Deuterium pellets have been injected into DIII-D from the inside wall, the outside, and the top. When the injection point is inside the magnetic axis of the plasma, the fueling efficiency has proven to be significantly higher (approaching 100% in some circumstances) than when the injection point is outside of the magnetic axis. This is attributed to the outward radial motion of the ablated plasma cloud, P.T.Lang, et. al,Phys.Rev.Lett.79,1478 ( 1997). A plasma deposition model by Parks, P.B. Parks Phys Plasmas 5, (2000), has been incorporated in the pellet code to compare with the experimental deposition. An additional slow injector ($v_{\text{pellet}} \leq 200 \text{ m/s}$) has been installed. This will allow comparison with inside launch injection near the mid-plane vs injection from higher on the inside wall (26 degree downward vs 56 degree downward).
DIII-D Pellet Injection System – Recent Injector Modifications

1. All three guns now fire 2.7-mm pellets (1.8- and 4.0-mm sizes also used in previous experiments)

2. New pellet punch mechanisms installed on two guns for reliable slow-pellet operation; these guns are also equipped with oversized gun barrels to further limit pellet speed (<200 m/s)

New Pellet Punch (Not Shown) Initiates Pellet Motion; It Was Developed and Tested at ORNL before Installation on DIII-D
DIII-D Pellet Injection System – Transport Guide Tubes

1. Straight guide tubes (≈4 m)
   » Three independent standard low-field-side (LFS) injection tubes: 135° port

2. Curved guide tubes (≈12.5 m)
   » Two independent vertical injection tubes: 0° (V+3) and 60° (V+1) ports
   » Two independent HFS injection tubes on inner wall: 45° upper and lower ports
   » Special tube with tight bend radius for LFS injection experiments with fractured pellets

3. Performance tests were done at ORNL on mockups of DIII-D “roller-coaster” tube runs
   » Special ORNL components were developed for attaching multiple tube sections and size transitions
   » Pellet speeds are limited to ≈200 m/s for survival in HFS tube runs and ≈400 m/s for vertical installations
   » Combs, SOFE Proceedings, 1999

Any guide tube can be connected to any pellet gun (or a gas valve)
HFS Pellet Internal Injection Tubes on DIII-D
ORNL Pipe-Gun Facility Was Modified and Used for Testing Curved Guide Tubes and Mock-Ups of Inside Launch Pellet Injection on DIII-D, JET, and LHD
D₂ Pellet at Gun Muzzle and Guide Tube Exit from Mockup Test of DIII-D Lower HFS Pellet Injection
Results from ORNL Mockup of DIII-D HFS Guide Tube Geometry Give Maximum Speed Allowed for Intact Pellets (2.7-mm D₂ Pellets)

$V_{\text{max, HFS}_{45}} = 250 \text{ m/s}$  
$V_{\text{max, HFS}_{\text{mid}}} = 210 \text{ m/s}$
Alternative Injection Locations for Optimized Fueling

• Pellet penetration is well characterized, but deposition profile from LFS injection is anomalous
  – ELMs triggered by LFS pellets are substantial and long lasting

• Alternative injection locations have been installed to investigate pellet fueling deposition from top ports and inner wall ports

• High field side (HFS) injection lines on DIII-D provide improved core fueling with HFS injected pellets
  – HFS pellets have efficient fueling with minimized particle loss
  – ELMs triggered by HFS pellets are similar to background ELMs

• Vertical injection inside magnetic axis provides improved fueling compared to LFS miplane or vertical LFS trajectory
  – Vertical mounted injector may be optimal for reactor fueling
Pellet Penetration is Well Characterized, but Deposition Profile from LFS Injection is Anomalous

- Maximum Penetration depth agrees well with theory over a range of data from many devices, $\lambda/a \sim T_e^{-5/9}v_p^{1/3}$ (Baylor, et al., *Nucl. Fusion* 37, 445 (1997))

- Mass deposition implies fast radial transport during the ablation process
  - ASDEX Upgrade first experiment to try HFS injection to test this hypothesis (Lang, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 79, 1478 (1997.))
High Field Side (HFS 45°) Pellet Injection on DIII-D Yields Deeper Particle Deposition than LFS Injection

- Net deposition is much deeper for HFS pellet in spite of the lower velocity
- Pellets injected into the same discharge and conditions (ELMing H-mode, 4.5 MW NBI, $T_e(0) = 3$ keV)

**2.7 mm Pellets - HFS 45° vs LFS**

- **HFS 45°**:
  - $v_p = 118$ m/s
  - $\Delta t = 5$ ms
  - Calculated Penetration: HFS - 95%

- **LFS**:
  - $v_p = 586$ m/s
  - $\Delta t = 1$ ms
  - Calculated Penetration: LFS - 55%

**DIII-D 98796 - measured $\Delta n_e$**

Fueling Efficiency:
- HFS - 95%
- LFS - 55%
ExB Polarization Drift Model of Pellet Mass Deposition
(Rozhansky, Parks)

- The velocity of ablatant $\approx c_s(2L/R)^{0.5}$.
- For DIII-D this is $\approx 2$ km/s, i.e. faster than the pellet (deKloe, Mueller, Phys.Rev.Lett. (1999))
- $\Delta R$ stronger at higher plasma $\beta$
- Detailed model by Parks, P.B. (Phys. Plasmas 1968, (2000)).

- Polarization of the ablatant occurs from $\nabla B$ and curvature drift in the non-uniform tokamak field:
  $$ \mathbf{v}_{vb} = \frac{W_{\perp} + 2W_{\parallel}}{eB^3} \mathbf{B} \times \nabla B $$
- The resulting $E$ yields an ExB drift in the major radius direction
The deposition shows deeper fueling than predicted.

• Pellet D\(_\alpha\) emission agrees with ablation model (PELLET code).

• A radial drift of 20 cm is inferred from the data - for comparison with detailed drift model. (Parks, P.B., Phys Plasmas 2000)
A direct comparison of same size 2.7mm pellets in the same shot shows slightly deeper fueling from HFSmid than from HFS45 trajectory.
Both Vertical HFS and LFS Pellet Injection are Consistent with an Outward Major Radius Drift of Pellet Mass

- The net deposition profile measured by Thomson scattering 2-4 ms after pellet injection on DIII-D. V+1 HFS indicates drift toward magnetic axis while V+3 LFS suggests drift away from axis.
HFS Pellet Injection on DIII-D Yields Higher Fueling Efficiency than LFS Pellets

- HFS injection exhibits almost ideal fueling efficiency.
- Vertical injection provides higher fueling efficiency than LFS injection.
- LFS pellet fueling efficiency affected by major radius drift and by pellet ELM interaction.

LFS Fueling Efficiency in H-mode ~ 50%
V+1 Fueling Efficiency in H-mode ~ 60-90%
HFS Fueling Efficiency in H-mode ~ 90%
Application of Pellets for Transport Barrier Formation:
Internal Barriers: PEP-mode

• **PEP-mode** - overview and transport summary

  — HFS pellets produce peaked density profile with $T_i \approx T_e$

  — Internal transport barriers can be produced both with strong Negative Central Shear (NCS) and weak internal shear (WS) depending upon pellet timing and beam timing and direction relative to the plasma current

  — Pellets provide a tool to produce core transport barriers with parameters unobtainable by other methods thus providing a wider range to test physics of barrier formation and sustainment

  — Baylor, et al, IAEA 2000
HFS Pellets During Current Rise Lead to Internal Transport Barrier - PEP mode

- HFS 2.7mm pellets injected during current rise produce highly peaked density profiles that develop PEP ITB with $T_i \approx T_e$
- PEP survives transition to H-mode and can persist for > 1s
- Core collapse occurs as $q_{min}$ reaches 3/2
- Steepest $n_e$, $T_e$, $T_i$ gradients occur inside $\rho_{q_{min}}$

![Graph showing density profiles and time evolution of $q(0)$, $q_{min}$, $T_e$, and $T_i$]
PEP-mode Ion Thermal Diffusivity in the Core Approaches Neoclassical Levels

- TRANSP calculation of thermal diffusivities shows ITB in core region out to \( \rho = 0.4 \) as expected from the strong gradients in the kinetic profiles.
- ITB in NCS PEP case is stronger than weak shear PEP ITB, both approach neoclassical levels. \( \chi_e \) is lower in core of weak shear PEP.
- \( \omega_{\text{EXB}} \) becomes large enough to suppress ITG turbulence in the NCS PEP ITB plasmas.
NCS PEP-mode has Lower Electron Particle Diffusivity than Low Shear PEP Comparison

- TRANSXP calculation of electron particle diffusivity shows reduced core particle transport in PEP just inside the minimum q region ($\rho=0.4$).

- Both NCS PEP and WS PEP ITBs show reduced $D_e$ toward axis, but NCS case increases where profiles become flat.
ITG Modes are Stabilized in PEP-mode
ITB Core Region

The ExB shearing rate exceeds the ITG growth rate inside the ITB

\[ \omega_{ExB} = \frac{(RB_\theta)^2}{B} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \left( \frac{E_r}{RB_\theta} \right) \]

Edge shearing rate is strong due to H-mode edge barrier
Application of Pellets for Transport Barrier Formation: Edge Barriers: H-mode

- **PIH-mode** - pellet induced H-mode overview
  - Pellets have reduced H-mode power threshold by up to 33%
  - Pellets enable test of transition theory
  - Steep edge density gradient is necessary for H-mode transition
  - However, injection location and extent of deposition not critical to the transition
HFS Pellets have induced H-mode Transitions

- HFS pellet induces H-mode transition that is maintained
- H-mode power threshold reduced by 2.4MW (up to 33%) using pellet injection (Gohil, P. submitted for publication)
A critical edge temperature is not indicated in these H–mode transitions

- Edge $T_e$ and $T_i$ are reduced following pellet injection

Pellet induced H–modes have L-H transitions at plasma parameters far below theoretical predictions
The HFS Pellet Penetrates Much Further, But Still Produces A Significant Density Gradient At The Plasma Edge
Pellet Induced H-modes have Transitions at Plasma Parameters far Below Theoretical Predictions

- Comparison of experimental edge local parameters with predictions from theories of H-mode transitions.
A Steep Edge Density Gradient is Necessary for the H-mode Transition

Value of Edge $n_e$ is critical

No correlation with deposition extent

- Extent of the pellet deposition is not important for H-mode transition but a threshold gradient of $1 \times 10^{21} \text{ m}^{-4}$ appears critical.
Conclusions

• HFS injection ports installed on DIII-D and 2 guns modified for slower pellet speeds ($v_{\text{pellet}} < 200 \text{ m/s}$) to take advantage of the radial drift and lead to improved core fueling with HFS injection

• The pellet mass drifts in the plasma major radius direction on a fast ($<100 \ \mu\text{s}$) time scale during the redistribution process
  – ExB polarization drift model is appears qualitatively correct, but there is insufficient data for a quantitative evaluation

• The new HFS pellet injection tool has been applied successfully for:
  – PEP-mode ITB formation with $T_i \approx T_e$, (unlike other ITB regimes) and first measurement of $E_r$ in PEP-mode
  – Triggers for L to H-mode transitions for reduced power threshold

• HFS pellet injection is unique enabling technology that has led to several areas of new physics understanding on DIII-D