

Progress in controlling tearing modes in RFX-mod

L. Marrelli

A.Alfier,T.Bolzonella, F.Bonomo, L.Frassinetti, M.Gobbin, S.C.Guo,
 P.Franz, A.Luchetta, G.Manduchi, G.Marchiori, P.Martin, S.Martini,
 P.Piovesan, R.Paccagnella, R. Pasqualotto, A.Soppelsa, G.Spizzo,
 D.Terranova, P.Zanca and the RFX-mod team
 Consorzio RFX-Associazione EURATOM ENEA sulla Fusione



- Tearing modes in RFX-mod
- Standard Virtual Shell experiments
- Closer Virtual Shell
- Active control experiments (m=1)
 - QSH induction (single mode)
 - LM mitigation (multiple modes)
- Open issues / Controller optimizations

CONSORZIO RFX Reversed Field Pinch Mode Classification



Single (SH) vs Multiple (MH) Helicity

• 3D viscoresistive MHD simulations (SpeCyl code with ideal wall boundary) have shown that the dynamo can be





Turbulent (Multiple Helicity)

Stochasticity by island overlap





Active induction of SH

• MHD simulations (DEBS) including active control of boundary radial field indicate that it is possible to stimulate the onset of a Single Helicity



mode energy spectrum computed by DEBS in a run with complex gains

R. Paccagnella et al., IAEA 2006 paper THP3-19



- Last Closed Magnetic Surface is distorted by the tearing modes
- Modes tend to be phase locked and, in RFX-mod, are always wall locked
 - toroidally localized plasma wall-interaction

CCD image of C I(908nm): C influx



LCMF Distance from the wall





- If no LM mitigation technique is applied, the enhanced interaction induces
 - increased radiated power



- enhanced non axisymmetric post shot vessel temperature increases, with m=1 pattern





RFX-mod control system overview

• The edge radial magnetic field is controlled by saddle coils



assembly of saddle coils on vacuum vessel

full coverage of vessel
4(pol) x 48(tor) = 192 saddle coils independently fed

•can generate modes m=1 n=-24 to +23 m=0 n=1 to 24 m=2 lnl =0 to 24

Radial field at 24 kAt	 (mT)
DC	50
@10Hz	35
@50Hz	12
@100Hz (I=16 kAt)	3.5

radial field at plasma edge

A. Luchetta, et al: Symposium on Fusion Technology, Warsaw, Poland, 2006: submitted to FED



- *Virtual Shell (VS)* : active cancellation of radial magnetic field, at radius of 192 field sensors: analogy with passive cancellation by ideal superconducting shell [§]
- *Selective:* control system can act on modes selectively
- *Mode control in SVS*: non zero reference value; complex gains



^[§] C.M. Bishop, Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion, 31, 1179 (1989)



- Tearing modes in RFX-mod
- Standard Virtual Shell experiments
 Ip < 1MA
- Closer Virtual Shell
- Active control experiments (m=1)
 - QSH induction (single mode)
 - LM mitigation (multiple modes)
- Open issues / Controller optimizations



Standard Virtual Shell

- Reproducible increase of pulse length, compared to non-VS operations has been obtained
 - Limited by sustainment power
 - Reduced loop voltage

- Measured radial field at the edge is significantly reduced compared to non-VS
- A substantial reduction is observed on the tearing-branch of the edge radial field spectrum



S. Martini, et al., 21st IAEA Fusion Energy Conference



Virtual Shell: Spontaneous QSH

• In Standard Virtual Shell, especially at high current levels (0.8-0.9MA), Tearing Modes spectrum tends to n=-7 QSH more often.



- Normalized magnetic energy in the dominant mode
- Normalized average magnetic energy in secondary modes

P. Martin, ICPP 2006 - Kiev 22-26/05/2006. submitted to PPCF

Virtual Shell: Spontaneous QSH

• Electron temperature and SXR emissivity increase in the plasma core

CONSORZIO RFX





- This behavior is observed in standard V.S. discharges, *without LM mitigation*.
 - Statistical indicators for QSH: "probability" and "duration" measurements



Virtual Shell: Spontaneous QSH

• Both probability and duration increase with the level of plasma current





- Tearing modes in RFX-mod
 - QSH vs MH
- Standard Virtual Shell experiments
 - Ip < 1MA
 - OPCD
- Closer Virtual Shell
- Active control experiments (m=1)
 - QSH induction (single mode)
 - LM mitigation (multiple modes)
- Open issues / Controller optimizations



Virtual Shell+OPCD

- Tearing mode spectrum is significantly affected when during OPCD operations 3000 Vθ 2000 1000 · (4) plasma 0 - ⁵ - 1000 - 2000 - 0.2 0.6 0.2 - 0.4 0.4 (c) Capacitor AC/DC Chopper Inverter bank conv. L TCCB TCCH **TCAC TFAT**
 - D. Terranova, et al 48th Annual Meeting of the Division of Plasma Physics, Philadelphia Oct 2006



Virtual Shell + OPCD



- **OPCD** is an efficient way for inducing a Quasi Single Helicity state in the plasma
- The transient QSH state is characterized by an *increased electron temperature* and *reduced chaos* thanks to the *reduction of secondary modes*.
- OPCD induced QSH states generally have smaller secondary modes with respect to spontaneous QSH States.



Virtual shell + OPCD

• Thermal structures appear in the core of the plasma.





- Tearing modes in RFX-mod
 - QSH vs MH
- Standard Virtual Shell experiments
 - Ip < 1MA
 - OPCD
- Closer Virtual Shell
- Active control experiments (m=1)
 - QSH induction (single mode)
 - LM mitigation (multiple modes)
- Open issues / Controller optimizations



- The Virtual Shell cancels the radial field at the sensor radius
- The Closer Virtual Shell approach perform feedback on "virtual" b_r sensors closer (or farther away) to the plasma
 - Simultaneous measurement of radial AND toroidal component INSIDE the shell allows for "moving" the Virtual Shell closer to the plasma boundary:
 - A cylindrical vacuum model is assumed

$$b_r^{m,n}(r) = a^{m,n} I'_m \left(\frac{|n|r}{R_0}\right) + b^{m,n} K'_m \left(\frac{|n|r}{R_0}\right)$$

coefficients a^{m,n} b^{mn} are computed at measurement positions...

$$-i \operatorname{sgn}(n) b_{\varphi}^{m,n}(r) = a^{m,n} I_m \left(\frac{|n|r}{R_0}\right) + b^{m,n} K_m \left(\frac{|n|r}{R_0}\right)$$



... and used to extrapolate b_r at desired radius



- Preliminary experiments have been performed @ 600kA+800kA
- A decrease of radial field @plasma surface is observed,



... but it is not monotonous with the effective plasma-closer shell distance



- Tearing modes in RFX-mod

 QSH vs MH
- Standard Virtual Shell experiments
 - Ip < 1MA
 - OPCD
- Closer Virtual Shell
- Active control experiments (m=1)
 - QSH induction (single mode)
 - Selective Virtual Shell
 - Non-zero Reference values
 - Complex Gains
 - LM mitigation (multiple modes)
- Open issues / Controller optimizations



natural evolution

- If the control of a tearing mode is switched off
 - discharge duration is significantly shortened for n=-7 .. -10
 - This does not apply for n=-13



RFX natural evolution: Multiple modes

• When several tearing modes are not controlled, the higher n mode tends to dominate the spectrum





- Tearing modes in RFX-mod

 QSH vs MH
- Standard Virtual Shell experiments
 - Ip < 1MA
 - OPCD
- Closer Virtual Shell
- Active control experiments (m=1)
 - QSH induction (single mode)
 - Selective Virtual Shell
 - Non-zero Reference values
 - Complex Gains
 - LM mitigation (multiple modes)
- Open issues / Controller optimizations



• The feedback law of the V.S. may include a non zero reference value for a mode

$$b_{mn}^{ext}(t) = k_p \left(b_{r,mn}(t) - b_{ref,mn}(t) \right) + k_I \int dt \left(b_{r,mn}(t) - b_{ref,mn}(t) \right)$$

- QSH induction through a non zero reference value on n=-7 have been attempted on 800 kA discharges
- The V.S cannot match the reference amplitude, with the present choice of gains





Non zero reference value

• The mode phase can be controlled and slowly (10-20Hz) varied in time







- The phase of the plasma mode locks to the reference phase
 - Intermittent islands maxima correspond to the magnetic island O-point
- Even when a thermal island is not evident, the SXR profile is still asymmetric
 - "Center of mass" of SXR emissivity follows the rotation of magnetic island O-point







- Tearing modes in RFX-mod

 QSH vs MH
- Standard Virtual Shell experiments
 - Ip < 1MA
 - OPCD
- Closer Virtual Shell
- Active control experiments (m=1)
 - QSH induction (single mode)
 - Selective Virtual Shell
 - Non-zero Reference values
 - Complex Gains
 - LM mitigation (multiple modes)
- Open issues / Controller optimizations



• The V.S. feedback law can been modified, in order to apply a torque

$$b_{mn}^{ext}(t) = k_p G e^{i\Phi} b_{r,mn}(t) + k_I \int dt \ G e^{i\Phi} b_{r,mn}(t)$$





Complex gains

- For a proper choice of the gain phase
 - a long lasting QSH spectrum may arise
 - the level of the radial field at the edge remains reasonably low
- the mode phase slowly rotates:
 - the effect is observed also on SXR profiles
 - intermittent SXR island occurs



SRZIO RFX Analytical torque model for complex gain



- The imaginary part of the gain gives a net torque
- The real part cancels the field
- The sign of the imaginary part determines the rotation direction
- If IGI is constant, a tradeoff between high torque and low edge radial field need to be found





- Tearing modes in RFX-mod

 QSH vs MH
- Standard Virtual Shell experiments
 - Ip < 1MA
 - OPCD
- Closer Virtual Shell

• Active control experiments (m=1)

- QSH induction (single mode)
 - Selective Virtual Shell
 - Non-zero Reference values
 - Complex Gains
- LM mitigation (multiple modes)
- Open issues



LM mitigation

- The highest current operations (1MA) up to now have been possible only with LM mitigation schemes
- Reproducible discharges are obtained with reduced interactions with the first wall...
 - no localized increase of vessel temperature
 - no localized enhancement of P_{rad}
- ... but QSH probability is significantly reduced





LM mitigation

- The scheme is based on non zero reference value for m=1 edge radial field with relatively low amplitude and $\Delta f \propto \Delta n$
 - 1,-7 f= -20 Hz
 - 1,-8 f= -10 Hz
 - 1,-9 f= 0 Hz
 - 1,-10 f= 10 Hz
 - 1,-11 f= 20 Hz
 - 1,-12 f= 30 Hz
- The initial phase of the reference value is measured in real time measurements
- This scheme preserves the LM pattern and rotates it along the stationary 1,-9 mode.
- the m=0,n=1 mode rotates with the slinky: a torque is exerted on the *m*= 0 modes.



CONSORZIO RFX Open issues / Controller optimization

- A residual "error" (i.e. mismatch between reference and measurement) remains
 - both in standard Virtual Shell and Closer Virtual Shell
- In particular, errors are not symmetric: shell asymmetries are responsible for different dynamic behavior of the edge radial field
 - toroidal asymmetry due to the presence of the toroidal gap
 - poloidal asymmetry in the regions of gaps of the mechanical structure and the shell
- Different modes require different gains: Mode Control schemes with different gains need to be developed

Residual error / Shell Asymmetries

- These issues are being addressed by means of an electromagnetic model of the control system
- Main features of the model *(state space representation)*
 - *inputs*: 48x4 voltages applied by the power supply to the saddle coils
 - *outputs*: 48x4 magnetic fluxes measured by the sensor coils







E.M. model of active control system

- Saddle coils inductance (L) and dissipation (R) matrices are composed by constant terms
 - four non-zero mutual inductances for each coil are considered
 - elements of L and R, corresponding to selected locations, have been <u>experimentally measured.</u>
- 2. No coupling with plasma
 - current flowing into saddle coils is given by externally applied voltages only
 - Model computed currents correspond in fact to experimental measurements



E.M. model of active control system

- 3. Accurate (i.e. non sparse) mutual inductance between coils and sensors
 - Optimal number of non zero elements identified iteratively: 1+3+2x6x4=52 for each saddle-coil are included
 - frequency response is included
- The model reproduces the dynamical behavior of the system with an accuracy of 5%
 - open loop generation of harmonic m=0,n=4
 - closed-loop PI controller: cancellation of static disturbances produced by toroidal windings
- Work in progress:
 - determination of optimal PID gains for canceling time varying fields
 - optimization of PID gains for different sections





• Saddle coils generates low n modes (especially m=0) with low efficiency

$$b_{rc}^{m,n}(t) = -\mu_0 \, K_m' \left(\frac{|n|c}{R_0}\right) I_m' \left(\frac{|n|c}{R_0}\right) \frac{n^2 c}{R_0^2} \frac{\sin\left(n\frac{\Delta\phi}{2}\right)}{n\frac{\Delta\phi}{2}} \frac{\sin\left(m\frac{\Delta\theta}{2}\right)}{m\frac{\Delta\theta}{2}} \, I^{m,n}(t)$$

- The saddle coils are located outside the shell
 - action on low n modes is delayed compared to high n
- To overcome these two issues: Mode control with Shell Compensation
 - the inverse of the shell transfer function for the modes is included in the gains
 - derivative gain needs to be included (a one pole filter is implemented in real-time)

$$b_{coil}^{m,n}(r,t) = \left(K_P - \frac{K_I}{A^{m,n}}\right) b_r^{m,n}(r,t) + K_I \int_{t_0}^t b_r^{m,n}(r,\xi) d\xi - \frac{K_P}{A^{m,n}} \frac{\partial b_r^{m,n}(r,t)}{\partial t} - K_P b_r^{m,n}(r,t_0)$$



Conclusions

- Virtual Shell scheme reduces significantly tearing mode edge radial field
 - less plasma wall interaction and lower loop voltage
- In standard V.S., spontaneus, long lasting, QSH spectra are observed at high currents.
- OPCD reproducibly increase the QSH probability
- Non zero reference values and complex gains allows to control phase of modes. Amplitude control needs to be optimized.
- Locked Mode rotation techniques are required, at present, to operate reproducibly at high current, due to non optimized controller.
 - algorithms experimented so far are not compatible with QSH
- Optimized feedback schemes are being developed