TASK FORCE M EUROPEAN FUSION DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT





Direct NTM stabilisation attempts on JET

<u>Richard Buttery</u>*, E. Barabato¹, M. De Baar², T. C. Hender*, D. F. Howell*, M. Mantsinen³, M. Maraschek⁴, M. L. Mayoral*, O. Sauter⁵, E. Westerhof², and JET-EFDA contributors⁶.

*EURATOM/UKAEA Fusion Association, Culham Science Centre, United Kingdom.

- ¹ Asociazione Euratom-ENEA sulla Fusióne, CRE Frascati, Italy.
- ² FOM Institute for Plasma Physics, The Netherlands.
- ³ Helsinki University of Technology, Association Euratom-Tekes, Finland.
- ⁴ Max Planck Institut fur Plasma-Physik, Germany.
- ⁵ Centre de Recherches en Physique des Plasmas, EPFL, Lausanne, Switzerland.
- ⁶ Annex 1 of Pamela, J., 2003 Proc. 19th Int. Conf. on Fusion Energy (Lyon, 2002, IAEA).





FFDA TASK FORCE M EUROPEAN FUSION DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT



- Real time β ramp-down techniques
- ICCD at q=3/2 NTM control scans
- Possibilities for LHCD NTM control
- Conclusions

CEFDA TASK FORCE M EUROPEAN FUSION DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT NTMS have a metastable threshold



Island width

- NTMs metastable above a certain β_{marg}
- →If β ramped down enough NTM can be removed
- →Particularly useful if you get anomalous seed
 - such as large sawteeth with entry into H-mode





• Real time system takes β down until mode decays...



 Exploits metastable nature of NTM

first sawtooth
often the worst

 Recovery to
higher β and neutron rate after 3/2 NTM

 Disruption prevention after 2/1 NTM

EFDA TASK FORCE M EUROPEAN FUSION DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT ICCD: diversify sawtooth control

• Take LFS 2nd harm H result, and move further off axis:





EFDA TASK FORCE M EUROPEAN FUSION DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

- Strike mode with 'standard NTM recipe'
- Apply B_Φ ramps to move the ICRH deposition over the NTM
- Vary power
- Vary phasing of ICRH
 - dipole and -90° degrees

EFDA TASK FORCE M EUROPEAN FUSION DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT Effect of local ICRF on 3/2 NTM

Rutherford equation for island growth

$$\frac{dw}{dt} = 1.22\eta \left[\Delta' + a_1 \varepsilon^{1/2} \beta_p f(w) + c_1 j_{1,ICCD} + c_2 \eta_{1,ICRH} j_0 \right]$$

- thus, three ways in which the NTM is affected
 - affect Δ ': local current profile changes directly through ICCD or indirectly through ICH
 - create $j_{1,ICCD}$ by local ICCD inside the island
 - create $\eta_{1,ICH}$ though the local heating of the island
- we expect relatively broad (15-20 cm) RF deposition profile, so Δ ' effects may dominate

TASK FORCE M EUROPEAN FUSION DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT



Type I pulse shape



• by ramping $B_T = 1.48-1.69$ T the 42.3 MHz 2nd H resonance is scanned from R = 3.17 to 3.70 m

EFDA TASK FORCE M EUROPEAN FUSION DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT Br Scans with 1,2,3,4 MW ICCD



EFDA TASK FORCE M EUROPEAN FUSION DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT JET Same effect with dipole & -90° phasing



EFDA TASK FORCE M EUROPEAN FUSION DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT Type II pulse: fixed B_T



EFDA TASK FORCE M EUROPEAN FUSION DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT Results with fixed B_T



TASK FORCE M EUROPEAN FUSION DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT





- Bø ramps carried out
- Dipole and -90° phasing
 - -Up to 1.7 T in dipole
 - -Up to 1.75 T in -90°
- 3 power levels

SE E FIDA

Modest effect only

EFDA TASK FORCE M EUROPEAN FUSION DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT LH first attempt: high field reference

The reference discharge : #51805



- Ip=2.51MA
- B=2.5T
- $< ne > = 2.9 \ 10^{20} \ m^{-3}$
- At t=56.8 sec the mode starts
- •Full traces are experimental data
- Traces between t= 56 sec and t= 59 sec are from JETTO interpretative run. LH tarts at t=57.5sec.

CEFDA TASK FORCE M EUROPEAN FUSION DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT Modelling shows worth a try 400kA are driven by 2MW of LHCD inside the island width



• The driven current inside the island is 15% of the total plasma current

•Some uncertainties and variations expected from plasma profiles, etc.

EFDA TASK FORCE M EUROPEAN FUSION DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT Good LH but no clear effect on mode





Modelled current drive based on actual shot run:



• More suitable for 2/1 mode, but hard to trigger at 2.7MA - due to high Ip and low β



- Bt=1.65T, Ip=1.65MA \Rightarrow coupling poor (1 / 2.5 MW),
- large ELMs \Rightarrow trips LHCD \Rightarrow improvable by power adjustment



• **BUT** LHCD analysis shows edge density too high in this scenario - LH localised to edge of plasma



• Bt = 2.7T, Ip = 2.0MA \Rightarrow coupling ok

• Both (3/2) and (2/1) are possible in this scenario



• Modelled current drive penetrates nicely:



- Scope to move further out
 - increased n_{II}
 - more gas puff
 - change modes (no 2/1 less \rightarrow pump out)

DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT TASK FORCE M FUSION EUROPEAN EFDA



- Real time power notches can remove modes and recover β > NTM onset β
- ICCD 3/2 NTM stabilisation now had good attempt
 - very modest effect seen in deposition sweeps
 - outweighed by rise in amplitude due to extra heating
 - dipole and -90 phasing had same effect
 - \rightarrow ICRH not an effective technique for NTM control on JET
- LHCD NTM stabilisation has potential
 - very efficient current drive
 - deposition location is the key so far not got the right location
 - promising new scenario identified