### **Toroidal phase dependency of ELM-driven RWM**

Y. In<sup>1</sup>, M. Okabayashi<sup>2</sup>, E.J. Strait<sup>3</sup>, A.M. Garofalo<sup>4</sup>, G.L. Jackson<sup>3</sup>, J.S. Kim<sup>1</sup>, R.L. La Haye<sup>3</sup>, H. Reimerdes<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> FAR-TECH, Inc., <sup>2</sup> PPPL, <sup>3</sup> GA, <sup>4</sup> Columbia U.



MHD workshop, Columbia U., New York, NY November 18-20, 2007



### Outline



#### ELM-driven RWM

- Background

Big ELM-induced n=1 mode amplitudes alone may not be a sufficient condition to drive RWM.

#### Experiments

Phase dependency of externally stimulated n=1 pulses

'Stronger' plasma response occurs at a certain toroidal location than at any other toroidal location.

- Phase dependency of ELM-driven RWM
- Impacts of ELM-driven toroidal mode spectra
- Caveats
- Conclusion

# Rotationally stabilized plasmas do not guarantee RWM-free operation.

- Rotational stabilization might not be robust, in that any nonaxisymmetric disturbance could trigger RWM.
  - Is ITER safe against RWM if the rotation is well above the rotational threshold?
  - Maybe not, because a zero frequency MHD activity (e.g. ELMs) may trigger RWM even in rotationally stabilized plasmas<sup>1</sup>.
- According to a zero-dimensional model<sup>2</sup>, a disturbance threshold exists in order to explain certain ELM-driven RWMs.
  - <sup>1</sup>. Garofalo et al., NF (2007)
  - <sup>2</sup>. Strait, IT-4 Mtg in DIII-D (2007)



FAR-TECH

[From Strait et al, APS-DPP (2005)]

## In ELM-driven RWM, big *n*=1 mode amplitudes alone may not be a sufficient condition to lead to RWM.



The interaction of the ELM-driven *n* = 1 mode with weakly damped stable RWM needs to be understood in high torque plasmas.

## The plasma responses during a toroidal sweep of *n*=1 pulse would reveal any toroidal phase dependency.



**Hypothesis** 

 ELM-driven RWM might be due to stronger plasma response at a certain toroidal location than in any other location.

FAR-TECH

- If so, the toroidal sweep of n=1 pulse may reveal the toroidal angle dependency of ELMdriven RWM.
  - Criteria:
    - higher amplitude
    - slower damping

### Overall, stronger plasma responses are observed at half of the machine angle than at the other half.



 Arguably, the strongest plasma response was observed when IU330 was peaked.

#### ELM-driven n=1 field may trigger RWM more readily

#### near 90 degree than at any other toroidal angle.



- Assuming that a peaked outward radial flux is the location of the mode, the preferred toroidal angle for ELM-driven RWM can be expected to show similar plasma response.

- Pulse durations may not change RFA, nor damping rate.

## Plasma response to each pulse would result from all the *n* =1 fields, as well as the applied field.



Although the applied field is configured at 90 degree, the observed phase was toroidally shifted to ~ 50 degree.

#### A preferred toroidal angle would reside in a quadrant of the machine angle, showing a tendency to induce ELM-driven RWM.



- Preferred phase for n=1 pulse alone may not be sufficient to result in RWM, either.
- Then, combination of amplitude and phase (e.g. near-static but slowly rotating) OR something else (e.g. non-rigidity, evolving damping process) ?

## The plasma response of ELM-driven *n*=1 mode is similar to that of the externally stimulated *n*=1 pulse.



While the ELM-driven *n* = 1 mode is usually accompanied by toroidal phase shifts, the measured phase of ELM-driven RWM shows a tendency to reside in a quadrant of the machine angle.

## Active feedback control prevents the ELM-driven n=1 field from interacting with weakly damped stable RWM.



Without active feedback, the RFA occurs first, leading to RWM.

#### ELM-driven toroidal mode spectra show that significant

#### *n* > 1 components are present, as well as *n*=1 fields.





- Externally stimulated n=1 pulses cannot reproduce the same toroidal mode spectra as ELM drives.
  - L/R time of externally driven n=1 current vs natural ELM
  - Typically, the multiple low-n modes, including n=1 mode, are almost always observed, when ELMs occur in high beta plasmas.
- Any intrinsic or externally overdriven/underdriven non-axisymmetric fields can pose a potential threat to interact with weakly damped stable RWM, being amplified and causing unstable RWM.
  - With active feedback on, the damping rates of the n=1 fields can be reduced down to sub-milliseconds.
- Impacts of ELM-driven toroidal mode spectra on error fields, toroidal rotation, and multiple RWM need to be assessed.

EAR-TECH

### Conclusions



- Even in high rotation plasmas, any non-axisymmetric disturbance could trigger RWM, which might be due to the interaction of the disturbance with weakly damped stable RWM.
- In ELM-driven RWM,

big n=1 mode amplitudes alone may not be a sufficient condition to lead to RWM.

- ELM-driven RWM shows a toroidal phase dependency, where the interaction of non-axisymmetric mode with wall stabilized mode appears to readily occur.
- Active feedback control prevents the ELM-driven n=1 field from interacting with weakly damped stable RWM.