### Two-phase L-H transitions in unfavorable configurations in Alcator C-Mod

Alcator C-Mod S. Hughes, I. Bespamyatnov\*, E. Edlund, M. Greenwald, B. LaBombard, L. Lin, R. McDermott, M. Porkolab, J. Rice, W. Rowan\*, J. Snipes, J. Terry MIT Plasma Science and Fusion Center \*Univ. Texas Fusion Research Center

12<sup>th</sup> US-EU TTF Workshop, San Diego April 19, 2007

Research supported by U.S. Dept. of Energy

### OUTLINE



- Introduction: Influence of magnetic configuration on L-H threshold, brief review of SOL flow results.
- Experiments with reversed field and current.
  - SOL flows.
  - Evolution of profiles
  - Changes in edge thermal transport and fluctuations prior to "L-H" transition.
- Discussion:
  - What can we learn about L-H transitions?
    What more information do we need?
  - How do these experiments relate to other 'slow transitions'
  - Opportunities for joint research? For comparisons with models?

## L-H power threshold is well known to depend on magnetic configuration

- Higher thresholds with ion Bx∇B drift away from active X-point, seen since earliest ASDEX Hmodes.
- Very sensitive to S<sub>sep</sub>, which may explain variable results in "DN"
- Several studies ~2000 (C-Mod, AUG, DIII-D) showed edge temperatures at L-H also ~2X higher – i.e. not just a difference in edge transport.
- C-Mod experiments ~2003 showed a likely connection to SOL flows and related core rotation.
  - HFS flows reverse direction LSN to USN, affect core rotation.





Results appear consistent with SOL flows causing the *differences* in P<sub>thresh</sub> with configuration (not the transition itself).



C-Mod has only one (lower) "divertor" structure. This means:

Reversing B and I<sub>p</sub> removes ambiguities in

comparing different magnetic configurations

- Upper tile configuration is more open than lower, not designed for high heat flux.
- LSN and USN shapes were not exactly symmetric.

Do these effects contribute to the observed differences in SOL, flows/rotation, profiles, threshold?

## To find out, reversed I and B to compare in SAME configuration:

"Reverse B" has ion Bx⊽B drift upward.

"Normal B" has drift downward.







• Flow direction depends only on X-point location, NOT BxVB. Consistent with transport-driven flux. Similar Mach No. in forward, reversed B.



- Flow direction depends only on X-point location, NOT BxVB.
  Consistent with transport-driven flux. Similar Mach No. in forward, reversed B.
- But, since I<sub>p</sub> is also reversed, flows are *counter*-I<sub>p</sub> when Bx∇B is away from the X-point ('unfavorable'), *co-I<sub>p</sub>* in favorable cases.

#### Key results confirmed by field reversal: L-H Thresholds higher in Reversed B LSN

- Ohmic core rotation is more counter-l<sub>p</sub> in reversed field LSN.
  - Co-I<sub>p</sub> increment when power, pressure increase.
- LSN **power thresholds** are much higher (2.7-3.7 MW) "unfavorable"
  - Usual variability with wall conditions.
- Threshold temperatures and gradients are also much higher (>400 eV), particularly at low n<sub>e</sub>.
  - This has varied between campaigns.



Alcator

C-Mod

# Edge $T_e(r)$ with unfavorable drift shows interesting evolution *before* L-H transition



- Edge T<sub>e</sub> profiles evolve on a slow time scale, 3-4  $\tau_{\rm F}$ .

Alcator

C-Mod

- Often a "break-in-slope" in  $T_e(t)$ ,  $\nabla T \sim 40$  ms before L-H.
  - Two-phase H-mode transition?
- Steep T<sub>e</sub> gradients develop, *before* changes in ∇n<sub>e</sub> & D<sub>α</sub> (the classic "L-H") transition.
- $V_{tor}(0)$  steadily reduces.
  - Smaller change in edge  $V_{pol}$ .
- Stored energy W, H-factor also increase gradually, H<sub>89P</sub> to 1.6 in Lmode.
- This L-mode evolution is also seen in Normal B USN, but is NOT seen in favorable drift direction, even with high L-H thresholds (eg, 8 T).

### "Pedestal" in T<sub>e</sub> develops prior to L-H transition



- T<sub>e</sub>, p<sub>e</sub> gradients develop before L-H over a narrower region (~2 mm) than in later H-mode.
  - $-\nabla p_e/n_e$  up to 200 keV/m!



- Preliminary measurements from ambient B<sup>+4</sup> spectroscopy just *inboard* of pedestal indicate that total E<sub>r</sub> does not change substantially until the L-H transition.
  - However, do not resolve the region of steep  $\nabla {\rm T}_{\rm e}.$
  - New high active CXRS arrays, and xray diagnostics, promise improved V<sub>pol</sub> and V<sub>tor</sub> measurements in 2007.



## Steady decrease in edge $\chi_{eff}$ is accompanied by changes in turbulent fluctuations

• Gradual decrease in magnetic fluctuations at outboard side, strongest in ~50-100 kHz band, accompanies 60% drop in edge  $\chi_{eff}$  from power balance.

Alcator

C-Mod

- Net decrease in integrated  $\tilde{B}$  (5-250 kHz) during evolution is ~46%
- Upshift but little change in net n<sub>e</sub> fluctuations by PCI (top view).
- Further sharp decreases in all fluctuations, and in  $\chi_{\text{eff}}$  , at L-H transition.



### Fluctuations in n<sub>e</sub> and B respond differently



- Decrease in mid-range (~30-120 kHz) fluctuations Early to late L-mode is consistent and clear on magnetics.- TOP
- Decrease much less visible (sometimes not at all) on Phase Contrast Imaging – BOTTOM.
  - Both see changes in H-mode.
- Possible reasons:
  - $\delta n_e vs \delta B$  perturbations?
  - OR poloidal location? (PCI measures along vertical chord at mid-R, magnetics near outboard midplane where we expect ballooning transport).
  - AND/OR k<sub>θ</sub> range? (PCI 0.5-7 cm<sup>-1</sup>, magn <2.5 cm<sup>-1</sup>)
- This campaign, we will use lower f reflectometry ( $\delta n_e$ , outer midplane) to get more information.
- As always, hardest to establish **causality** between fluctuations and transport.



# Pre-LH evolution is consistent with a "soft" transition

Alcator C-Mod

- Edge flux-gradient plot shows gradual increase in ∇T with nearconstant Q, n<sub>e</sub>, after 'break-inslope',
  - Appears to be a 'soft', second order transition, as would result from –ve dependence of  $\chi$  on T or  $\nabla$ T.
  - Consistent with the gradual decrease in turbulence.
- Contrasts with the usual L-H transition, which is a rapid first order bifurcation.



### **Discussion**



- How does this phenomenon relate to other 'slow transitions'?
  - Seems most similar to (likely the same as) 'Improved L-mode' on AUG with unfavorable drifts. (Ryter, PPCF 1998).
  - Globally similar features to the 'Intermediate Mode' regime seen on DIII-D but no evidence of "bursty" fluctuations or fluxes. (Colchin, PRL 2002).
  - What about 'slow transitions' on DIII-D with MARFE? (Moyer, PPCF 1999).

#### • What can it tell us about edge transport and L-H transition mechanism?

- Slow decrease in certain fluctuations accompanies rising  $T_{edge}$ , decreasing  $\chi_{eff}$ . Do these fluctuations dominate edge thermal transport in L-Mode?
- Why does thermal but not particle transport decrease?? Different modes?
- What exactly is delaying L-H transition in unfavorable case, with high  $\nabla p_e/n_e$ ?
- Practical applications/implications of unfavorable magnetic configurations.
  - 'Improved L-mode' might be attractive for advanced scenarios: H~1.6, but low density. Can it be maintained for long periods?
  - Subsequent H-modes have higher  $T_{ped}$ , lower  $n_{ped}$  and  $v^*$  control knob. Can this help us understand pedestal evolution and scaling?
- Ideas for joint experimental and/or model comparisons?

# H-mode **pedestals** in unfavorable configuration also have higher T, and lower $n_{ped}$ , $v^*$

In fully developed H-mode, pedestals in Reverse B LSN (unfavorable drift) tend to have lower n, higher T (up to 900 eV) than Forward B LSN with similar I, B, target n<sub>e</sub>. Pedestal widths, pressures are similar.

Alcator

C-Mod

– This leads to lower collisionality pedestals,  $0.25 < v_{ped}^* < 2.5$ 



- Similar results for high field (Forward B 8 T) pedestals. Common feature in both cases is a high power and temperature (lower v\*) at the L-H threshold.
  - Is the threshold condition determining the final operating point?