Comparison of Neoclassically Predicted Poloidal Rotation with Experimental Measurements

by W.M. Solomon,¹ In collaboration with

K.H. Burrell², L.R. Baylor³, R.J. Fonck⁴, P. Gohil², D.J. Gupta⁴, R.J. Groebner², G.J. Kramer¹, G.R. McKee⁴, R. Nazikian¹

> ¹Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory ²General Atomics ³Oak Ridge National Laboratory ⁴University of Wisconsin

Presented at 46th Annual Meeting American Physical Society Division of Plasma Physics

Savannah, Georgia

November 15-19, 2004





There is strong motivation for testing neoclassical theory of poloidal rotation

- Rotation plays important role in suppression of turbulence and the formation of internal transport barriers through *E* x *B* shear
- Predictive knowledge of rotation requires experimental verification of neoclassical theory
- Neoclassical theory of rotation tested by comparing poloidal rotation profiles from charge exchange recombination (CER) measurements with predictions from the code NCLASS
- Special care is necessary to properly interpret the CER measurements



Key results

- There is an **order of magnitude** discrepancy between the measured poloidal rotation profile and the neoclassical prediction from the code NCLASS in QH-mode discharges on DIII-D.
- The rotation is neoclassically predicted to be in the opposite direction than what is actually observed.



CER measurements are complicated by the energy-dependent cross-section

- Energy-dependence of charge exchange cross-section causes apparent velocity [von Hellermann et al., PPCF (1995)]
- Mainly affects toroidal measurements, but gyro-motion + finite-lifetime generates apparent vertical (poloidal) velocity [Bell and Synakowski, AIP Conf. Proc. (2000)]
- Derived expression for apparent velocity [Solomon, et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. (2004)]

$$\vec{V}_{app}^{local}\left(\vec{v}_{n}\right) = \frac{1}{1 + \omega_{c}^{2}\tau^{2}} \left[\vec{v}_{n} + \frac{\omega_{c}\tau}{B}\left(\vec{v}_{n} \times \vec{B}\right) + \frac{\omega_{c}^{2}\tau^{2}}{B^{2}}\left(\vec{v}_{n} \cdot \vec{B}\right)\vec{B}\right]$$

• Geometrical effects also important





The measured line-of-sight velocities are accurately described by the non-linear least squares fit

• Plasma rotation modeled by

 $\vec{V} = k\vec{B} + R\Omega\hat{\phi}$

where $\hat{\phi}$ is unit toroidal vector, $k(\rho)$ and $\Omega(\rho)$ are neoclassical flux surface quantities (splines)

- LOS velocities shown for C VI (8-7) transition at 529.05 nm
 - Red: measured
 - Black: re-projected
- 4 knots in k and Ω adequate to account for measurements

The energy-dependent cross-section correction is validated by analyzing data from two chords

- Chords view same location, but have 300 different views a) #119307 Uncorrected 2 Different T5 velocities 200 contributions of toroidal velocity (~5%) 100 $0 \stackrel{\text{(m)}}{=} 0$ Velocity (km/s) Different _ -1 contributions of 400 b) Corrected Velocities correction -2 h (m) -2 0 -1 200
- Measured difference >> 5%
- Fully corrected \rightarrow near perfect agreement

0

Neoclassical prediction from NCLASS is much smaller than observed experimentally

- Analysis performed t = [3000-4000] ms
 - Statistical average plotted
 - Error bands = standard deviation of profiles
- Measured profiles input into NCLASS
- NCLASS prediction smaller than measurement by order of magnitude
- Even disagreement in direction of rotation!

There is a significant difference in the inferred radial electric field depending on the poloidal velocity used

 Radial electric field determined from CER measurements using radial force balance equation

$$E_{r} = V_{\phi}B_{\theta} - V_{\theta}B_{\phi} + \frac{1}{Z_{i}en_{i}}\frac{\partial(n_{i}T_{i})}{\partial r}$$

 Marked difference if use neoclassical vs measured poloidal rotation

• Given importance of *E_r* to confinement essential to accurately determine

Poloidal propagation velocity of fluctuations indicates that measured poloidal velocity gives the better E_r

- Indirectly examine E_r from poloidal propagation velocity of fluctuations
 - Assuming that the fluctuations propagate with *E* x*B* velocity

$$V_{\theta}^{fl} = E_r / B_{\phi}$$

• Use beam emission spectroscopy (BES) diagnostic to measure V_{θ}^{fl}

• BES measurement clearly supports using measured poloidal velocity in calculating *E*_r

Summary

- Observe an order of magnitude discrepancy between measured and neoclassically predicted poloidal velocity. Disagreement even in direction
- Effect of large poloidal rotation on radial electric field consistent with measurements of poloidal propagation velocity of the turbulence
- Cause for apparent anomalous poloidal velocity at this stage not clear Possibilities include
 - Effect of fast ions
 - Generation through turbulent Reynolds stress

