# **Road mapping Plasma Facing Materials for Fusion Pilot Plants: Solid and Liquid**

Brian D. Wirth<sup>\*,1,#</sup>, M.A. Cusentino (SNL), R. Kolasinski (SNL), T. Abrams (GA), C. Parish (ORNL), Y. Katoh (ORNL), J. Trelewicz (Stony Brook),
D. Sprouster (Stony Brook), R. Maingi (PPPL), and D. Andruczyk (UIUC), and with many helpful discussions with
M. Baldwin, J. Guterl, A. Hassanein, A. Lasa,
J. Marian, J. Rapp, L. Snead, Z. Unterberg, and K. Woller

## Presented at the 2024 DIII-D Wall Changeout Workshop La Jolla, CA 12 June 2024



DEPARTMENT OF

1



This work was partially supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Fusion Energy Sciences.

# Plasma Facing Materials must tolerate extreme heat, neutron and particle fluxes

Baldwin, Nishijima, Doerner, et. al, courtesy of Center for Energy Research, UCSD, *La Jolla, CA* 







- Typical materials considered for PFM include graphite, beryllium and tungsten -- although this list has been modified by considerations at ITER
- Tungsten alloys leading candidates as divertor structural materials due to their excellent thermo-physical properties.
- However, critical issues need to be addressed:
  - Creep strength
  - Fracture toughness (DBTT)
  - Microstructural stability (Recrystallization)
  - Low & high cycle fatigue
  - Oxidation resistance
  - Effects of neutron irradiation (hardening & embrittlement, composition changes, He, H)
- Several computational and experimental efforts to explore ways to predict & improve the performance of tungsten PFCs are now underway

### Plasma facing materials are a grand challenge for fusion reactors



Zinkle and Snead, Annual Reviews 2014

### An eye toward a fusion pilot plant

- 14.1 MeV neutron flux >10<sup>14</sup> n/(cm<sup>2</sup>·s)
- First wall power load: 2 MW/m<sup>2</sup>
- Divertor: 10-20 MW/m<sup>2</sup>

C. Bachmann et al., FED, 2015; G. Federici et al., FED 2014

For essentially all ITER components, current materials systems <u>will not survive</u> the anticipated DEMO (or a compact FPP) lifetime. PFM requirements ultimately require engineered forms of W with mechanistically driven stability.



## PMI Challenge has been well recognized



Report of the Research Needs Workshop (ReNeW) Bethesda, Maryland – June 8-12, 2009



Research Theme (3 of 5): Taming the plasmamaterial interface

- Thrust to decode & \_ advance the science and technology of plasma-surface interactions
- Thrust to improve \_ power handling
- Thrust to demonstrate an integrated solution for PMI with optimized core plasma

#### **FUSION ENERGY** SCIENCES WORKSHOP



**ON PLASMA MATERIALS** INTERACTIONS

**Report on Science Challenges and Research Opportunities in** Plasma Materials Interactions

MAY 4-7, 2015

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF Office of Science Sciences

Report from Fall 2023 Materials roadmapping workshop expected this summer

# Safety & Waste considerations

Activation considerations are essential for worker/public safety, maintainability, waste management, and public acceptance of fusion energy

 For Class C waste qualification (after 5 MW/m2 neutron wall loading for 4 years)



S. Piet, et al., Fusion Technology, Vol. 19, Jan. 1991, pp. 146-161; and E. T. Cheng, "Concentration Limits of Natural Elements in Low Activation Materials", ICFRM-8, Sendai, Japan, October 1997, J. Nucl. Mat.

• Similar safety criteria based on shortterm activation and after-heat



Based on T. Noda, et al., Journal of Nuclear Materials 155-157, 1988, 581.

## WPMI roadmap: Current R&D to address W performance gaps



Rad damage in W-Ta alloy

High-entropy alloy compositions (FeNiCrCoMn)

# **Proposed WR&D, including complementary modeling & testing**

### Gaps that must be closed to move the material beyond TRL 3 (near-term R&D):

- Erosion, redeposition, material migration
  - Understand effects of preferential sputtering, material transport in the machines
  - Use linear plasma devices to study erosion under different exposure conditions / tokamak studies to understand material transport within the machine
- Recrystallization: How do we stabilize the microstructure?
  - Laboratory testing: High temperature UHV furnaces, e-beam facilities, microscopy with HT stages
  - Integrated testing: Exposure specimens to H mode plasmas w/ ELMs
- Interfacial stability
  - Microscopy: SEM / TEM during heating / ion irradiation
- Insufficient data for W materials with the relevant n-spectrum at relevant temperatures.
  - · Long-term community need for FPNS facility for fusion materials testing
- Effects of neutron-irradiation (topics that can be addressed with existing ion beam / fission facilities):
  - Hydrogen isotope trapping / diffusion / permeation within damaged materials
  - · How does transmutation affect embrittlement at low doses?
  - Need to assess thermal conductivity loss at high fluence n-damage
- Understanding the effects of the complex chemical environment
  - Use "single effect" experiments to study effects of B, N, and other impurities on surface chemistry
  - Develop interatomic potentials for models to address more complex chemical environment

# Comparison of W alloys, CFC/graphite and SiC

Functional Requirement	Relevant Material Properties	Tungsten (Alloys)	Graphite/CFC	Silicon Carbide	
Minimize impact on plasma core	Erosion (sputtering, evap., arcing, melt, dust, etc.), Atomic physics (cooling)	Low phys. sputtering, no chem. sputtering, some concern of <u>leading</u> <u>edge</u> melting/droplets, high core radiation	Moderate phys+chem sputter (T-dependent). Ablation at high temp., low core radiation, dilution probably OK	Phys & chem. sputtering somewhat lower (2-10x) than carbon, needs more study. Ablation at high T., low core radia.	
Exhaust heat	Thermal Conductivity	High, some degradation under irradiation, ODS-W?	High for unirradiated, but substantial degradation < 1 dpa	Moderate unirradiated, some degradation at high dpa, OK for FW	
Minimize H/D/T retention	H diffusivity/permeability, trapping, co-deposition	Implantation/diffusion acceptable, saturates a low dpa at low temp, (high temp?). low sputtering = low codep	Codep-dominated, likely unacceptable for safety/TBR except maybe at very high-T and/or O baking	Codep-dominated, needs more study	
Maximize He pumping	He diffusivity/permeability, trapping probability	Some nano-bubbles but generally acceptable	Can review literature, but not really relevant	Low He permeability	
Transparent to neutrons	Nuclear cross sections	High-Z, Acceptable for thin coatings on FW	Acceptable	Acceptable	
Sufficient lifetime	Erosion, neutron damage	Low sputtering. n- embrittlement at low temp, creep at high temp> melt damage?	Not as bulk materials. Perhaps as thin film, but high chem. erosion unless T very high/low	Bulk material in areas of ~low heat flux, or thin coating. Erosion better than C, more study	

# Comparison of W alloys, CFC/graphite and SiC

Functional Requirement	Relevant Material Properties	Tungsten (Alloys)	Graphite/CFC	Silicon Carbide
Minimize impact on plasma core	Erosion (sputtering, evap., arcing, melt, dust, etc.), Atomic physics (cooling)	Low phys. sputtering, no chem. sputtering, some concern <u>ling</u> <u>edge</u> meh. droplets, high core radiation	Moderate phys+chem sputter (T-dimendent). Ablation temp., low core to ation, dilution probably OK	Phys & chem. sputtering somewhat lower (2-10x) than carbon needs more study. Ablation at high T., low core radia.
Exhaust heat	Thermal Conductivity	High, som degrada irradiation, S-W?	High for undiated, but subs degradation < 1 dpa	Moderate entradiated, some decentry ion at high dpa,or FW
Minimize H/D/T retention	H diffusivity/permeability, trapping, co-deposition	Implantation/diffusion acceptable sturates a low dpa temp, (high temp., low sputtering = low codep	Codep-dominated, likely uncertable for safety/Tept maybe at very high-T and/or O baking	Codep-dominated, needs more sudy
Maximize He pumping	He diffusivity/permeability, trapping probability	Some nar bbles but generally btable	Can review prature, but not net relevant	Low He pupplity
Transparent to neutrons	Nuclear cross sections	High-Z, Action able for thin coation FW	Accepto	Acceptat
Sufficient lifetime	Erosion, neutron damage	Low sputtering, n- embrittler ••• at low temp, cre — high temp> melt damage?	Not as bull paterials. Perhaps film, but high cheir osion unless T very high/low	Bulk materiation areas of ~low heat flux, or thin coating. Erosion better than C, more study

# Solid Walls – W challenges and advantages

Code	Name <sup>1</sup>	Key Advantages	Key Challenges		
W/ AMW	Tungsten/ AM Tungsten	<ul> <li>High melting temperature</li> <li>Resistant to sputtering</li> <li>Chemical compatibility with tritium</li> <li>High thermal conductivity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Recrystallization</li> <li>Limited fracture toughness and high DBTT</li> <li>Severe embrittlement at low doses due to transmutation</li> <li>Difficulty in producing crack-free AM components</li> </ul>		
wx	Solution Stabilized Tungsten Alloys	<ul> <li>High melting temperature</li> <li>Resistant to sputtering</li> <li>Increased recrystallization temperatures</li> <li>Enhanced radiation stability</li> <li>Fine grains lead to improved mechanical performance</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduction in thermal conductivity</li> <li>Additional solid transmutants</li> <li>Preferential sputtering of alloying elements</li> <li>Embrittlement at low doses due to transmutation</li> </ul>		
WP	Particle Stabilized Tungsten Alloys	<ul> <li>High melting temperature</li> <li>Resistant to sputtering</li> <li>Increased recrystallization temperatures</li> <li>Enhanced radiation stability via interfacial sink effects</li> <li>Fine grained microstructures lead to improved mechanical performance</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced thermal conductivity</li> <li>Unknown effects due to transmutation of the solute</li> <li>Preferential sputtering of alloying elements</li> <li>Embrittlement at low doses due to transmutation</li> <li>Loss of precipitates under irradiation</li> </ul>		
WHA	Tungsten Heavy Composites	<ul> <li>Simple fabrication via liquid sintering, commercially available and cheaper than W</li> <li>High fracture toughness and ductility at RT, readily machinable</li> <li>Potential PFC material if used with an armor material to avoid sputtering of the ductile phase</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Relatively low melting temperature of the ductile phase</li> <li>Void swelling of the ductile phase</li> <li>Neutron activation of Ni</li> </ul>		
w/w	Tungsten- tungsten MMC	<ul> <li>Tungsten fibers are commercially available from different vendors in large quantities for relatively cheap</li> <li>Tungsten fibers are ductile and might be ductile after neutron irradiation</li> <li>Tungsten is the preferred first wall material due to several reasons</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CVI process limits matrix thickness, which will be a challenge to industry-scale production of nuclear grade components.</li> <li>Joining parts (also a challenge to scale-up of components)</li> </ul>		

# Solid Walls – critical feasibility & performance issues for W

Code	Description	w	AM-W	wx*	WP*	WH*	w/wf
10.1							
1B-1	Recrystallization under static thermal loading scenarios		1	2	2	2	2
1B-2	Thermophysical properties and effects of thermal cycling	3	2	2	2	1	2
1B-3	Mechanical properties including tensile response, fracture toughness, and ductile-to-brittle transition temperature	3	1	2	2	2	2
1B-4	Transmutation induced chemical changes, irradiation defect microstructures, and their implications for phase stability, recrystallization, and volumetric swelling	1	1	1	1	1	1
1B-5	Net erosion (sputtering and He effects) and redeposition	2	1	1	2	1	2
1B-6	Hydrogen isotope permeation and retention for D/T and mixed D/ <u>T+He</u> plasma conditions	2	1	1	2	1	1
1B-7	B-7 Near net shape manufacturing and repeatability		2	2	2	2	1
1B-8	Recrystallization under cyclic thermal loading with an evaluation of thermal shock and thermal fatigue		1	2	2	2	1
1B-9	Degradation under transient disruption thermal loading scenarios		1	1	1	1	1
1B-10	) Impact of neutron irradiation (defect populations and transmutation products) on thermal and mechanical properties		1	2	1	1	1
1B-11	Combined effects of neutron irradiation and high heat flux on defect microstructures and overall phase stability and swelling		1	1	1	1	1
1B-12	Effects of plasma exposure on irradiation induced embrittlement		1	1	1	1	1
1B-13	Creep strength, time-to-rupture, and effects of neutron irradiation		1	1	2	1	1
1B-14	B-14 Oxidation behavior under fusion relevant accident scenarios		1	2	2	1	1
	mTRL Ran	king S	cale				
		4	-			I	

# Motivation for Ultra High Temperature Ceramics (UHTCs)

• Lacking a perfect plasma facing material that can withstand the multiple operation exposure extremes & materials design requirements

#### **High Heat flux**

- High thermal conductivity
- Thermal stress

#### Surface morphological changes

- PFC erosion
- Tritium retention
- He/H implantation (blister formation)
- Dust/debris release

#### Neutron irradiation induced property changes

- Thermal conductivity
- Mechanical properties
- Grain growth and cavity swelling **Plasma interactions and sputtering**
- Plasma compatibility (low-Z preferred)
- Surface erosion and redeposition (high-Z preferred)

#### UHTCs are a potential PFM solution

- High melting points
- stable microstructures
- Minor radiation-induced swelling
- Some evidence suggests very minor changes in mechanical properties after neutron irradiation





### Conductive Liquid metals: sparingly tested in present-day devices

- Liquid Li used in NSTX (divertor), EAST (insertable limiter), FTU (limiter), LTX and LTXbeta (limiter), COMPASS (divertor insert), HIDRA (limiter) and MAGNUM-PSI (target)
- Liquid Sn PFCs have been tested in FTU (limiter) and ASDEX-Upgrade (divertor)
- Lead-Lithium is untested as a PFM, but is being considered by private companies



morybuenum pipe

Mazzitelli NF 2019

# Wall changeout discussion agenda – PMI/first wall/divertor

Breakout groups (5 scheduled, 3 materials-related & 2 plasma-related) will discuss (90 minutes) first wall changeout options/opportunities – Tyler Abrams will provide more specific instructions

- I will co-lead the Fusion Materials Science & Technology breakout (with Greg Sinclair)
- Adam McLean and Jonathan Yu will co-lead Divertor/SOL breakout
- Florian Effenberg and Aritra De will co-lead

10:30 AM	07	A. Garofalo C. Holcomb	Core Physics/AT Scenarios Breakout (Room 15-019, Zoom Link)
		A. McLean J. Yu	Divertor/SOL Breakout (Room 15-018, Zoom Link)
		B. Wirth G. Sinclair	Fusion Materials & Technology Breakout (Room 13-301, <mark>Zoom Link</mark> )
		H. Wang S. Zamperini	Pedestal/Core-Edge Breakout (G34 CR Conf. Room, Zoom Link)
		F. Effenberg A. De	Plasma-Materials Interactions Breakout (Room 13-530, Zoom Link)
12:30 PM			Breakout Groups Adjourn

Martin Nieto-Perez	Pennsylvania State University	Fusion Materials Science & Technology	Multi-species materials sputtering studies in IGNIS-2
Mitra Taheri	Johns Hopkins University and (Joint) Pacific Northwest National Lab	Fusion Materials Science & Technology	Next generation candidate fusion materials systems: complex refractory alloys
Robert Kolasinski	Sandia National Laboratories	Fusion Materials Science & Technology	PMI and materials science considerations for tungsten plasma-facing components in DIII-D
Zeke Unterberg	ORNL	Fusion Materials Science & Technology	TBD
Lance Snead	Stony Brook	Fusion Materials Science & Technology	Limitations of tungsten as a HHF material and where we could go next