/APS/Nov2008

Counter Beam Injection Drives Intense GAM Density Fluctuations in DIII-D



• Note: RSAEs, TAEs with co-injection are Shear Alfvén waves



R Nazikian/APS/Nov2008

GAM Interacts Strongly with Counter-going Beam Ions: Infer Loss and/or Redistribution from Neutron Signal



- 10-15 % neutron drops with each mode burst
- Mode bursting seen on magnetics: ΔB/B~10⁻⁵

 frequency chirping, frequency splitting suggests hole-clump formation

H. L. Berk, B. N. Breizman, and N. V. Petvishvili, Phys. Lett. A 234, 213 (1997).



Mode Frequency in the Range for Geodesic Acoustic Modes: Intersects the GAM continuum





Answer: Internal Measurements Reveal Global Eigenmode with GAM-like behavior



- Mode not localized to intersection with continuum
- DT_e<<Dn_e indicates compressional mode, no radial displacement (GAM-like)
- But, Extended radial structure is inconsistent with standard GAM theory



R Nazikian/APS/Nov2008

New Hybrid (MHD-kinetic fast ion) Simulation Reveals Global GAMs for Comparable Fast Ion/thermal Beta





- Radial scale determined by fast ion poloidal orbit width, not thermal ions !
- Large linear growth rate consistent with bursting/chirping/neutron drops
- Is up/down standing wave prediction consistent with experiment?



R Nazikian/APS/Nov2008

Up/Down Standing Wave Prediction Confirmed Using Vertical BES Detector Array





R Nazikian/APS/Nov2008

What drives the GAM? Strong Anisotropy Drive for Counter Beam Injection at High q_{min}

- At high q_{min}, counter injected beam ions are close to loss boundary
- 80 keV beam ions are on unconfined trapped orbits for R > 200 cm and q_{min} > 3.5
- no unconfined orbits for similar co-injection plasmas





R Nazikian/APS/Nov2008

Summary/Outstanding Issues

- Counter beam injection excited global n=0 GAMs in DIII-D
 - Compressional density fluctuations, no radial displacement
 - Mode frequency inside GAM continuum
 - Strong bursting, frequency chirping, neutron drops
- New theory with $\beta_{fast} \sim \beta_{thermal}$ reproduces main observations
 - Large radial scale length determined by fast ion orbit width
 - Large linear growth rate, up to 30% !!
- Issue: Particle should conserve toroidal angular momentum for n=0 mode, so why do we see losses and or redistribution?
- Next step: Need to measure pitch angle distribution of losses on outer wall with scintillator probe array



