## Decoupling the Effects of Momentum and Power Input From Neutral Beam Injection

by Wayne Solomon,<sup>1</sup>

With contributions from K.H. Burrell<sup>2</sup>, A. Nagy<sup>1</sup>, J.S. deGrassie<sup>2</sup>, R.-M Hong<sup>2</sup>, S. Scott<sup>1</sup>, J.T. Scoville<sup>2</sup>, and M. Peng<sup>3</sup>

1.Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

2.General Atomics, San Diego, California, USA

3.Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA

Presented at the 48<sup>th</sup> APS-DPP, Oct 30, 2006







## **Motivation for Momentum Confinement Studies**

- Rotation in ITER is expected to be much lower than the usual values in today's experiments
- There are many unknowns associated with rotation
  - How exactly does the rotation scale with the momentum input?
  - How well do enhanced confinement regimes hold up at lower rotation?
  - Do we get enough E x B shear at low toroidal rotation to suppress turbulence?
- New counter neutral beam capabilities on DIII-D allow us to begin to address some of these questions



## Momentum Transport Was Investigated Ising Advanced Tokamak Plasma Startup

- Elevated q<sub>min</sub> conditions stay above 1 for ~ 5 s
  - no sawteeth
- Torque scans performed at constant  $\mathbf{b}_{N}$ 
  - Use plasma control system (PCS) beta feedback control
  - Beta feedback as proxy for  $T_i$
- As vary number of counter sources, PCS adjusts number of co-sources to maintain requested beta level





## Momentum Transport Was Investigated Ising Advanced Tokamak Plasma Startup

- Elevated q<sub>min</sub> conditions stay above 1 for ~ 5 s
  - no sawteeth
- Torque scans performed at constant  $\mathbf{b}_{N}$ 
  - Use plasma control system (PCS) beta feedback control
  - Beta feedback as proxy for  $T_i$
- As vary number of counter sources, PCS adjusts number of co-sources to maintain requested beta level





## Change in Net Torque by Counter NB Mainly Affects Just the Rotation Profile

- Introduction of counter beams reduce rotation by approx factor 4
- Only minor changes to other plasma profiles
- Transport analysis performed with TRANSP





#### Power Requirements to Maintain Constant $\mathbf{b}_{N}$ Increase With Reduced Torque

- Time record of absorbed beam power vs integrated torque from TRANSP
- Clear increase in power requirements as torque is reduced by introduction of counter beams





## Energy Confinement is Degraded with Counter Beams

- Systematic decrease in energy confinement time as torque is reduced by counter beam
- Observations compatible with reduction in E x B shear
- Presumably, at some point, this trend must reverse
  - Reverse I<sub>p</sub> plasmas can have good confinement (eg QH-mode)





## Momentum Confinement Time Characterized by Simple Model of Angular Momentum

 Momentum confinement time t<sub>f</sub> represents decay of angular momentum L.
For momentum source (torque) T:

$$\frac{dL}{dt} = T - \frac{L}{t_f}$$

 If torque comes from neutral beam injection, then in steady state:

$$t_f \sim L/T_{NB}$$

 Ratio of momentum to energy confinement typically found to be ~1 across many machines



J.S. deGrassie et al, Nucl. Fus. **43**, 142 (2003)



## Torque Scans Show that Toroidal Velocity Varies Fairly Linearly with Total Integrated Torque

- No obvious variation with **b**<sub>N</sub>
- Perhaps a little flattening at large torque
- Rotation not zero with zero torque
  - "Intrinsic rotation"[See deGrassie GI1.00005]





# Intrinsic Rotation Profile Can Be Interpolated From the Torque Scan Data





## If Intrinsic Rotation is Neglected, Erroneous Momentum Confinement Times are Deduced

 Large intrinsic rotation gives 1/torque dependency to momentum confinement

 $t_f \sim L/T$ 

- If L doesn't go to zero with T, then t<sub>f</sub> blows up
- If *L* positive when *T* negative, get negative t<sub>f</sub>





## Momentum Confinement Shows Torque Dependence After Accounting for Intrinsic Rotation

- Reanalyze momentum confinement times after subtracting intrinsic rotation
  - Leaves rotation driven by neutral beam torque (incremental momentum confinement)

$$\mathbf{t'_f} \sim \frac{L - L_0}{T_{NBI}}$$

- 1/Torque dependency disappears as expected
- However, residual dependence on torque/rotation remains
  - Momentum confinement degrades with increased torque







- Power requirements to maintain given b<sub>N</sub> increase with reduced torque
- Energy confinement is degraded as counter neutral beams introduced / net torque reduced
- Intrinsic rotation needs to be considered for momentum confinement studies
- Momentum confinement degrades with increase to net torque
  - Comparable to degradation in energy confinement with power
  - Momentum confinement time appears insensitive to  $\beta_N$





## **H-Factor is Degraded With Counter Beams**

 Systematic decrease in H-factor as torque is reduced by counter beam





#### Power Requirements to Maintain Constant $\mathbf{b}_{N}$ Increase With Reduced Torque

- Time record of injected power vs integrated torque from TRANSP
- Clear increase in power requirements as torque is reduced by introduction of counter beams











- Ratio of momentum confinement time to energy confinement time straight from TRANSP
- Ignores intrinsic rotation





- Ratio drops ~ factor of two at large torque after including intrinsic rotation
- Not obvious variation with torque





## **Counter Beam Injection Leads to Increases in Electron and Ion Heat Diffusivities**

 Transport analysis performed with TRANSP

 Electron and ion heat diffusivities enhanced at reduced torque





#### Analysis of Energy Confinement Shows Dependence on Rotation

- Analysis of energy confinement time for all shots this year show the same general trend
  - Using central rotation as representation of torque
- On counter rotation side, see similar degradation in confinement as approach zero rotation
- Linear fit to the data show that co-rotation outperfor counter-rotation
- Observations consistent with reduction in ExB shear



