

SUPPRESSION OF m=2/n=1 NEOCLASSICAL MODES BY LOCALIZED ECCD IN DIII-D

R. PRATER, R.J. LA HAYE, R.W. HARVEY, D.A. HUMPHREYS, J. LOHR, T.C. LUCE, F.W. PERKINS, and C.C. PETTY CompX

SUPPRESSION OF m=2/n=1 NEOCLASSICAL TEARING MODE BY LOCALIZED ECCD IN DIII-D

- m=2/n=1 mode has been suppressed by ECCD
 - Suppression more difficult than for m=3/n=2 mode due to larger growth rate
- Accurate placement of ECCD is critical
 - Automated control of B_t used to determine optimum location
 - Illustrated by analysis of 3/2 stabilization
- Improved confinement found when 2/1 mode is stabilized
 - Improvement of stability limits not tested yet, but plasma rotation increases



MOTIVATION

- The m=2/n=1 tearing mode is dangerous because it often locks to the wall and grows until a major disruption occurs
- Radially localized ECCD should stabilize the m=2/n=1 tearing mode by replacing the "missing" bootstrap current in the island
 - Similar to m=3/n=2 stabilization by ECCD on AUG, JT–60U, and DIII–D
- These experiments on DIII–D use five gyrotrons to inject 2.7 MW of ECCD aimed at the q = 2 surface







MODIFIED RUTHERFORD EQUATION SHOWS EFFECTS OF ECCD

•
$$\frac{\tau_{\rm R}}{r} \frac{dw}{dt} = \Delta' r + \frac{128}{3\pi} \frac{\dot{j}_{\rm BS}}{j_{\rm q}} \frac{r}{s} \frac{1}{w} \left[1 - \frac{w_{\rm pol}^2}{w^2} - K_1 \left(\frac{w}{\delta_{\rm EC}}, \frac{\Delta R}{\delta_{\rm EC}} \right) \frac{\dot{j}_{\rm EC}}{\dot{j}_{\rm BS}} \right]$$

- j_{EC}/j_{BS} is figure-of-merit
- K₁ represents the effectiveness of the ECCD, including effects of finite width δ_{EC} of the ECCD and displacement ΔR from the rational surface
- K₁ modeled by Perkins and Harvey



EFFECTIVENESS OF ECCD IS MAXIMUM WHEN THE ISLAND SIZE AND THE ECCD WIDTH ARE COMPARABLE



290-02/JY

STABILITY SHOWS STRONG SENSITIVITY TO OFFSET OF THE ECCD FROM THE RATIONAL SURFACE





290-02/JY

BT RAMPDOWN FOR m=3/n=2 NTM ILLUSTRATED THE SENSITIVITY OF THE LOCATION OF ECCD





FIRST DEMONSTRATION OF COMPLETE SUPPRESSION OF THE m = 2/n = 1 TEARING MODE



β_N is held constant using NBI power feedback

- Energy confinement increases by 25% after m = 2/ n = 1 mode stabilization
- Location of ECCD optimized by toroidal field feedback





PLASMA CONTROL SYSTEM DETERMINES OPTIMAL B_{T} VALUE FOR ECCD SUPPRESSION IN REAL TIME







OPTIMAL SUPPRESSION OF m = 2/n = 1 ISLAND OCCURS WHEN ECCD IS ALIGNED WITH q = 2 SURFACE









MODELING PREDICTS m = 2/ n = 1 TEARING MODE STABILIZATION REQUIRES $J_{EC}/J_{BS} \ge$ 2.8, IN AGREEMENT WITH EXPERIMENT



● 40 kA of ECCD is ~ 3% of total current





REDUCTION OF n=1 MODE AMPLITUDE LEADS TO INCREASED PLASMA ROTATION





REDUCTION n=1 MODE AMPLITUDE LEADS TO IMPROVED CONFINEMENT AND PERFORMANCE





- Reduction of the amplitude of 3/2 and 2/1 NTMs is a robust effect
- Important benefits to the performance of the discharge accrue when NTMs are reduced
- Adaptive control of the ECCD location is necessary and effective
- Calculations of the needed ECCD are in good agreement with NTM theory

