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The Columbia University group could help improve KSTAR plasma stabilization system

• Motivation
  - The steady-state KSTAR device design incorporates global mode stabilization (GMS) hardware for increased performance
  - Full physics assessment of the hardware effectiveness is desired

• Outline
  - Objectives for assessing sustained mode stabilization in KSTAR
  - Supporting research of existing and planned devices
  - Research plan for KSTAR global mode stabilization study
  - Budget
Objectives of GMS physics design study follow required elements

• **Equilibrium**
  - Use KSTAR design basis equilibria that span operational space (β, shape, q)
  - Perform equilibrium variations as desired in coordination with KSTAR team
  - Use KSTAR equilibrium reconstructions once device produces plasma

• **Stability**
  - DCON – input to VALEN
    - Analyze stability space vs. KSTAR plasma parameters

• **Feedback**
  - VALEN
    - Mode growth, time-evolved stabilization, critical feedback time delay

• **Simulation development / present experimentation**
  - Present upgrades include rotation, multi-mode capability, etc.
  - RWM stabilization physics understanding, critical rotation frequency, etc.
Columbia U. GMS studies closely couple theory & XP

- **Applied / Experimental**
  - DIII-D
    - Design/analysis of results of active feedback system with internal coils (Garofalo, Navratil, Reimerdes,)
  - NSTX
    - Design/analysis of passive stabilizer system and active feedback system with external coils (Sabbagh, Sontag)
  - HBT-EP
    - Experiment/theory (Navratil, Bialek, Mauel, Boozer)
  - Interactive
    - Common tools, standard (shared) databases, remote meetings

- **Theoretical**
  - VALEN-3D code design/development (Bialek, Boozer)

- **Predictive**
  - Analysis of future devices (Bialek, Navratil)
    - ITER, FIRE, JT-60SC design studies conducted
ITER active coil modification can significantly raise stable $\beta_N$

- Original external coil design for ITER stabilizes up to $\beta_N = 2.7$
- Proposed improvement raises maximum stable $\beta_N$ to near 5
- Dual-wall vacuum vessel and blanket used in VALEN model

VALEN dual-wall vessel / blanket model
(full view)

Active feedback coil modification
(coils in ports)
NSTX control modeling predicts 68% stable margin above $\beta_{\text{Nno-wall}}$ with initial external coil system.

- Control coil / sensor design with realistic geometry
- Internal control coil design computed to reach $C_\beta = 94\%$

(Equilibria used have $\beta_{\text{Nno-wall}} = 5.1$; $\beta_{\text{Nwall}} = 6.9$)
NSTX model with control coils among plates has only 50% stable margin above $\beta_{N\text{no-wall}}$

- Active coil / passive plate coupling leads to reduced performance

![Graph showing growth rate vs $\beta_N$ with active and passive gain lines](graph.png)

Modeled active feedback coils
KSTAR active stabilization system might be improved

- KSTAR improvements can be explored as in ITER, FIRE, DIII-D, NSTX, HBT-EP
- Minimizing coil/plate coupling led to improvements in NSTX design
- VALEN can provide correct amplitude and phase relation for KSTAR FEC coil elements to stabilize n = 1,2 resistive wall modes
Timeline for Columbia U. KSTAR GMS Study

- **First Year**
  - Equilibrium calculations based on present hardware design
    - Use KSTAR design basis equilibria; modify in coordination with KSTAR team
  - Stability / feedback calculations
    - Determine most important stability sensitivity to parameter variation
    - Set up VALEN model; determine performance of present KSTAR design
    - Extend VALEN model to assess possible passive plate improvements

- **Second Year (first plasma by end of this year)**
  - Assess baseline active feedback system design; project improvements
  - Model time-dependent stabilization, critical time delay for feedback
  - Examine stability of first experimental KSTAR equilibrium reconstructions

- **Third Year (high beta plasmas)**
  - Stability of high beta experimental KSTAR equilibrium reconstructions
  - Verification of RWM growth rate under passive stabilization
  - Participate in experimental determination of critical rotation profile for stability
  - Investigate improvements for feedback system based on high beta results
Columbia U. Staffing and Budget for KSTAR Study

• CU three year budget
  • First Year (~$150k)
    • 5 months senior research scientists
    • 6 months associate research scientist
  • Second Year (~$200k)
    • 5 months senior research scientists
    • 12 months associate research scientist
  • Third Year (~$200k)
    • 5 months senior research scientists
    • 12 months associate research scientist

• Columbia RWM modeling group presently oversubscribed
  • studies of ITER, DIII-D, NSTX, HBT-EP, JT-60SC, (JET, C-MOD)
  • new staff / new budget resources required to perform KSTAR studies