### Fast-ion profiles in quiet plasmas

• FIDA\* introduction

 Magnitude and shape comparison

Parametric
 dependences and
 corroborations

Spatial profiles

**Y. Luo, W. W. Heidbrink, E. Ruskov** University of California, Irvine

K. H. Burrell

General atomics

#### W. M. Solomon

Princeton University

\*Heidbrink, PPCF 46 (2004) 1855; Luo, RSI 78 (2007) 033505.





### $D_a$ light from neutralized fast ions



- Charge exchange light S<sub>FIDA</sub> n<sub>b</sub>n<sub>f</sub><σv<sub>rel</sub>>. S<sub>FIDA</sub>/n<sub>b</sub>: FIDA density.
- Wavelength determined primarily by Doppler shift. One velocity component measured.
- Cross section depends on relative velocity.
   Spectral shape distorted.





#### **FIDA fiber views**



- Dedicated 2-channel system measured full spectra
- Partial spectra from 7 vertical channels on selected discharges





## Vertical views measure one component of perpendicular energy



### Monte Carlo code simulates expected signal





### Both magnitude and shape of spectra agree well



- Intensity calibration allows direct magnitude comparison.
- For the 180 cm chord, simulated spectrum is scaled by 0.75 to get the agreement. 20-30% magnitude difference is reasonable provided errors in data processing, intensity calibration, plasma profiles input to TRANSP and the simulation code, etc.
- Excellent shape agreement validates TRANSP fast-ion velocity space model and atomic cross sections in the simulation code.
- The result benchmarks both the simulation code and the diagnostic.

Irvine



### **Classical theory of fast ions**

 Fast ions are born with an initial energy and pitch by neutral beam injection

• Fast ions slow down through coulomb collisions with electrons and thermal ions

• Fast ions pitch angle scatter through coulomb collisions with thermal ions

• Fast-ion density is proportional to the product of the beam power and the slowing down time, which is  $P_{inj}f(T_e)/n_e$ 





## FIDA signal increases with beam power and decreases with left fraction



 FIDA density (FIDA/n<sub>b</sub>) is proportional to fast-ion density

 P<sub>inj</sub>/n<sub>e</sub> is also proportional to fast-ion density if T<sub>e</sub> held constant

• Electron temperature is held to be between 2 keV and 3 keV because of limited database entries

#### Strong correlation observed between FIDA signal and beam power

Signal increased with right beam source





## FIDA signal is less sensitive to pitch-angle scattering than neutral particle analyzer signal





- •The FIDA signal increases with T<sub>e</sub> because of the longer slowing down time (higher fast-ion density) and more pitch angle scattering (more perpendicular energy)
- •The FIDA signal is less sensitive because FIDA measures a collection of fast ions in velocity space, while NPA measures a point in velocity space. Neutrons also average in velocity space.



# Electron density dependence agrees with a simple model



 The model: product of total neutral density, deposition rate of full energy component, and slowing down time. • All atomic physics neglected, which is legitimate when the <sup>5.5</sup>velocity distribution doesn't change and only signal level is concerned. One free parameter in the model. Good agreement between the FIDA measurements and the



### Neutron diagnostic corroborates FIDA measurements





# FIDA relative radial profile agrees well with TRANSP prediction

Fast-ion distributions from TRANSP are dumped to the simulation code.
Simulated profiles are higher as expected at the later time when electron density is lower.

• At the early time, FIDA profile is normalized to the simulated profile.

At the later time, FIDA profile agrees with the simulated profile.
Radial profile of fast-ion pressure inferred from kinetic EFITs (MSE data) are also consistent with TRANSP.





### FIDA absolute radial profile is more challenging



• Simulated FIDA profile looks reasonable.

- •The absolute magnitudes are within 30%. Not bad considering all the uncertainties.
- The profile shape doesn't agree with the simulation.
  The difference between CCD channels and Reticon channels

suggests that the intensity calibration is problematic.

• Future prospect is good with careful intensity calibration.





- Excellent spectral shape agreement (Coulomb collision model validated).
- Reasonable magnitude agreement
- Expected parametric dependences
- Corroborated by other fast-ion diagnostics
- Good relative radial profile (beam-ion diffusion coefficient within 0.1 m<sup>2</sup>/s).



