ROBUST CONTROL OF RESISTIVE WALL MODES IN TOKAMAK PLASMAS

J. Dalessio, E. Schuster

Laboratory for Control of Complex Physical Systems

Mechanical Engineering and Mechanics





Workshop on Improved MHD Control Configurations Columbia University, November 18-20, 2007 Collaborators involved in this project:

- *Mike Walker, Dave Humphreys* General Atomics, San Diego, CA
- Yongkyoon In, Jin-Soo Kim FAR-TECH Inc., San Diego, CA

Introduction

- Resistive Wall Mode (RWM) is a plasma kink instability whose growth rate is moderated by the influence of a resistive wall.
- FAR-TECH DIII-D/RWM model
- Plasma surface modeled as a toroidal current sheet and the wall modeled with an eigenmode approach.
- State-space model of the plant, whose states are the surrounding wall current and the external control coil currents.



Introduction

- 22 poloidal field probes and saddle loops.
- 12 in-vessel coils are used to oppose the deformation.
- State space model is parameterized with a scalar coupling coefficient c_{pp} , which is directly related to the growth rate γ



 12 input, 22 output reduced to 3 input, 2 output using a typical quartet configuration for the I-coils and matched filter on the field probes.



System Model

The state matrices are given below, where each matrix has a physical correlation to a parameter in DIII-D which is well known, except for the uncertain parameter c_{pp} .

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu \qquad \qquad y = Cx$$

$$A = \left(M_{ss} - M_{sp}c_{pp}M_{ps}\right)^{-1}R_{ss} \qquad \qquad C = C_{ss} - C_{yp}c_{pp}M_{ps}$$

$$B = \left(M_{ss} - M_{sp}c_{pp}M_{ps}\right)^{-1}$$

The transfer function representation of the state matrices

$$\frac{Y(s)}{U(s)} = G(s) = D + C(sI - A)^{-1}B = G(s, c_{pp})$$

How does the dynamic response change as c_{pp} changes?

Frequency Response for Varying c_{pp}



We are interested in designing <u>one</u> controller that stabilizes <u>all</u> the systems \rightarrow ROBUST CONTROL



Workshop on Improved MHD Control Configurations, Columbia Univ., Nov. 18-20, 2007

Design Goal

 To design a model-based controller that stabilizes the system and meets performance criteria over a large range of growth rate values.

Condition	Target Value	Maximum Constraint
Rise Time	1.0 ms	5.0 ms
Settling Time	5.0 ms	10 ms
Overshoot	15 %	50 %
Input Voltage	N/A	$\pm 100 \text{ V}$

• Physical growth rate (γ) range:

10-5,000 rad/sec

- Corresponding c_{pp} range: 0.3325-71
- The growth rate relationship through c_{pp} is treated as an uncertain parameter that acts as a disturbance to a nominal system.
- Robust control tools are applied to the model to stabilize the system over the design range.
- Results in a single controller the ensures stability and performance within the desired growth rate range.

UNIVERSITY

Controller Design

The goal is to design a feedback controller K that robustly stabilize the system for the applicable range of Δ . Defining

$$N = F_l(P, K) \qquad \mu(N_{11}) = \frac{1}{\min\{k_m | \det(I - k_m N_{11} \Delta) = 0\}} \qquad \overline{\sigma}(\Delta) \le 1$$

where μ is the structured singular value and the term N_{11} isolates the uncertainty from the input and output of the system.





Workshop on Improved MHD Control Configurations, Columbia Univ., Nov. 18-20, 2007

System Space Model

The state matrices are given below, where each matrix has a physical correlation to a parameter in DIII-D which is well known, except for the uncertain parameter c_{pp} .

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu \qquad \qquad y = Cx$$

$$A = \left(M_{ss} - M_{sp}c_{pp}M_{ps}\right)^{-1}R_{ss} \qquad \qquad C = C_{ss} - C_{yp}c_{pp}M_{ps}$$

$$B = \left(M_{ss} - M_{sp}c_{pp}M_{ps}\right)^{-1}$$

Using the Sherman-Morrison formula

$$(A_T - b_T C_T D_T)^{-1} = A_T^{-1} + \frac{b_T (A_T^{-1} C_T) (D_T A_T^{-1})}{1 - b_T D_T A_T^{-1} C_T}$$

The state matrices can be rewritten as

$$A = A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_i A_i \qquad B = B_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_i B_i \qquad C = C_0 + \alpha_5 C_5$$

where each α term is a nonlinear function of the uncertain parameter c_{pp} and every other term is constant.

Workshop on Improved MHD Control Configurations, Columbia Univ., Nov. 18-20, 20079

Linear Fractional Transformation

Using the linear fractional transformation, which is defined by the upper and lower transform for a matrix M as:

$$F_{l}(M,\Delta_{l}) = M_{11} + M_{12}\Delta_{l}(I - M_{22}\Delta_{l})^{-1}M_{21} \qquad F_{u}(M,\Delta_{u}) = M_{22} + M_{21}\Delta_{u}(I - M_{11}\Delta_{u})^{-1}M_{12}$$

The transfer function representation of the state matrices

$$G(s) = D + C(sI - A)^{-1}B \qquad M = \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

can be written as

$$G(s) = F_{u}\left(M_{\alpha}, \frac{1}{s}I\right) = M_{\alpha_{22}} + M_{\alpha_{21}}\frac{1}{s}\left(I - M_{\alpha_{11}}\frac{1}{s}\right)^{-1}M_{\alpha_{12}} = M_{\alpha_{22}} + M_{\alpha_{21}}\left(sI - M_{\alpha_{11}}\right)^{-1}M_{\alpha_{12}}$$

where

$$M_{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i A_i & B_0 + \sum_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i B_i \\ C_0 + \alpha_5 C_5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



Model Progression





Singular Value Decomposition

The uncertainty α can be formulated into a linear fractional transform by achieving the smallest possible repeated blocks.

$$J_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{i} & B_{i} \\ C_{i} & D_{i} \end{bmatrix} = U_{i} \Sigma_{i} V_{i}^{*} = \left(U_{i} \sqrt{\Sigma_{i}} \right) \left(\sqrt{\Sigma_{i}} V_{i}^{*} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} L_{i} \\ W_{i} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_{i} \\ Z_{i} \end{bmatrix}^{*}$$

Denoting q_i as the rank of each matrix J_i , introducing the uncertainty

$$\alpha_{i}J_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{i} \\ W_{i} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{i}I_{q_{i}} \begin{bmatrix} R_{i} \\ Z_{i} \end{bmatrix}^{*} \\ M_{\alpha} = M_{11} + M_{12}\alpha_{p}M_{21} = F_{l}(M, \alpha_{p}) \\ M_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{0} & B_{0} \\ C_{0} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad M_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{1} & \cdots & L_{5} \\ W_{1} & \cdots & W_{5} \end{bmatrix} \quad M_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{1}^{*} & Z_{1}^{*} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ R_{5}^{*} & Z_{5}^{*} \end{bmatrix} \quad \alpha_{p} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{1}I_{q_{1}} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots \\ 0 & \alpha_{5}I_{q_{5}} \end{bmatrix} \\ G(s) = F_{u}\left(M_{\alpha}, \frac{1}{s}I\right) = F_{u}\left(F_{l}(M_{\alpha}, \alpha_{p}), \frac{1}{s}I\right)$$



Workshop on Improved MHD Control Configurations, Columbia Univ., Nov. 18-20, 2007 12

Model Progression





Workshop on Improved MHD Control Configurations, Columbia Univ., Nov. 18-20, 2007

Pulling out the "δ"

The uncertainty α_p is still a nonlinear matrix based on the uncertain parameter c_{pp} . This parameter is extracted using by "pulling out the δ ", where δ is the normalized c_{pp} .



The final result is a linear fractional transform

$$\alpha_p = F_l(Q, \Delta) \quad \Delta = \delta I \quad |\delta| \le 1$$



Model Progression





Pulling out the " δ "

Finally this can be substituted into the plant and simplified using several properties of the linear fractional transform

$$G(s) = F_u\left(M_\alpha, \frac{1}{s}I\right) = F_u\left(F_l\left(M_\alpha, \alpha_p\right), \frac{1}{s}I\right) = F_u\left(F_l\left(M_\alpha, F_l\left(Q, \Delta\right)\right), \frac{1}{s}I\right)$$

$$G(s) = F_u\left(F_l(R,\Delta), \frac{1}{s}I\right) = F_l\left(F_u\left(R, \frac{1}{s}I\right), \Delta\right) = F_l(P',\Delta) = F_u(P,\Delta)$$

where

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} + M_{12}Q_{11}M_{21} & M_{12}Q_{12} \\ Q_{21}M_{21} & Q_{22} \end{bmatrix} \qquad P' = F_u \begin{pmatrix} R, \frac{1}{s}I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P'_{11} & P'_{12} \\ P'_{21} & P'_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$



Model Progression





Workshop on Improved MHD Control Configurations, Columbia Univ., Nov. 18-20, 2007

D-K Iteration

- No direct method to synthesize a μ -optimal controller.
- DK-iteration combines H_{∞} synthesis and μ -analysis.
- This method starts with the upper bound on μ in terms of the scaled singular value

$$\mu(N) \leq \min_{D \in \wp} \overline{\sigma}(DND^{-1})$$

where & is the set of matrices D which commute with Δ , i.e., $D\Delta = \Delta D$.

 Then, the controller that minimizes the peak value over frequency of this upper bound is found, namely

$$\min_{K} \left(\min_{D \in \wp} \left\| DN(K) D^{-1} \right\|_{\infty} \right)$$



D-K Iteration

- The controller is designed by alternating between the two minimization problems until reasonable performance is achieved.
- Follow the steps until $\|DN(K)D^{-1}\|_{\infty} < 1$, or H_{∞} norm doesn't decrease.
 - 1. *K*-**step**. Design an H_{∞} controller for the scaled problem with fixed D(s).
 - 2. *D*-**step**. Find D($j\omega$) to minimize upper bound at each frequency with fixed N.
 - 3. Fit the magnitude of each element of D($j\omega$) to a stable and minimum-phase transfer function D(s) and go to step 1.



Controller Simulation Results

- High rotating plasma, the growth rate γ ranges from 10 rad/s to 5,000 rad/s. This results in a range for the uncertain parameter c_{pp} that goes from 71 to 0.3325.
- Controllers designed with a smaller, more unstable nominal c_{pp} value produce the widest range of stability for c_{pp} .

Condition	Target Value	Maximum Constraint
Rise Time	1.0 ms	5.0 ms
Settling Time	5.0 ms	10 ms
Overshoot	15 %	50 %
Input Voltage	N/A	$\pm 100 \text{ V}$

Performance Constraints

Controller	DK
Stability Range	0 - 7,437 rad/s
Perf. Range (Step)	0 - 7,254 rad/s
Perf. Range (Initial)	0 - 6,459 rad/s

Stability\Performance Ranges



Initial Condition Response





Initial Condition Response





Step Response





Step Response





Time-varying Growth Rate Response





Time-varying Growth Rate Response





Sinusoidal Growth Rate Response





Sinusoidal Growth Rate Response



Conclusions

- Toroidal current sheet model for the DIII-D tokamak plasma was restructured into a robust control framework, isolating the RWM growth rate γ (c_{pp}), the key term of RWM instability.
- With the system model in this framework, the parametric *DK*-iteration method was applied to develop a structured-singular-value based robust controller for a pre-determined range of *γ*.
- Since the plasma RWM growth rate can vary throughout the operation of the DIII-D tokamak, the design of a controller that can stabilize the system over the entire physical range of *γ* is critical.
- In terms of robust stability, this method eliminates the need of online identification and controller scheduling.

