

Peeling-Ballooning Stability of RMP Discharges

P.B. Snyder¹, T.E. Evans¹, M. Fenstermacher², A.W. Leonard¹, R. Moyer³,
T.H. Osborne¹, H.R. Wilson⁴

¹ General Atomics, San Diego, CA

² LLNL, Livermore, CA

³ UCSD, San Diego, CA

⁴ University of York, York, UK

The peeling-ballooning model posits that intermediate wavelength ($n \sim 3-30$) MHD modes driven by the sharp pressure gradient and resulting large current in the pedestal region constrain the pedestal height and drive ELMs. Numerous peeling-ballooning stability studies of various types of H-Mode plasmas on multiple devices suggest that, in general, the plasma is stable to peeling-ballooning modes during the inter-ELM phase, and becomes unstable near the onset of standard (Type I) ELMs [eg 1-4]. However, certain types of edge oscillations, such as Type III ELMs and the quasi-coherent mode, appear to be resistive modes which exist below the peeling-ballooning threshold.

In resonant magnetic perturbation (RMP) discharges, ELMs are suppressed via increased particle transport associated with the imposition of non-axisymmetric magnetic fields. Initial peeling-ballooning stability studies of these discharges find that, in the resonant phase where ELMs are suppressed, the pedestal remains in the peeling-ballooning stable region [3,4]. When the RMP is turned off and Type I ELMs return, the discharge becomes unstable to peeling-ballooning modes around the time of ELM onset, as expected. Here we present extensive stability analysis of a number of such discharges, exploring the impact of shape, density and q-profile.

An additional issue is the physics mechanism for small ELM-like events that can exist when the magnetic perturbation is present but is non-resonant. In this case, significant measurement uncertainties and small changes in the equilibria make analysis challenging. We approach this issue both via direct stability analysis, and via a statistical comparison with the EPED1 pedestal height model, which provides an indication of whether a set of profiles are systematically below the expected peeling-ballooning constrained pedestal height.

[1] P.B. Snyder, H.R. Wilson et al, *Phys. Plasmas* **9** (2002) 2037.

[2] P.B. Snyder, H.R. Wilson, T.H. Osborne and A.W. Leonard, *PPCF* **46** (2004) A131.

[3] T.E. Evans et al, *Nature Phys.* **2** 419 (2006).

[4] P.B. Snyder, K.H. Burrell, H.R. Wilson et al, *Nucl. Fusion* **47** 961 (2007).

* *Work supported by US DOE, the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, and Euratom.*